William Link

VS.

Manny Diaz

Deposition of:

C/R: Florida Board of Education (Kathryn Schneider Hebda)

August 18, 2022

Vol 1



Raising the Bar!

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

CASE NO. 4:21cv271-MW/MAF

WILLIAM A. LINK, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MANNY DIAZ, JR., in his official capacity as Florida Commissioner of Education, et al.,

Defendants.

VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF REPRESENTATIVE PERSON DESIGNATED BY THE FLORIDA BOARD OF EDUCATION (KATHRYN SCHNEIDER HEBDA)

Thursday, August 18, 2022

9:10 a.m. - 6:21 p.m.

GRAY ROBINSON

301 South Bronough Street, #600

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:

SANDRA L. NARGIZ RPR, CM, CRR, CRC, CCR-GA

Job No. 263783

```
Page 2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2.
       ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS:
 3
         ELIAS LAW GROUP
 4
         10 G Street NE, #600
         Washington, D.C. 20002
         202.968.4490
         BY: ELISABETH FROST, ESQUIRE
 6
         efrost@elias.law
              WILLIAM "LIAM" HANCOCK, ESQUIRE
 7
         BY:
         whancock@elias.law
         JYOTI JASRASARIA, ESOUIRE
 8
         jjasrasaria@elias.law
 9
10
      REPRESENTING DEFENDANTS:
11
         GRAY ROBINSON
         301 South Bronough Street, #600
12
         Tallahassee, FL 32301
         850.507.9090
         BY: JAMES TIMOTHY MOORE, JR., ESQUIRE
13
         tim.moore@gray-robinson.com
14
              GEORGE LEVESQUE, ESQUIRE (Via Zoom)
         george.levesque@gray-robinson.com
15
         -and-
16
         FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
17
         325 W. Gaines Street, Rm 1544
         Tallahassee, FL
                           32399
18
         850.245.0442
              AMANDA GAY, ESQUIRE
         BY:
19
         amanda.gay@fldoe.org
         JAMIE MELISSA BRAUN, ESQUIRE
20
         jamie.braun@fldoe.org
21
       ALSO PRESENT:
22
         Jordan McHugh, Videographer
23
2.4
25
```

	·	
1	Page I N D E X	e 3
2	WITNESS PAG	ŀΕ
3	REPRESENTATIVE PERSON DESIGNATED BY THE FLORIDA BOARD OF EDUCATION	
4	(KATHRYN SCHNEIDER HEBDA)	_
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Hancock	5
6	CERTIFICATE OF OATH 31 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 31	4
7	READ AND SIGN LETTER 31 ERRATA SHEET 31	
8	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
9		D .0
10	2 Notice of Deposition OF BOE 1	.0 .1 .2
11	4 Amended Answers First Set Interrogatories 1	. 2 . 3 . 4
12	6 BOE Second Amended Answer to Interrogatories Number 2 and First Amended Answers to	. 1
13	Plaintiffs' Interrogatories Nos. 3, 6, 12 1	.5 !5
14	8 Task Sheet/Purple Sheet, Defendants_047339 4	18 18
15	10 January 22, 2021, E-mail Chain 7	77 85
16	12 February 16, 2021, E-mail 8	88 91
17	<u> </u>	7
18	16 January 18, 2022, E-mail Chain 11 17 E-mail 13	.8
19	18 Committee Substitute for House Bill No. 7 16 19 Board of Governors Bills Analysis 19	9
20	20 July 2021 E-mail 19 21 September 9, 2021, E-mail Chain 22	4
21	21 September 9, 2021, E-mail Chain 22 22 Hayley Spencer E-mail 22 23 June 24, 2021, E-mail Chain 23	8
22	23 Julie 24, 2021, E-mail Chain 23 24 February 28, 2022, E-mail Chain 24 25 (Skipped in numbering)	
23	26 January 28 E-mail Chain 28	
24	28 June 23, 2021, E-mail Chain 29	2
25	29 April 29, 2022, E-mail Chain 30 30 Draft Report by DOE 30	

1	The following Zoom proceedings began at 9:10 a.m.
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the
3	record. The time is now 9:10 a.m. This is the
4	video-recorded deposition of Kathryn Hebda, a
5	corporate representative of the Florida Board
6	of Education, in the matter of William Link v
7	Manny Diaz.
8	This deposition is being held in
9	Tallahassee, Florida, on August 18, 2022.
10	The videographer is Jordan McHugh, and the
11	stenographer is Sandi Nargiz, both in
12	association with Phipps Reporting.
13	Will counsel please announce their
14	appearances for the record after which the
15	court reporter will swear in the witness.
16	MR. HANCOCK: William or Liam Hancock from
17	Elias Law Group on behalf of plaintiffs.
18	MS. FROST: Elisabeth Frost, also with
19	Elias Law Group, on behalf of plaintiff.
20	MS. JASRASARIA: Jyoti Jasrasaria, also on
21	behalf of plaintiffs, of Elias Law Group.
22	MS. GAY: Amanda Gay with the Florida
23	Department of Education.
24	MR. MOORE: Tim Moore, GrayRobinson, on
25	half of the defendants.

1	Page 5 THE STENOGRAPHER: Would you raise your	
2	right hand, please? Do you swear or affirm	
3	that the testimony you are about to give will	
4	be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but	
5	the truth?	
6	THE WITNESS: I do.	
7	THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.	
8	Thereupon,	
9	KATHRYN SCHNEIDER HEBDA,	
10	AS REPRESENTATIVE PERSON DESIGNATED BY THE FLORIDA	
11	BOARD OF EDUCATION,	
12	having been first duly sworn or affirmed, as	
13	hereinafter certified testified as follows:	
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
15	BY MR. HANCOCK:	
16	Q Good morning. How are you doing?	
17	A Good morning.	
18	Q My name is Liam Hancock. I'm an attorney	
19	for the plaintiffs in this lawsuit. Could you	
20	please state your full name for the record?	
21	A Kathryn Schneider Hebda.	
22	Q And what would you like me to call you	
23	today?	
24	A Kathy.	
25	Q Kathy? Sure.	

1		Page 6
2	А	Have you ever been deposed before? I have.
3	Q -	How many occasions?
4	А	Roughly five or six.
5	Q	When was the most recent?
6	А	Probably I guess the adequacy lawsuit
7	was probal	oly the most recent.
8	Q	And when was that?
9	А	That was around 2015.
10	Q	Okay.
11	А	2015.
12	Q	And in that deposition, were you deposed
13	in your pe	ersonal capacity?
14	А	My capacity in working for the Department
15	of Educat:	ion.
16	Q	Of course.
17		And then what was the before that?
18	А	Actually, there probably was one more
19	recent tha	an that. I was deposed I think for a
20	personnel	case at the agency. I was chancellor at
21	the time,	but it was the case started back when I
22	was chief	of staff, and so I was a witness for the
23	agency.	
24	Q	Understood.
25		And do you understand that today you'll be

1	Page 7
1	giving testimony on behalf of the board itself?
2	A Yes.
3	Q In any of those prior depositions were you
4	also giving testimony on behalf of the board itself?
5	A I don't think so.
6	Q So is this your first time giving a
7	deposition on behalf of the board itself?
8	A I think so.
9	Q I'll just go over some ground rules real
10	quick. I'll be asking a series of questions, and
11	your counsel may object to some of the questions,
12	but unless he instructs you not to, you must answer
13	the question.
14	Do you understand that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And the court reporter will be making a
17	record of everything we say, so it's important to
18	give answers verbally instead of nods or gestures;
19	is that fair?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And we'll take breaks periodically, but if
22	you ever need to take a break, just let me know.
23	All I ask is if there's a pending question, we
24	address that first and then take a break.
25	Does that sound good?

		Page 8
1	A	Okay.
2	Q	So a moment ago, the court reporter swore
3	you in, c	orrect?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	And do you understand this is the same
6	oath you	would take if you were testifying in court?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	And is there any reason why you would be
9	unable to	testify fully and truthfully today?
10	А	No.
11	Q	Are you taking any medications that might
12	affect yo	ur attention or memory today?
13	А	No.
14	Q	If at any point you don't understand my
15	question,	will you let me know?
16	А	Yes.
17	Q	And if at any point you realize you may
18	have forg	otten something or you need to correct your
19	testimony	, will you let me know?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	How did you first become aware of this
22	lawsuit?	
23	А	I don't I don't know exactly.
24	Q	Do you recall about when you found out
25	about thi	s lawsuit?
1		

```
Page 9
 1
               I don't know.
                               I'm -- I'm sure I got an
 2.
     e-mail from someone in the general counsel's office
 3
     with a copy, but I don't know.
                            I'll instruct the witness not
               MR. MOORE:
 4
          to answer to the extent it reveals
 5
          attorney-client communication.
 6
 7
               THE WITNESS:
                              Okay.
 8
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
 9
               If I represent to you that this lawsuit
10
     was filed in the summer of 2021, would that refresh
     your recollection?
11
12
          Α
               I suppose.
13
               Who do you understand to be the plaintiffs
          0
     in this lawsuit?
14
15
          Α
               There's a person whose name is Link.
                                                       And
     then I understand -- I don't remember all the
16
     plaintiffs.
17
               That's fine.
18
          0
19
          Α
               I'm sorry.
               And who do you understand to be the
20
21
     defendants in this lawsuit?
               There's a list, and I -- it includes the
2.2
          Δ
23
     Board of Education and the commissioner in his
     capacity as commissioner.
24
25
               And you understand that this lawsuit is
          Q
```

1	challeng	ing the constitutionality of a bill enacted
2	in 2021,	right?
3	А	Yes.
4		MR. HANCOCK: So I'll introduce what's
5	beir	ng marked as Exhibit 1.
6		(Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)
7	BY MR. HA	ANCOCK:
8	Q	Do you recognize this document?
9	А	That looks like the Florida version of the
10	legislat	ion that was passed.
11	Q	And this is House Bill 233, right?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	We'll come back to Exhibit 1 a few times,
14	but we ca	an set that aside for now.
15		What are you aware that other
16	deposition	ons have already been taken as part of this
17	lawsuit?	
18	А	I don't know that any have been taken.
19	Q	Have you reviewed transcripts from any
20	deposition	ons in this lawsuit?
21	А	Oh, that's true. Yes. Two.
22	Q	Which transcripts are those?
23	А	Alex Kelly and Jon Rogers.
24	Q	And when did you review those transcripts?
25	А	In the past week.

1	Q	Page 11 And you understand your testimony today is
2	_	of the Board of Education itself?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	I'll show you what's being marked as
5	Exhibit 2	
6		• (Exhibit 2 was marked for identification.)
7	BY MR. HAI	·
8		Do you recognize this document?
9	Q A	Yes.
10	Q	So you have seen this document before?
11	A	I have.
12	Q	If you'd turn to page 7, do you see the
13	start of a	a list of topics?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	Have you reviewed these topics previously?
16	А	I have.
17	Q	Are you prepared to testify regarding each
18	of these	topics today?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	We can set Exhibit 2 aside for now.
21		In addition to your deposition today, how
22	else have	you been involved in this litigation?
23	A	I don't understand that question.
24	Q	Sure. Have you reviewed any filings on
25	_	the board?

```
Page 12
 1
          Α
               Yes.
 2
          Q
               Do you recall which filings?
 3
          Α
               The ones I recall are the responses to
     interrogatories that we would have filed.
 4
                                                  Is that a
 5
     filing?
 6
                       Setting aside the interrogatories,
          0
 7
     do you recall any other filings you may have
     reviewed on behalf of the board?
 8
               I don't recall.
 9
10
          0
               I will hand you what's being marked as
11
     Exhibit 3.
12
          Α
               Okay.
13
               (Exhibit 3 was marked for identification.)
                            Let's go off the record for a
14
               MR. MOORE:
15
          moment.
16
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off
17
          record at 9:19 a.m.
               (Discussion off record.)
18
19
               (A recess took place from 9:19 a.m. to
20
          9:21 a.m.)
21
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going back
          on record at 9:21 a.m.
2.2
23
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
               So I'm presenting you with what's been
24
25
     marked as Exhibit 3.
```

```
Page 13
 1
               Do you recognize this document?
 2.
          Α
               Yes.
               And this is the Board of Education's
 3
          0
 4
     initial answers to plaintiffs' first set of
 5
     interrogatories, right?
 6
          Α
               Correct.
 7
          Q
               And you turn to the third-to-the-last
 8
     page, you see -- is that your signature at the
     bottom?
 9
10
          Α
               Yes.
               And so is this one of the interrogatories
11
          0
12
     you mentioned reviewing --
13
          Α
               Yes.
               -- as part of this litigation?
14
          0
15
          Α
               Yes.
               All right. Let set that aside for now.
16
          0
17
                (Exhibit 4 was marked for identification.)
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
18
19
               I am going to present you with what's
     being marked as Exhibit 4. Do you recognize this
20
21
     document?
22
          Α
               Yes.
23
               And is this the Board of Education's
     amended answers to plaintiffs' first set of
24
25
     interrogatories?
```

1	A	Page 14 It is.
2	Q	And if you turn to the second to the last
3	_	that your signature there?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And is this dated May 13, 2022?
6	æ A	Yes.
7	Q	All right. Let's set that aside for now
8	as well.	AII light. Let b bet that abite for how
9	as well.	MR. HANCOCK: I am going to present the
10	witn	less with what's being marked as Exhibit 5.
11		(Exhibit 5 was marked for identification.)
12	BY MR. HA	NCOCK:
13	Q	Do you recognize this document?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And what is this document?
16	A	It's my declaration.
17	Q	Was this drafted by you?
18	А	What do you mean was it drafted by me?
19	Q	Like the the your statement.
20	А	I am sorry. Drafted has lots of meanings.
21	This is m	y statement, if that's what you are asking
22	me.	
23	Q	Did you have any assistance in putting
24	together	this statement?
25	A	Yes.

```
Page 15
 1
               And if you would turn to page 6, it has
          Q
 2
     your signature, right?
 3
          Α
               Yes.
               And this is dated March 31, 2022?
 4
          Q
               Yes, it is.
 5
          Α
               You can set that one aside for now as
 6
          0
     well.
 7
 8
               MR. HANCOCK: And then last one, I
 9
          promise. I am presenting the witness with
10
          what's being marked as Exhibit 6.
11
               (Exhibit 6 was marked for identification.)
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
12
13
               Do you recognize this document?
          0
14
          Α
               Yes.
               And what is this document?
15
          0
               State Board of Education second amended
16
          Α
     answer to plaintiffs' first set of interrogatories
17
18
     Number 2 and first amended answers to plaintiffs'
19
     first set of interrogatories Number 3, 6, and 12.
               And if you would turn to the second to the
20
          0
21
     last page, this one also bears your signature,
22
     right?
23
          Α
               Yes.
               And this is dated August 17, 2022; is that
24
          0
25
     right?
```

1	A	Page 16 Yes.
2	Q	Did you do anything to prepare for today's
3	depositio	n?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	What did you do to prepare?
6	А	I reviewed the interrogatories, I read the
7	legislati	on, reviewed some of the documents that
8	have been	submitted as part of discovery.
9	Q	Anything else?
10	А	I reviewed the the depositions I
11	mentioned	earlier.
12	Q	Did you speak with anybody about the
13	depositio	n today?
14	А	Attorneys.
15	Q	And who did you speak to?
16	А	Attorneys in the Department of Education
17	and attor	neys at GrayRobinson. I also spoke to my
18	superviso	r at work.
19	Q	Who is your supervisor at work?
20	А	Henry Mack, senior chancellor.
21	Q	And on how many occasions did you speak
22	with the	department lawyers?
23	А	I don't know the number.
24	Q	Do you know roughly when those
25	conversat	ions occurred?

1	Page 17 A They have been ongoing since I guess
2	since the beginning of the legislation.
3	Q Do you know
4	A I mean the the filing of the suit.
5	Pardon me.
6	Q Understood.
7	In terms of discussing the deposition, do
8	you have a sense of when those discussions with the
9	department lawyers began?
10	A The last several weeks. I really don't
11	have a good date to give you.
12	Q Do you have a sense of approximately how
13	long you spent speaking to the department lawyers in
14	the last several weeks?
15	A No.
16	Q And the other counsel you spoke to were
17	were were those folks from GrayRobinson?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And when did those conversations start?
20	A I don't have an exact date, but it's
21	it's been in preparation for this, whatever
22	whatever the time frame was for that.
23	Q Would it be a similar time period to the
24	preparation discussions with the department lawyers?
25	A Probably shorter.

1	Page 18 Q Do you recall roughly how many occasions
2	you spoke with GrayRobinson lawyers?
3	A I don't have a good number.
4	Q Do you have an approximation as to how
5	much time you spent speaking with GrayRobinson
6	lawyers?
7	A No.
8	Q So aside from your supervisor at work,
9	lawyers for the department, and lawyers from
10	GrayRobinson, did you seek to anybody else to
11	prepare for today's deposition?
12	A Yes. I spoke with my executive
13	vice-chancellor, Carrie Henderson, and once with
14	Jeremy Katz who is an analyst in my division.
15	Q Did you speak to anybody else?
16	A I don't recall speaking to anybody else
17	about the deposition.
18	Q Did you speak to anybody from the Board of
19	Governors in preparation for today's deposition?
20	A Not in preparation.
21	Q Did you speak to anybody employed by a
22	Florida college in preparation for today's
23	deposition?
24	A No.
25	Q Did you speak to anybody from the

Page 19 1 Governor's Office in preparation for today's 2 deposition? 3 Α Not in preparation for the deposition, no. 4 0 In terms of your conversation with Henry 5 Mack --6 Α Yes. 7 Q -- what topics were discussed with him? 8 Α Primarily, the -- the preparation of the 9 survey and the schedule of when I would be away from 10 the building and things like that. 11 And what topics did you discuss with 0 Carrie Henderson? 12 13 Α Some of the preparation of the survey, but primarily the -- the process of intake on the data 14 15 and the portions you see in the interrogatories that -- where her name is mentioned. 16 17 Q And what topics did you discuss with 18 Jeremy Katz? 19 I asked him specifically about the portion 20 you see in the -- the interrogatories where it 21 mentions his involvement with looking at the data 2.2 and trying to determine the computer-generated 23 responses on the survey. Earlier you mentioned that you reviewed 24 the interrogatories and documents produced as part 25

- Page 20 1 of discovery. 2 Were there any other documents that you 3 reviewed in preparation for today's deposition? Α I mentioned earlier that I read the 4 legislation again, I read the -- the transcript of 5 Alex Kelly's deposition, I read -- I reviewed some 6 7 of the documents that were submitted for discovery, 8 e-mails and things like that. 9 And did you go to college? 10 Α Yes. 11 0 Where? 12 Α I went to Newberry College in Newberry, South Carolina for my undergraduate degree and 13 Florida State University for my master's degree. 14 In terms of the undergraduate degree, when 15 0
 - 17 A In 1985.
 - 18 Q And what did you study there?

did you obtain that degree?

- 19 A Music education, choral conducting.
- 20 Q And is that what the degree is in?
- 21 A Yes. It's a bachelor's in music
- 22 education.

16

- 23 Q And then the -- did you do anything in
- 24 between Newberry College and Florida State?
- 25 A No, I didn't.

_	Page 21
1	Q And what was
2	A I am sorry. Let me ask you, what do you
3	mean "do anything"?
4	Q Was there a gap in terms of time between
5	when you graduated from Newberry and when you began
6	studying at Florida State?
7	A Just the end of the spring semester when I
8	graduated and the fall when that semester started.
9	Q Sure. And what did you study at Florida
10	State?
11	A Music education.
12	Q And you said you received a master's. Is
13	that in music education?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And did you pursue any further education
16	after that?
17	A I took a few courses to renew my teaching
18	certificate, but I didn't pursue any additional
19	degrees.
20	Q And those courses you mentioned, are those
21	sort of occasional over time in the years since?
22	A Yes.
23	Q But aside from those courses, have you
24	returned to school any point in your career?
25	A No.

	Page 22
1	Q Did you study survey administration at any
2	point?
3	A No.
4	Q Have you ever taken a course on conducting
5	research on human subjects?
6	A No.
7	Q Have you ever taken a course in
8	statistical methods?
9	A No.
10	Q Have you ever been certified by the
11	Institutional Review Board?
12	A No.
13	Q So what is your your current
14	occupation?
15	A I am the chancellor of the Florida College
16	System and the division of Florida colleges in the
17	Department of Education.
18	Q And how long have you served in that
19	position?
20	A Since 2019.
21	Q And how did you get that position?
22	A I was employed by Commissioner Richard
23	Corcoran.
24	Q And so that was a decision made by Richard
25	Corcoran?

1	Page 23 A Yes.
2	Q Did you have to go through any form of
3	confirmation process?
4	A No.
5	Q Does your current occupation have a set
6	term?
7	A No.
8	Q Do you have any current plans to leave
9	your position?
10	A No.
11	Q And I know you mentioned Henry Mack
12	earlier. Is there anybody else that you report to?
13	A We report to the commissioner in the org
14	chart. And then the the senior chancellor
15	there are two senior chancellors. Henry Mack is the
16	senior chancellor over most post secondary areas in
17	the department. And then Jacob Oliva is the senior
18	chancellor for early learning and K-12.
19	So the senior chancellors organize the
20	divisions underneath them for the commissioner.
21	Q And so in terms of how often would you
22	report to Jacob Oliva?
23	A I don't.
24	Q And do you have anyone who directly
25	reports to you?

	1	Page 24 A Yes.
	2	Q About how many people?
	3	A Five.
	4	Q And who are those people?
	5	A Carrie Henderson, Caleb Hawkes, Lisa Cook,
	6	Yvette Hargreaves, and Pam Posey.
	7	Q And what are your roles and
	8	responsibilities at the department?
	9	A As the chancellor for the division of
	10	Florida colleges, I'm in the position you might
	11	think of as division director. So I supervise that
	12	division and the activities the division is
	13	responsible for, which include technical assistance
	14	to colleges, the Florida College System,
	15	implementation of rules, and those rules implemented
	16	by Florida statutes.
	17	Q And can you help me understand the
	18	difference between the Board of Education and the
	19	Department of Education?
	20	A Yes. The the Board of Education is
	21	actually the agency head. The commissioner
	22	functions as a CEO, if you will. And the Board of
	23	Education are members appointed by the governor.
	24	And they're in staggered terms. And then they
	25	select a commissioner who then sort of runs the
- 1		

We are part of the agency that oversees

25

Α

Page 26 1 the Florida College System. We have the most direct 2. contact. It's our -- it's our responsibility 3 day to day to work with the Florida colleges. BY MR. HANCOCK: 4 And does the division of Florida colleges 5 have jurisdiction outside the Florida College 6 7 System? 8 Α No. 9 But there are other Department of 10 Education divisions that have jurisdiction over the 11 Florida College System in some areas? 12 Α I don't know if its jurisdiction. 13 there's certainly the agency -- for example, 14 accountability, research and measurement division is 15 the division that reports data. There's a division that collects data from institutions just like we 16 17 collect it from school districts. So there are a number of divisions that 18 19 support the Florida College System and participate 20 in the implementation and duties of the department. 21 And what position did you hold before Q becoming chancellor? 22 23 Chief of staff. Α And who were you chief of staff to? 24 Q 25 Pam Stewart, Commissioner Pam Stewart. Α

1	Q How long were you chief of staff for
2	Commissioner Stewart?
3	A I think it was about five years.
4	Q And how did you get that position?
5	A She employed me in that position.
6	Q Is it fair to say you were chief of staff
7	for the duration of Commissioner Stewart's tenure?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And how did Pam Stewart start her career?
10	A I believe she started as an elementary
11	teacher, but I I would have to go back and check
12	if that was exactly right.
13	Q Is it your understanding that she had
14	worked as a teacher prior to becoming commissioner?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And was it your understanding that she had
17	worked in education or education policy for decades
18	prior to her employment?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And she had worked at the Florida
21	Department of Education prior to becoming
22	commissioner, right?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Had you worked with Commissioner Stewart
25	prior to her becoming commissioner?

1	Page A Yes.	e 28
2	Q She stepped down at the end of 2018, doe	s
3	that sound right?	
4	A About then, yes.	
5	Q Do you know why she stepped down?	
6	A I don't.	
7	Q Do you know if she was asked to step dow	m 2
8	A I don't know.	11.
9	Q And she was succeeded by Richard Corcora	n,
10	right?	
11	A Correct.	
12	Q Do you know if Mr. Corcoran had previous	ly
13	been a teacher?	
14	A I don't think so, but I'm not positive.	
15	Q Do you know if Mr. Corcoran had previous	ly
16	worked at the Department of Education?	
17	A I don't believe so.	
18	Q Do you know if he had previously worked	
19	for either the Board of Education or the Board of	
20	Governors?	
21	A I don't believe so.	
22	Q Do you know if he had previously worked	
23	for a college or university?	
24	A I don't know.	
25	Q And Mr. Corcoran has now been replaced b	У

1	Commissioner Diaz; is that right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And Commissioner Diaz has previously been
4	a teacher, right?
5	A Yes.
6	Q What job did you have before working as
7	Commissioner Stewart's chief of staff?
8	A I was deputy chancellor for educator
9	quality in the K-12 division at the Department of
10	Education.
11	Q And what were your responsibilities in
12	that role?
13	A The responsibilities for educator quality
14	deputy chancellor included the bureaus that worked
15	on they focused on areas that dealt with
16	teaching or teachers and school leaders.
17	So an example would be educators'
18	certification, the bureau of educator recruitment,
19	development and retention, and professional
20	practices services.
21	Q And how long did you work in that role?
22	A I don't know exactly. It might have been
23	around four or five years also. It could have been
24	longer. I would have to check.
25	Q Sure.
1	

Page 30 1 It's been a long time. Α 2 Q Yeah. Over your career, how many years 3 have you spent working for the Department of Education? 4 It will be 30 years in October. Α And aside from chancellor, chief of staff 6 0 7 to the commissioner, and the educator quality role, 8 what other roles have you held at the Department of Education? 9 10 Α I was bureau chief of the bureau of 11 educator recruitment, development and retention. Ι 12 was a policy analyst in the K-12 division for governmental relations. And I was -- I had several 13 roles in the bureau of educator certification. 14 15 I started, I was a Program Specialist, and then I think I became a Program Specialist II and then a 16 Program Specialist IV, something like that. 17 18 Q When did you first start working at the 19 Department of Education? 20 In October of 1992. Α 21 And when did you receive your degree from Q Florida State? 2.2 23 In 1987. Α And what did you do between 1987 and 1992? 24 0 25 I was a middle school teacher. Α

1	Q	Page 31 Where was that?
2	A	I taught one year in Leon County at
3	Fairview	Middle School, and I taught four years in
4	Cobb Cour	nty, Georgia.
5	Q	Have you ever worked at a college or
6	universit	cy?
7	А	No.
8	Q	Have you ever testified in court?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	How many times would you say?
11	А	I think the adequacy lawsuit was the only
12	time I te	estified in court.
13	Q	And remind me, when was that lawsuit?
14	A	I think it was around 2014. It went on
15	for a num	mber of years, but I think the culmination
16	was in 20	014.
17	Q	And what was the subject of that lawsuit?
18	A	A suit was brought against I know it
19	was broug	ght against the Board of Education, but it
20	could hav	ve been other other entities encompassed
21	in the su	uit. But there was a claim that Florida's
22	education	n system didn't meet the adequacy provisions
23	in the co	onstitution.
24	Q	Have you ever served as an expert witness?
25	A	Yes.

1	Page 32
1 2	Q How many times? A Once.
3	Q And when was that?
4	A During the adequacy lawsuit.
5	Q Oh, yeah. So as part of that, you were
6	offered as an expert witness?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Do you recall whether the court accepted
9	your testimony as an expert?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And is your and is your recollection
12	that they did accept it?
13	A That's my recollection.
14	Q Have you ever testified in an
15	administrative proceeding?
16	A If a if a challenge to a rule
17	includes is an example of administrative
18	proceeding, then yes.
19	Q And when would that have happened?
20	A That was when I was the bureau chief and
21	educator recruitment, development and retention, so
22	it would have been around somewhere between 2004 and
23	2006 or '7.
24	Q Have you ever been subpoenaed as part of a
25	legislative investigation?

		D 22
1	А	Page 33 I don't think so.
2	Q	The the Board of Education has seven
3	members,	right?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Are all seven members appointed by the
6	governor	?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	And then they're subject to confirmation
9	by the Se	enate?
10	А	Correct.
11	Q	Do they serve for set terms?
12	А	They do. They're staggered terms.
13	Q	And how long are those terms?
14	А	I believe they're four years, but I would
15	have to g	go back to the statute and read it to be
16	sure.	
17	Q	And do you know if board members are term
18	limited?	
19	А	What do you mean "term limited"?
20	Q	Do you know if there's a cap on how many
21	terms the	ey're allowed to serve?
22	А	I believe there is.
23	Q	Do you know what that cap is?
24	А	I think it's two terms, but I also think
25	that the	re is a this is a legal question, but I

1	Page 34
1	think there is a provision that you can continue to
2	serve in the capacity until you are replaced if you
3	do hit the end of your term.
4	Q Do you know how often the board meets?
5	A Yes. It's not exactly once a month or
6	every other month. There is a schedule set for each
7	year.
8	Q Would it be approximately 12 times a year?
9	A No. It's fewer than that. I think this
10	coming year is somewhere between seven and eight
11	times a year.
12	Q Can a member of the board be fired?
13	A It's a voluntary position to be a state
14	board member, so they are not employees.
15	Q Understood.
16	Do you is there a way for somebody to
17	be removed from the board after being appointed?
18	MR. MOORE: Object to form to the extent
19	it calls for a legal conclusion.
20	You can answer if you know.
21	A There probably is, but I don't know
22	exactly what it is.
23	BY MR. HANCOCK:
24	Q Sure.
25	Is the commissioner considered a member of

1	Page 35
2	A No. He is the secretary of the board, I
3	think.
4	Q Is the commissioner appointed by the
5	governor?
6	A No. By the State Board of Education.
7	Q And is the commissioner subject to
8	confirmation by anybody?
9	A No.
10	Q Do you know if the commissioner serves for
11	a set term?
12	A He does not.
13	Q And is it fair to say that the Board of
14	Education has jurisdiction over all public education
15	with the exception of the State University System?
16	A That's pretty fair.
17	Q And does does the and the
18	commissioner's jurisdiction is similar to the
19	board's; is that right?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Does the commissioner also direct the
22	Department of Education?
23	A Yes. On a daily basis, his role.
24	Q So the commissioner would also have
25	jurisdiction over the division of Florida colleges

anyone in the Governor's Office?

25

1	Page 37 A No. Directly, no.
2	Q Does does Henry Mack or Jacob Oliva?
3	A They report to the commissioner.
4	Q And does the commissioner report to anyone
5	in the Governor's Office?
6	A He reports to the State Board of
7	Education.
8	Q Is that the only entity that the
9	commissioner reports to?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Are are you considered the head of the
12	Florida College System?
13	A There are portions of the statute that
14	that talk about the the chancellor of the Florida
15	College System, because there used to be a separate
16	board for the Florida College System years ago
17	before the constitutional change and K-20, and then
18	another constitution limit took out the board of
19	set up the Board of Governors.
20	So I support the Florida College System,
21	but I don't run the Florida College System.
22	Q And you said there is no longer a board
23	sort of governing the Florida College System?
24	A The State Board of Education does, but
25	there is not a separate board for the Florida

And does each college have a president?

25

Q

1	A	Page 39
		Yes.
2	Q	And how are presidents chosen?
3	A	They are selected by the Board of
4	Trustees.	
5	Q	Does the Board of Education play a role in
6	selecting	presidents?
7	А	No.
8	Q	Do the presidents serve set terms?
9	А	That's determined by the local Board of
10	Trustees.	And I would like to go back and say that
11	the boards of trustees, the members do have a set	
12	term, but	I don't know what the I don't know what
13	the length of time is.	
14	Q	Sure. I appreciate that.
15		Are college presidents subject to review?
16		MR. MOORE: Object to form. Object to
17	form.	
18	А	Review by whom?
19	BY MR. HA	NCOCK:
20	Q	Are they subject to review by the Board of
21	Education	?
22	А	No. The Board of Trustees.
23	Q	Are the boards of trustees required to
24	inform th	e board in any way about the performance of
25	their pre	sidents?

1	A	They do. They send us the evaluation each
2		the evaluation of the president is
3	completed.	
4	Q And is that just an obligation on the	
5	~	trustees to report their evaluation?
6		_
	A	Yes.
7	Q	Does the board sign off on that evaluation
8	in any way	
9	A	No.
10	Q	About how many full-time students are
11	enrolled :	in the Florida College System?
12	A	At present about 615,000, I think is our
13	last count.	
14	Q	Those are full-time students?
15	A	No, those are not full-time students.
16	Those are actual people, students that are enrolled.	
17	If you are talking about full-time equivalent	
18	students,	that's a different number.
19	Q	Do you have a sense what that number would
20	be?	
21	А	I am trying to recall from the last
22	estimating	g conference. I don't remember the exact
23	number.	It's in the 200,000s because two-thirds of
24	our stude	nts are part-time.
25	Q	About how many faculty members are

Page 41 1 employed by the Florida College System? 2 I don't know the exact number. I am going to say it's over 30,000, but I am not positive. 3 Do -- does the Florida College System have 4 tenure for teaching faculty? 5 6 Α Tenure is not in the statute. 7 faculty have what's called "continuing contract." 8 Q Do you know if the Florida College System used to have tenure by that name? 9 10 I don't believe so. Α 11 0 How does the continuing contract operate? 12 Α That's a -- many, many years ago, the Florida colleges individually came from school 13 districts, they were originally assigned to school 14 districts decade ago. And so that's a -- the 15 continuing contract was something that they brought 16 with them from local school boards. 17 18 And so that -- that contract -- there is a 19 rule that implements the continuing contract in the statute, and there are requirements that the Board 20 21 of Trustees -- the boards of trustees set forth for 2.2 someone to qualify for a continuing contract and to 23 maintain it. Is there a standard length of time for a 24 continuing contract before it's renewed? 25

1	А	Page 42 I don't know if there is a standard time,
2	meaning one required by the statute. I am not sure.	
3	Q Do you know if the board rule implementing	
4	a statute	prescribes a set time?
5	A	I didn't review that prior to this
6	deposition, so I would have to go back and look at	
7	the rule to be sure.	
8	Q	Does the Board of Education retain
9	authority	to alter that rule regarding continuing
10	contracts	?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	How are decisions made on behalf of the
13	board?	
14	А	What do you mean?
15	Q	Are there some decisions that require a
16	vote by board members?	
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	And in general, what are the kinds of
19	decisions	that require a vote by board members?
20	А	An example would be a new State Board of
21	Education	rule or an amendment to an existing rule
22	or repeal	of an existing rule.
23	Q	And are those votes just simple majority
24	votes amor	ng the board members?
25	А	Yes.

Page 43 1 Are there any other kinds of decisions 0 2 that require a vote by the board members? 3 Α There are -- there are some things in the statute that require the State Board of Education to 4 adopt something, but it doesn't require that they do 5 it in a rule. So they would vote on that kind of 6 7 adoption at the state board meeting. 8 Q What -- what form of adoption would they vote on that's not a rule? 9 10 I think there are things like the -- I Α 11 want to say that the -- at the previous board 12 meeting they adopted the list of approved accrediting agencies or recommended accrediting --13 accrediting agencies for colleges to -- to choose 14 15 And that's not in the state board rule, but 16 it's something they are required to adopt by 17 statute. 18 0 And where did that list initially come from? 19 It was developed through a process of 20 21 collaboration between the division of Florida 2.2 colleges and the Board of Governors. 23 And so the division and the Board of Governors created a list of recommended 24 accreditation agencies? 25

1	Page 44 A Yes.	
2	Q And the board adopted that list?	
3	A They did.	
4	Q Are there decisions that can be made by	
5	the commissioner alone?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q What sorts of decisions does can the	
8	commissioner make independently?	
9	A There are a number of employment decisions	
10	he can make independently, and then also the board	
11	has the ability to delegate authority to the	
12	commissioner for specific things.	
13	Q Can the commissioner ever require the	
14	board to act?	
15	MR. MOORE: Object to the extent it calls	
16	for a legal conclusion.	
17	You can answer if you know.	
18	A I can't think of an occasion where he can	
19	require the the commissioner can require the	
20	board because he reports to the board.	
21	BY MR. HANCOCK:	
22	Q Understood.	
23	Are there actions by the Department of	
24	Education that require sign-off from the	
25	commissioner?	

1	Page 45		
1	A Yes.		
2	Q What what kinds of actions require		
3	sign-off?		
4	A Memoranda that would go to college system		
5	institutions or school districts.		
6	Q Would that that applies to memoranda		
7	distributed by the department?		
8	A Yes.		
9	Q Is anyone authorized to sign off on those		
10	in the commissioner's place?		
11	A I don't know. The commissioner has the		
12	ability to delegate authority, just just like the		
13	board does, but I don't know what specifically his		
14	authority has been where his authority's been		
15	delegated.		
16	(Exhibit 7 was marked for identification.)		
17	MR. HANCOCK: I'm going to present the		
18	witness with what's been marked as Exhibit 7.		
19	BY MR. HANCOCK:		
20	Q Do you recognize this document?		
21	A Yes.		
22	Q And what is this document?		
23	A This is an example of a form we would call		
24	a purple sheet because we distinguish it by color		
25	because it gets passed around for routing through		

```
Page 46
 1
     the department when there's a memorandum or some
 2.
     other document that needs approval for distribution.
 3
          Q
               And do you see that this document has a
 4
     couple of dates on it, one is May 17, 2021, and the
 5
     other --
          Α
 6
               Yes.
 7
          Q
               -- is May 20, 2021?
 8
          Α
               Yes.
 9
               And above that, do you see where it says
10
     presentation to this acronym?
11
          Α
               Yes.
12
          0
               What is that acronym?
13
          Α
               MISATFOR is the acronym for the MIS
     directors in the Florida College System.
14
                                                 It's their
                   So this is -- it looks like it's a
15
     association.
16
     cover sheet for a presentation -- a set of
     PowerPoint slides or other documents that were going
17
18
     to be presented to those individuals at their
19
     association.
               And who are the -- the college directors?
20
          0
21
               MR. MOORE:
                            Object to form.
2.2
          Α
               What do you mean "the college directors"?
23
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
               I apologize. Let's go back.
24
          0
25
               This association, who is it an association
```

1	Page 48 A Yes.		
2	Q Who is that?		
3	A Eric Hall. He was senior chancellor		
4	before Henry Mack.		
5	Q Is he still at the department in some		
6	capacity?		
7	A No.		
8	Q And on a current purple slip, would there		
9	be a row for Henry Mack?		
10	A Yes.		
11	Q And then there's a row for your approval		
12	as well, right?		
13	A Yes. Yes.		
14	Q All right. You can set that exhibit		
15	aside.		
16	(Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.)		
17	MR. HANCOCK: I'm going to present the		
18	witness with what's being marked as Exhibit 8.		
19	BY MR. HANCOCK:		
20	Q So if you flip past the first page, is		
21	this also a purple slip?		
22	A Yes.		
23	Q And turning back to the first page, what		
24	is this page?		
25	A It looks like a request to our general		

Page 49 counsel's office to review. 1 2 Is that to secure their approval before it 3 goes to the commissioner? 4 Α Yes. And this is a request for a memo that you 5 Q drafted; is that right? 6 7 Α Let me see. Yes. 8 Q If you look back in Exhibit 5, which was 9 your declaration. 10 Α Yes. 11 Q If you turn to page 20, it will say in the top right. 12 13 Α Yes. Do you recognize this document? 14 0 15 Α Yes. Is this the -- well, there are initials 16 Q 17 next to your name --18 Α Yes. 19 -- on this document. Does that indicate 0 that it's a final version? 20 21 Α Yes. 22 Q And this is dated March 23, 2022; is that 23 right? 24 Α Yes. 25 Is this the final version of what was Q

1	being asked for review on this task sheet?		
2	A Yes, it looks that way.		
3	Q All right. You can set Exhibit 5 aside		
4	again.		
5	Do you see in returning to Exhibit 8,		
6	do you see in the comment column where it says Jim		
7	Richmond worked on it as well?		
8	A Yes.		
9	Q Who is Jim Richmond?		
10	A Jim Richmond is currently our acting		
11	general counsel.		
12	Q Let's turn to the pink slip itself. In		
13	the OTC approvals table now reflects that, at this		
14	time, Bethany Swonson was chief of staff; is that		
15	right?		
16	A Yes.		
17	Q Who is currently chief of staff to the		
18	commissioner?		
19	A Anastasios Kamoutsas.		
20	THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry?		
21	THE WITNESS: He goes by Stasi.		
22	Anastasios Kamoutsas.		
23	BY MR. HANCOCK:		
24	Q Is there a uniform process for who needs		
25	to approve something before it is escalated to the		

- 1 commissioner?
- 2 A It's fairly standard. It can be -- it can
- 3 vary depending on what type of document it is.
- 4 Q So would -- would any document being
- 5 escalated to the commissioner go through the general
- 6 counsel's office?
- 7 A Some don't. There are some -- some
- 8 things -- like social media proposals would not go
- 9 through general counsel. But anything that's going
- 10 to pertain to technical assistance or implementation
- 11 of a statute would go to general counsel.
- 12 Q And generally, how many -- is it
- 13 sufficient for one person to sign off within this
- 14 OTC approval's box?
- 15 A Generally, no. It would go through both
- 16 the senior chancellor and chief of staff and most
- 17 things run through the communications office, but an
- 18 exception to that would be a state board rule draft
- 19 that would not go to communications.
- 20 O Understood.
- 21 A It's like social media doesn't go to
- 22 general counsel.
- 23 O The Board of Education has rule-making
- 24 authority; is that right?
- 25 A Yes. When it's granted by the statute.

1	Page 52 Q And are those rules considered Board of	
2	Education rules or Department of Education rules?	
3	A They're considered State Board of	
4	Education rules.	
5	Q Is there a department within the	
6	Department of Education tasked with the	
7	administrative rule-making process?	
8	A We have an cler agency clerk who	
9	completes the process with the Department of State,	
10	but it involves the rule making involves the	
11	program office and general counsel and, as you can	
12	see, a number of people.	
13	Q Are are rule-making processes generally	
14	run through whatever division is relevant to the	
15	rule?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Does the Florida College System or any of	
18	those institutions have rule-making authority?	
19	A The boards of trustees have authority to	
20	make regulations and rules on behalf of the college.	
21	Q And what is your understanding of where	
22	that authority comes from?	
23	A The Florida statute.	
24	Q And do you have an understanding as to	
25	what areas that statute authorizes them to create	

- 1 rules in?
- 2 A Yes. I'm -- it's a whole listing in the
- 3 statute under the powers and duties of the Board of
- 4 Trustees. It includes things like the employment
- 5 processes, staffing, running of the campus,
- 6 obtaining property, selling property, things that
- 7 pertain to academic processes.
- 8 Q And those are things that are expressly
- 9 authorized by statute, you said?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And you said the board has to vote to
- 12 initiate a rule-making process; is that right?
- 13 A You mean the State Board or the Board of
- 14 Trustees?
- 15 Q Apologies. Yeah.
- Does the State Board have to sign-off
- 17 before initiating a rule-making process?
- 18 A Before a rule-making process?
- 19 Q Like to propose a new rule or an amended
- 20 rule.
- 21 MR. MOORE: Object to the extent it calls
- for a legal conclusion.
- 23 A I am just thinking about the -- I don't --
- 24 I don't know if you consider the agency clerk filing
- 25 the proposed rule making with the Florida

1	Administrative Register the state board. So I so		
2	I don't know the answer to your specific question.		
3	BY MR. HANCOCK:		
4	Q Understood.		
5	In order for a rule to be adopted, does		
6	the board the state board have to vote on it?		
7	A Yes.		
8	Q Can departments within the DOE request a		
9	rule-making?		
10	A Yes.		
11	Q Can constituents or stakeholders request a		
12	rule-making?		
13	A Yes.		
14	Q Who fields those requests?		
15	A I can't remember a a specific request		
16	that came from constituent or a citizen, but there		
17	is no reason why a person couldn't write the agency		
18	and and request that we consider rule making on a		
19	certain topic.		
20	Q What what is the Board of Education's		
21	role in terms of the funding that is appropriated to		
22	Florida colleges?		
23	A We are required each year to prepare		
24	as as any other agency, we are required to		
25	prepare a legislative budget request and submit that		

Page 55 1 to the -- through the proper procedure, electronic 2 procedure, to the Governor's Office. 3 Q And that's a budget request prepared by the division of Florida colleges? 4 We participate in the -- the budget 5 Α request, but the -- the division that has the -- the 6 7 biggest role in that is the division of finance and 8 operations. 9 Is there -- is there a separate request 10 for appropriations related to the Florida College System, or is it part of a larger request on behalf 11 12 of everything the board works on? It's part of our entire State Board of 13 Α Education legislative budget request. 14 Individual 15 colleges also have the ability to request. And what form does that take? 16 Q 17 Α I don't know specifically. They -sometimes they inform us of -- of a request they are 18 19 going to make, but generally I believe it -- it just 20 is a direct request from them to the legislature. 21 And where do those requests go at the Q 22 legislature? 23 Α I don't know. Is it your understanding that there is a 24 particular office that fields budget requests? 25

```
Page 56
                            Object to form.
 1
               MR. MOORE:
 2
          Α
               In the legislature, I don't know.
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
 3
               Do you know who the board transmits their
 4
 5
     budget request to?
 6
               I don't know specifically. I know there
 7
     is an electronic process, but it -- it's done where
     all the agencies submit their -- their information,
 8
     but I don't know exactly who is over that office.
 9
10
               (Exhibit 9 was marked for identification.)
11
               MR. HANCOCK: I present the witness with
12
          what's being marked as Exhibit 9.
               Can I put this Number 8 away for a minute
13
          Α
14
     or?
15
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
16
               Yeah.
          Q
17
          Α
               Okay.
18
          Q
               Do you recognize this document?
19
          Α
               Yes.
20
               And this is a copy of Florida Statute
          0
21
     1008.32?
22
          Α
               Yes.
23
               Are you familiar with this statute?
          0
24
          Α
               Yes.
25
               Does this statute entrust the Board of
          Q
```

Page 57 1 Education with overseeing the Florida College 2 System? 3 Α Can you ask that question again? Sure. Q 4 Please. Α The -- the first sentence of this statute 6 0 7 reads: "The State Board of Education shall oversee 8 the performance of," and it lists some institutions --9 10 Yes. Α -- including the Florida College System; 11 0 12 is that right? 13 Α Yes. What is included in that oversight? 14 0 15 Α Oversight, it says that "The performance" of those entities, including the Florida College 16 System institution, Board of Trustees and 17 enforcement of all laws and rules." 18 19 And if you look at the Subsection (2)(a), does this authorize the Commissioner of Education to 20 21 investigate allegations of noncompliance with the 22 law at a Florida College System institution? 23 Α Yes. And the commissioner reports those 24 0 25 findings to the state board; is that right?

Page 58 1 Α Yes. 2 Q And then if you turn down to Subsection (4), does this section authorize the 3 4 Board of Education to take certain actions if they 5 determine a Florida College System institution is 6 unwilling or unable to comply with the law? 7 Α Yes. 8 0 Is that a decision that would require a vote from the Board of Education? 9 10 MR. MOORE: Object to the form to the 11 extent it calls for a legal conclusion. I don't -- I don't know. 12 Α BY MR. HANCOCK: 13 Among and below that it lists actions the 14 15 State Board of Education is authorized to take; is 16 that right? 17 Α Yes. 18 0 And one of those actions is report to the 19 legislature that a Florida college institution is unable or unwilling to comply with the law; is that 20 21 right? 2.2 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 23 That's what it states. Α 24 BY MR. HANCOCK: 25 And is one of the options that the Board Q

Г		
	1	Page 60 postsecondary.
	2	MR. HANCOCK: I am sorry. To confirm, you
	3	are instructing the witness not to answer with
	4	respect to institutions that are not
	5	postsecondary?
	6	MR. MOORE: Is your question specifically
	7	asking about K through 12?
	8	MR. HANCOCK: It is asking about any
	9	instance in which the Board of Education
	10	exercises its authority.
	11	MR. MOORE: Could you repeat the question,
	12	please.
	13	BY MR. HANCOCK:
	14	Q Chancellor, are you aware of any instance
	15	of the Board of Education exercising authority under
	16	this statute?
	17	MR. MOORE: You can answer if you know the
	18	question if you know the answer.
	19	A Yes.
	20	BY MR. HANCOCK:
	21	Q In what instances are you aware of them
	22	exercising that authority?
	23	A The only instance I'm aware of was in the
	24	last I don't exactly know the date, but it was
	25	regarding K-12.

1	Q Roughly when wa	Page 61	
2		the last two years.	
		-	
3	Q And what were t	And what were the circumstances of the	
4	Board of Education exerci	ising that authority?	
5	A To my recollect	tion, it it had to do	
6	with certain district boards of certain district		
7	school boards not following the law.		
8	Q Is your underst	tanding that did the	
9	Commissioner of Education	n conduct an investigation	
10	under this statute?		
11	A I don't know.		
12	Q Do you know if	the Commissioner of	
13	Education reported a find	ding of probable cause to	
14	the state board?		
15	A I don't recall	the exact proceeding.	
16	Q But your unders	standing is there was some	
17	form of proceeding under this statute?		
18	A Yes.		
19	Q Do you know if	the State Board of	
20	Education held a vote on	whether or not a school	
21	board was unwilling or un	nable to comply with the	
22	law?		
23	A I don't remembe	er whether it was a vote or	
24	not. I remember them dis	scussing it at the state	
25	board meeting, but I don'	't recall whether there was	

1	Page 63 A Yes.
2	Q Is your understanding that that proceeding
3	was within the Board of Education?
4	A What do you mean by "within the Board of
5	Education"?
6	Q Is there do you think it was a legal
7	proceeding in a court, or do you think it was a
8	proceeding conducted within the Board of Education
9	or the Department of Education?
10	A There may also have been a court
11	proceeding. But I don't I don't know the
12	relationship between the court proceeding and the
13	State Board of Education proceeding. But it was
14	the state board discussed whether or not to withhold
15	the school board member salaries.
16	Q Understood.
17	MR. HANCOCK: Why don't we take a quick
18	break?
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off
20	record at 10:21 a.m.
21	(A recess took place from 10:21 a.m. to
22	10:37 a.m.)
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going back
24	on record at 10:37 a.m.
25	

1	Page 64 BY MR. HANCOCK:
2	Q Did you speak with your counsel while we
3	were on a break?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did you discuss the deposition?
6	A Yes.
7	Q What did you discuss?
8	MR. MOORE: Object to form and instruct
9	her not to answer. It's a privileged
10	conversation.
11	BY MR. HANCOCK:
12	Q Okay. Does the Board of Education ever
13	draft its own legislation?
14	A We do have legislative proposals from time
15	to time that the State Board of Education supports.
16	Q And are those proposals that the board
17	itself drafts?
18	A What do you mean "the board itself
19	drafts"?
20	Q In in terms of the statutory language
21	that they would like to propose.
22	A Are you asking if an individual board
23	member drafts language, or do you mean the
24	department in working for the State Board of
25	Education?

1	Q Yeah. Whether anyone working for either
2	the board or the department drafts the language?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And under what kinds of circumstances
5	would the board sort of propose its own legislation?
6	A In addition to the legislative budget
7	requests, which is required, there have been
8	occasions where the State Board of Education has
9	adopted a policy agenda as well. It doesn't happen
10	every year because they're not required to do it.
11	But there are there are times when that happens.
12	Q And who makes the decision about whether
13	or not to adopt a policy agenda?
14	A If it's the state board's policy agenda,
15	then the state board would decide.
16	Q And when was the last time they would have
17	adopted a policy agenda?
18	A Gosh, I can't recall when. I don't know.
19	Q Do you do you recall it happening in
20	the last five years?
21	A I don't recall them drafting a policy
22	agenda like at the beginning of the legislative
23	session, but I do I think there could have been
24	an instance or one or more instances where at
25	some point during the process they had discussion

Page 66 about a particular policy item that they felt 1 2. favorably about and wanted the commissioner to 3 support. I cannot think of anything specific or 4 5 when it might have occurred, but at some point during my tenure there, I recall that happening, in 6 7 addition to like a formal adoption of a full policy 8 agenda. 9 Does the board ever propose technical 10 corrections to statutes after they've been enacted? 11 Α Members of the department do routinely --12 we -- we issue bill analyses and prepare those and file those as well; so yes, that does happen. 13 Can you give me an example of when the 14 15 board or the department would have proposed a technical correction after a bill was enacted? 16 17 Enacted or filed? Α 18 Q After it was enacted. 19 Δ Oh. I thought you meant filed. No. Τ 20 apologize. 21 0 Understood. 22 Are you aware of any instances of the 23 board or department proposing technical corrections after a statute has been enacted? 24 25 Α No, I'm not aware of any.

1	Page 67 Q But you are aware of proposals to alter
2	legislation that has been filed but not passed?
3	
	A Yes.
4	Q And how often does that occur?
5	A I would say there's probably an
6	instance at least one instance every session.
7	Q The board tracks legislation related to
8	public education, right?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Would it be fair to say that they propose
11	corrections or amendments to a minority of the bills
12	that they track?
13	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
14	A Considering the number of bills that are
15	proposed that relate to education, I would say it's
16	a minority, yes.
17	BY MR. HANCOCK:
18	Q About how many bills per session would you
19	say that the board proposes amendments to?
20	A I don't have a good number. And it would
21	vary each session.
22	Q Who from the board makes the decision to
23	propose an amendment?
24	A The primary responsibility for that would
25	be the commissioner.

1	Page 68 Q Is the commissioner's sign-off required
2	before an amendment is proposed to the legislature?
3	A I would say yes, although it doesn't
4	necessarily go through a like the formal memo
5	process that you saw earlier.
6	Q Uh-huh.
7	A But, yes, the commissioner would want to
8	approve that.
9	Q Is there anyone aside from the
10	commissioner who you would think their approval is
11	necessary?
12	A There's an approval process, certainly.
13	We typically have a director of governmental
14	relations who has primary responsibility tracking
15	and keep all those processes moving, chief of staff,
16	senior chancellors, I would imagine the individuals
17	in leadership.
18	Q Who is the current director of government
19	relations?
20	A Cory Dowd.
21	Q And how long have they been in that
22	position?
23	A Since last Wednesday.
24	Q Who was in that position prior to Cory?
25	A Jessica Fowler, I think, had had the

Page 69

- 1 role before then.
- 2 Q And how long was Jessica Fowler in that
- 3 role?
- 4 A Maybe two years. I think she was with the
- 5 agency longer than that, but I think in that role
- 6 maybe two years.
- 7 Q And do legislators ever solicit the views
- 8 of the board on pending bills?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And is there a particular office that goes
- 11 through?
- 12 A The governmental relations office.
- 13 Q And then is it passed to the relevant
- 14 division from there?
- 15 A Typically.
- 16 Q And are responses to legislator inquiries
- 17 something that the commissioner would need to sign
- 18 off on?
- 19 A He would -- he would be informed, yes.
- 20 Q Does the legislature ever solicit the
- 21 views of the board before a bill is introduced?
- 22 A Yes, that has happened sometimes.
- 23 Q About what time of year does that occur
- 24 usually?
- 25 A It could -- it could be any time.

1	Q Does the Governor's Office ever solicit
2	views of the board on pending bills?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Who from the Governor's Office typically
5	does that?
6	A I don't know if there's a typical process,
7	but we we tend to work with the office of policy
8	and budget. Policy office of policy and budget,
9	or OPB, has divisions that focus on education and
10	all the other agency topics, so we primarily work
11	with the staff in education.
12	Q Do you know who's currently in charge of
13	the office of policy and budget?
14	A I think it's Shelby Cecil.
15	Q Does the Governor's Office ever draft its
16	own legislation?
17	A I don't know.
18	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
19	BY MR. HANCOCK:
20	Q Does the Governor's Office ever consult
21	with the board about draft legislation before it is
22	introduced?
23	A I suppose that could happen.
24	Q Are you aware of any instances of that
25	happening?

1	Page 71 A Yes.
2	Q And when are you aware of that happening?
3	A Last year they asked us some questions
4	about the law enforcement bill, the one that I
5	think it's HB 3 is when it was originally
6	introduced. But the governor I think the
7	governor's office asked, when they were putting that
8	bill together, some questions about law enforcement
9	training and who does law enforcement training and
10	things like that, so Proposed bonuses and ways
11	to recruit law enforcement officers. And since our
12	colleges do a lot of that training, they asked us
13	some questions about it.
14	Q And do you know if House Bill 3 was ever
15	introduced?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Do you know if House Bill 3 was ever
18	enacted?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And what is your understanding of what
21	House Bill 3 does?
22	A It primarily supports the recruitment and
23	training of law enforcement officers.
24	Q How so?
25	A I'm not going to remember all the
1	

Page 72 1 provisions, but there are some scholarships, I 2 think, that are provided for individuals to come 3 into law enforcement training and complete that 4 training. And then also a provision for individuals who are in other areas that are similar to law 7 enforcement to -- for training to be developed for 8 them to sort of convert that training into what they need to become a law enforcement officer. 9 Does the board ever attend hearings on 10 education legislation? 11 12 Α Yes. 13 0 Who usually attends those hearings on behalf of the board? 14 15 The governmental relations director, Α 16 perhaps somebody else in the governmental relations 17 office, depending on who's assigned to the 18 particular policy sector. And then sometimes 19 division staff may qo. 20 And who decides whether a representative 21 of the board should attend a particular hearing? 2.2 Α Typically that's decided in the -- in the 23 commissioner's office. It could be the chief of staff's decision, it could be something delegated to 24 the director of governmental relations, sort of a 25

Page 73 1 team effort. 2 Q Do representatives of the board ever offer 3 their own testimony at the hearings? Α 4 Yes. Do they field questions from the 5 Q legislators at those hearings? 6 7 Α Sometimes. 8 Q In terms of testimony that's affirmatively offered, is that something that requires sign-off 9 10 from the commissioner? 11 Α Yes. Does the Board of Education have 12 0 lobbyists? 13 14 Α Yes. 15 Does the Florida College System have its 0 own lobbyists separate from the Board of Education? 16 17 The Council of Presidents, I think through Α 18 the Association of Florida Colleges, contracts with 19 a lobbyist. 20 In terms of the state board, do you know 0 21 how many lobbyists they have? 22 I don't know the exact number. Α 23 commissioner and the chief of staff, the senior chancellors, the director of governmental relations 24 would all be registered lobbyists. I don't know if 25

_		
1	there are	other individualize that could be. Page 74
2	Q	Are you among those who are registered?
3	А	No.
4	Q	Would Henry Mack be among those who is
5	registere	i?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	And Jacob Oliva as well?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	And they they file disclosures for any
10	bill that	they work on; is that right?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Do those disclosures identify the board's
13	position o	on that bill?
14	А	If there is one.
15	Q	They would?
16	A	(Nods head.)
17	Q	And in terms of a position to disclose, is
18	that some	thing that would require the commissioner's
19	approval?	
20	A	Can you ask the question again, please.
21	Q	Yeah. When when a lobbyist from the
22	Board of 1	Education is filing a disclosure and
23	indicating	g a position on the bill, do they need to
24	get the co	ommissioner's sign-off to indicate that
25	position?	
1		

Page 75 1 Α Yes. 2 Q In terms of House Bill 233, are you aware 3 of a companion bill that was introduced to the Florida Senate? 4 There was one, I am sure, but I couldn't 5 Α tell you what it is now. 6 7 Q Yeah, if I represented to you it's SB 264, 8 does that sound right? 9 (No response.) 10 0 What does -- what would you call those bills where there is one in the House and one in the 11 12 Senate? Companion. 13 Α Companion bills. And does the board 14 0 generally treat companion bills as equivalent? 15 I don't know what that means, 16 Α "equivalent." 17 18 So, for example, you mentioned earlier 19 that the board sometimes prepares bill analyses; is 20 that right? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q Would the board generally create one or 23 two bill analyses for something that had a companion bill? 24 25 We would generally do two. We -- I think Α

Page 76 1 our bill analysis form notes whether or not there is 2. a similar bill because sometimes they are identical, 3 sometimes they are similar and they are not identical. So we -- we would do the analysis on one 4 5 bill and then have to do a separate analysis on the other bill. 7 Q And are you aware that the House Bill 233 8 companion bill was introduced by Senator Rodrigues? 9 I think that's right. That's my 10 recollection. 11 0 Does the board generally -- when there are 12 two companion bills proceeding through the legislature, does the board have a general approach 13 for which one to work on? 14 15 What do you mean "to work on"? Α 16 In terms of a proposed amendment, is that 0 17 something the board would propose for both bills? 18 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 19 It would depend on the situation and lots Α 20 of things. 21 BY MR. HANCOCK: 22 Q Do you recall whether the board was 23 consulted by the legislature before House Bill 233 was introduced? 24 25 Α What do you mean "consulted"?

Page 77 1 Were there any discussions between the 0 2 legislature and the board about House Bill 233 before it was introduced? 3 There wasn't a discussion that I am aware 4 Α of that -- about the specific bill. We did have 5 questions starting I think in 2020, '21, about 6 7 surveys that were already done by institutions in other states that -- that ended up -- you know, once 8 the bill was filed, you could say, oh, this is --9 this is why they were asking us that question. 10 11 Understood. 0 12 Did you have any discussions about Senate 13 Bill 264 prior to it being introduced? I'm sorry. No -- I apologize -- other 14 15 than what I just explained what -- what turned out to be questions that were for information that were 16 17 related. 18 0 Yeah. 19 I am going to present to the MR. HANCOCK: 20 witness what's being marked as Exhibit 10. 21 (Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.) 2.2 23 BY MR. HANCOCK: Do you recognize this e-mail chain? 24 0 25 Α Yes.

1	Q If you turn to the last page, this starts	
2	as an e-mail on January 22, 2021; is that right?	
3	A Looks like it, yes.	
4	Q And this is an e-mail from Bethany	
5	Swonson, right?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q And do you recognize who that e-mail is	
8	to?	
9	A I don't recognize the e-mail address, but	
10	when you read the the context of it, it appears	
11	to be Senator Rodrigues. I am not familiar with	
12	that e-mail address.	
13	Q Understood.	
14	A And Krissy Kulavic in the Florida Senate.	
15	Q And if you turn back to the front page,	
16	this is an e-mail from January 25, 2021; is that	
17	right?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q And did the board propose amendments to	
20	House Bill 233?	
21	A Yes. It looks like we provided	
22	suggestions, and you can see the outline in this	
23	e-mail of suggestions regarding FERPA. So I don't	
24	see an actual amendment attached, but I see	
25	suggestions for changes to line numbers and all of	

Page 79 1 that. 2 Q And do you know whether the board's 3 proposed amendments were adopted? Exactly which ones, I don't know. 4 5 know that -- that I think FERPA was added to the bill after this point. 6 7 Q And do you know if a statement clarifying 8 rule-making authority was added to the bill? I don't remember exactly. But if I looked 9 10 at the bill, I could probably -- before and after, I 11 could tell you. 12 0 Yeah. Let's quickly look at Exhibit 1. If you'd turn to the second page, do you see a 13 Subsection (2)(b) or -- or the paragraph that begins 14 15 with B? Counselor, I believe there are 16 MR. MOORE: 17 a couple beginning with B. 18 MR. HANCOCK: Apologies. The one at the 19 top of the page. 20 Are you referring to "The State Board of 21 Education shall require each Florida College System institution to conduct..." 2.2 23 BY MR. HANCOCK: 24 0 Exactly. 25 Α Okay.

Page 80 1 And if you look at the last sentence of 2 that section, it says: "The State Board of 3 Education may adopt rules to implement this 4 paragraph." Is that right? 5 Α 6 Yes. 7 Q Does that refresh your recollection as to 8 whether the proposed rule-making amendment was 9 adopted? 10 If -- there is clearly rule making in the Α statute as a result of the legislation, what I don't 11 remember is what the bill looked like before this, 12 if that makes sense. 13 14 0 Understood. 15 So I can see that, yes, clearly there is a Α statement about rule making in the bill. 16 Turning back to Exhibit 10, do you see in 17 0 the first line of this first e-mail: "Thanks for 18 19 all your help, Krissy and team. Here are talking 20 points that Amanda Gay helped draft tonight for the 21 senator"? 2.2 Α Yes. Is it common for the Board of Education to 23 24 provide talking points as part of their proposed 25 amendments?

Page 81 I don't know if "common" is the right 1 Α 2. word, but it -- it can happen, yes. Do these talking points represent the 3 0 views of the board on the merits of these 4 5 amendments? MR. MOORE: Object to form. 6 7 Α This is an e-mail from somebody who was chief of staff at the time. 8 BY MR. HANCOCK: 9 10 Do you think Bethany Swonson's views 0 generally represent the board's views in her 11 capacity as chief of staff? 12 13 The process would have been for her to Α consult with the commissioner before providing 14 information like this. So I would say yes. 15 So to confirm, it's your understanding the 16 Q 17 commissioner likely would have signed off on these? 18 Α Likely, yes. I wasn't present at the 19 moment, but likely that is -- that's our typical 20 process. 21 0 If you look at the discussion about FERPA, 22 do you see that bullet that begins "Clarifying that 23 one's ability..."? 24 Α Yes. 25 The last -- the end of that bullet says: Q

Page 82 1 "For example, that colleges and professors cannot 2 use students' education records in violation of 3 FERPA." Is that right? 4 Α 5 Yes. Do you understand that FERPA limits what 6 0 7 colleges and professors can do with recordings? 8 MR. MOORE: Object to form. Calls for a 9 legal conclusion. 10 You can answer if you know. I know that students' records are 11 Α 12 protected by FERPA. So there is a limit on sharing a student's record if you are not the student 13 yourself, unless the student has given you 14 permission. 15 BY MR. HANCOCK: 16 Understood. 17 0 18 If you look to this -- the bullets below 19 FERPA background, do you see that first bullet that "FERPA provides that a video of a student is 20 21 considered an education record when the video is directly related to a student and maintained by an 22 educational agency, institution, or a party acting 23 for the agency or institution"? 24 25 Α Yes.

1	Page 83 Q Is it your understanding that FERPA	
2	provides protections for education records?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q And those education records, is your	
5	understanding, are records that are maintained by	
6	the education agency or a party acting for that	
7	agency?	
8	A Yes.	
9	MR. MOORE: Objection. Calls for a legal	
10	conclusion.	
11	You can answer.	
12	A That's my understanding.	
13	BY MR. HANCOCK:	
14	Q Do you understand FERPA to limit the use	
15	of recordings maintained by students?	
16	MR. MOORE: Legal conclusion.	
17	You can answer.	
18	A I don't know. If I don't know all the	
19	circumstances, it could I don't know the whole	
20	FERPA law.	
21	BY MR. HANCOCK:	
22	Q Does the board think that a recording	
23	taken by a student is a recording maintained by the	
24	educational institution or a party acting for that	
25	institution?	

```
Page 84
 1
                            Objection to the extent it
               MR. MOORE:
 2.
          calls for a legal conclusion.
               You can answer.
 4
               I don't know exactly the answer to the
     question. Do you mind saying the question again?
 5
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
 6
 7
          Q
               Yeah.
                      I'll rephrase.
 8
               If a student submitted an inquiry asking
     whether the recordings they've taken are an
 9
10
     education record under FERPA, does the board have a
     position on that?
11
12
               MR. MOORE:
                            Same objection.
13
          Α
               I apologize. Can you repeat it one more
     time?
14
15
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
16
               Sure.
          Q
17
               Now it's a different question and it's a
     different word --
18
19
               Sure.
20
               -- and I need to understand.
          Α
21
               If a student submitted an inquiry asking
          0
22
     whether recordings they maintained are considered
     subject to FERPA, does the board have a position on
23
24
     that?
25
                            Same objection.
               MR. MOORE:
```

1	Page 85 A I don't know that we have a position on	
2	it. We may. But that would be something if an	
3	inquiry came in like that for example, if a	
4	student e-mailed our office and said, "I want to	
5	know the answer to this question," I would consult	
6	with our general counsel's office because we	
7	wouldn't know necessarily all the ins and outs of	
8	FERPA without consulting an attorney just to be	
9	sure.	
10	BY MR. HANCOCK:	
11	Q Do you currently have a view on whether a	
12	recording maintained by a student is considered an	
13	educational record under FERPA?	
14	MR. MOORE: Objection to the extent it	
15	calls for a legal conclusion.	
16	You can answer if you know.	
17	A I don't I don't know the answer.	
18	(Exhibit 11 was marked for	
19	identification.)	
20	MR. HANCOCK: I'm going to present the	
21	witness with what's been marked as Exhibit 11.	
22	BY MR. HANCOCK:	
23	Q Do you recognize this e-mail chain?	
24	A (Examining Document.)	
25	Yes.	

Page 86 1 And this starts as an e-mail from you on 2 January 25, 2021; is that right? 3 Α Yes. And you are discussing a Senate hearing 4 5 that's being held the day after; is that right? 6 Α Yes. 7 And you plan to give a presentation at 8 that hearing; is that right? 9 Yes, it looks that way, that we had a presentation to make, so we would be in attendance 10 11 at the hearing the next day. 12 0 And in the last line of your e-mail, it sounds like you clarify that this presentation you 13 intend to give is not related to these three bills; 14 is that right? 15 16 Α Correct. 17 0 Do you -- do you recall what the subject 18 of your presentation was? 19 Α I don't. And then you receive a reply from Bethany 20 21 Swonson, and she says: "No need for either of you 22 to comment on the bills. If you are asked, I'll be 23 there and can make any official comment that is needed"; is that right? 24 25 Α Correct.

Page 87 1 Does the chief of staff normally attend 0 2 hearings on behalf of the board? 3 Α It looks like at this point she was deputy chief of staff, which I think at that time the --4 part of the deputy of chief of staff's role was to 5 oversee, if you will, or be very closely involved in 6 7 governmental relations activities. 8 So it certainly -- and she a registered 9 lobbyist, so it would have certainly been typical 10 for her to attend. 11 And when she references an official 0 12 comment, does that mean that she'd be speaking on behalf of the board itself? 13 14 Α Yes. 15 And would you expect that she has 0 authorization from the commissioner to do that? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 19 BY MR. HANCOCK: Do you recall attending a hearing on 20 0 21 January 26, 2021? 22 I must have been there, but I -- I Α 23 couldn't confirm other than this e-mail that, yes, that's what happened, without looking at my 24 25 calendar.

```
Page 88
 1
               Do you recall whether you were asked --
          0
 2
     whether anyone from the board was asked questions
     related to Senate Bill 264 at that hearing?
 3
          Α
               I don't recall. I don't recall being
 4
     asked questions, but -- I don't think we were asked
 5
     questions. Whether or not Bethany answered any
 6
 7
     questions, I can't remember.
               (Exhibit 12 was marked for
 8
          identification.)
 9
               MR. HANCOCK:
10
                              I'm going to present the
11
          witness with what's being marked as Exhibit 12.
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
12
13
               Do you recognize this e-mail?
          0
14
          Α
               Yes.
               And this is an e-mail you sent on
15
          0
     February 16, 2021; is that right?
16
17
          Α
               Yes.
               And this is an e-mail to a Fred Piccolo?
18
          Q
19
          Δ
               Yes.
               Who is that?
20
          0
21
          Α
               Fred Piccolo was in the position of
     executive vice-chancellor in the division at the
2.2
23
     time in our division of Florida colleges.
               And who currently holds that position?
24
          0
25
               There's only -- at that time, there were
          Α
```

Page 89 two executive vice chancellors, Fred and Carrie 1 2. Henderson. Now we have one, which is Carrie 3 Henderson. And in the first line of the e-mail, you 4 reference, you say: "I just met with Eric." Would 5 that be Eric Hall? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 0 And then later on in the e-mail, do you 9 see where it says: "See if you can get any info on 10 the cost and other couple of questions that 11 commissioner asked"? 12 Α Yes. Do you recall having a meeting with the 13 0 commissioner around this time? 14 15 I don't. What -- what typically Α happened -- I could have talked to him directly, but 16 17 what typically would happen is the senior 18 chancellor, such as Eric Hall, would see the 19 commissioner on a routine basis and pass along to us inquiries that the commissioner might have. 20 21 So it could have been that I met with him, or it could have been that I heard from Eric Hall 2.2 23 that those were the commissioner's questions. Just speaking in general, who from the 24 division of Florida colleges tends to meet with the 25

1	Page 91 Q You can set that exhibit aside.
2	A Let me just clarify what I just said. It
3	would either be reviewed by the commissioner or that
4	Eric would have passed along to the commissioner
5	information from the document, so he might have
6	briefed the commissioner on the document.
7	Q Understood.
8	A Just wanted to be clear about that.
9	Q No, I appreciate the clarification.
10	MR. HANCOCK: I'm presenting the witness
11	with what's been marked as Exhibit 13.
12	(Exhibit 13 was marked for
13	identification.)
14	BY MR. HANCOCK:
15	Q Do you recognize this e-mail chain?
16	A (Examining Document.)
17	Yes.
18	Q If you'd turn to the second page, this
19	chain starts as an e-mail from Jessica Fowler on
20	February 16, 2021; is that right?
21	A It looks like just before that Jessica
22	received something from a bill action alert I
23	guess from LobbyTools, and then she wrote a number
24	of people after that.
25	Q And Jessica Fowler is forwarding this

- Page 92 1 alert on February 16, 2021? 2. Α Correct. And she starts that e-mail with: "Good 3 0 evening," and then there are a series of acronyms. 4 Can you tell me what those are? 5 FCS is what sometimes the division 6 Α 7 of Florida colleges is called. There was a time 8 when -- before -- years ago when the division, just 9 for communication purposes, was considered part of the Florida College System, so sometimes people 10 still use FCS for DFC, which would be the division 11 12 of Florida colleges, so that would be my division. And then GC is general counsel. 13 F&O is finance and operations. And IT is IT. 14 15 And then do you see in that first couple sentences at the end where it says: "Please input 16 17 the impact of the amendments and whether it is positive, negative, or neutral"? 18 19 Δ Yes. Who decides whether to solicit input from 20 0 21 these divisions? 2.2 Α It's a -- it's a part of our routine
 - 25 earlier, tracking bills, that the GR office would

23

24

process to -- once bills start being filed and we

have to do bills analyses and, as you mentioned

Page 93 alert the divisions they believe are -- have subject 1 2. matter knowledge with the items in the bill and ask 3 for their input. So these divisions listed here are not all 4 5 of the divisions at the department? That's correct. 6 Α 7 Q Jessica made a decision as to which divisions were relevant to this amendment? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 0 Is there a similar process when a bill is 11 first introduced? 12 Α Yes, I think so. I would say similar. Ι mean, it could vary slightly, but there's -- there's 13 routine contact from the GR office to the divisions 14 requesting input. Whether it happens with every 15 single bill that's filed right when it's filled, I 16 17 don't know the answer to that. But there's 18 generally communication. 19 And when they say "Please input," is there a particular form for communicating that input? 20 21 Α Yes. Usually the governmental relations office will develop an electronic tracking sheet the 2.2 23 divisions that -- the governmental relations contacts in each division have access to, and 24 25 they -- when she's asking for input, she's saying

Page 94 please input that information in that bill tracker. 1 2 Q Understood. 3 And would you have done that on behalf of 4 the FCS? Not necessarily, no. It would have been 5 Α Caleb Hawkes or at the time -- do you see a Daniela 6 7 Bocanegra? 8 Q Uh-huh. She no longer works in the division, but 9 10 she -- she was an analyst in our division, and so she -- Caleb is external in governmental affairs for 11 12 our division, so he is considered our primary person who does all the bill tracking, and then Daniela 13 would have assisted him. So they are -- they are 14 15 likely the people that would have actually typed in the sheet. 16 And Caleb is still around? 17 Q 18 Α Yes. 19 Would they have gotten your sign-off on 20 expressing the FCS's position? 21 Α And when you say "sign-off," I'll --2.2 I'll just clarify that that doesn't necessarily mean 23 I signed anything, physically signed a document, but they would have consulted me. 24 25 Do -- do they have purple slips for your Q

Page 95

- 1 sign-off or just the commissioner?
- 2 A No. It's a green slip.
- 3 Q Turning to the next e-mail, this is from
- 4 Aubrey Post on February 17, 2021; is that right?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And is Aubrey speaking on behalf of the --
- 7 was it finance and operations division?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And they expressed that they are neutral
- 10 on the bill language; is that right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Then there is a subsequent e-mail from
- 13 Amanda Gay also on February 17, 2021; is that right?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 O I know you mentioned a tracking sheet
- 16 where some of this would likely be expressed. But
- 17 do these e-mails look like those views are being
- 18 expressed on this chain?
- 19 A It appears that some of them are. I don't
- 20 know if this encompasses everything, but there is
- 21 certainly information being shared on this e-mail
- 22 chain about the legislation.
- 23 O Yeah. If -- if we look back at Jessica
- 24 Fowler's e-mail, do you see sort of in the second
- 25 paragraph where she says: "This will be due back

1	ASAP"?	Page 96
2		Yes.
3		
	Q · · · · ·	If if these divisions are in a rush to
4		feedback to Jessica, are they likely to do
5	it by e-ma	ail instead of the tracker?
6		MR. MOORE: Object to form.
7	А	It could happen.
8	BY MR. HAI	NCOCK:
9	Q	Do you recall what view the FCS expressed
10	on these a	amendments?
11	А	I don't.
12	Q	Do you recall if FCS ever expressed a
13	positive,	negative, or neutral view of HB 233?
14	А	I don't.
15	Q	Sorry. So you don't recall?
16	А	I don't I don't recall.
17	Q	Do you recall whether FCS expressed a
18	positive,	negative, or neutral view of SB 264?
19	А	I don't recall what our positive,
20	negative,	or neutral. Typically, positive,
21	negative,	or neutral was was a part of the
22	amendment	process, so we would do a full bill
23	analysis a	at the beginning. And then as amendments
24	would come	e, they would just ask us, "How do you
25	think this	s amendment would affect the system?" And

```
Page 97
     that's where the positive, negative, or neutral
 1
 2.
     comes in.
 3
          0
               Let's --
               (Exhibit 14 was marked for
 4
          identification.)
 5
               MR. HANCOCK:
                              I am presenting the witness
 6
 7
          with what's been marked as Exhibit 14.
               MR. MOORE: Counselor, I believe you gave
 8
 9
          me two.
10
               MR. HANCOCK:
                              Thank you.
11
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
               So attached to this e-mail is a document
12
          0
     labeled "2021 Agency Legislative Bill Analysis." Do
13
14
     you see that?
15
          Α
               Yes.
               And this is an analysis of Senate Bill
16
          0
17
     264?
18
          Α
               Correct.
19
               And do you see where it identifies the
          Q
     sponsor is Representative Rodrigues?
20
21
          Α
               Yes.
                     Yes.
               Is -- or I apologize. The bill sponsor is
22
          Q
23
     Senator Rodrigues; is that right?
24
          Α
               Oh, wait. Yes. Senator Rodrigues.
25
               And in -- in the previous legislation
          Q
```

1	section,	Page 98 it identifies a similar bill sponsored by
2		epresentative Rodrigues; is that right?
3	А	That's correct.
4	Q	And are these is is this the sort of
5	bill ana	lysis you've mentioned previously?
6	А	It is.
7	Q	And are these conducted automatically, or
8	does some	eone choose which bills will be analyzed?
9	А	We are the divisions were given a
10	notice fr	rom the GR office when a bill analysis has
11	been requ	uested.
12	Q	And who who requests bill analysis?
13	А	Typically, we receive a request from the
14	legislat	ive staff, but we could receive them from
15	the Gove	rnor's Office, or the commissioner could
16	just requ	uest one.
17	Q	So this is intended to be a resource for
18	others or	n behalf of the board?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Do you know who requested this analysis of
21	Senate B	ill 264?
22	А	I don't. But there is let's see what
23	the e-mai	il says.
24		(Examining Document.)
25		It doesn't say.

1	Page 99 Q The e-mail from Bethany Swonson at the top	
2	mentions it says "Done and sent to OPB," right?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q The office of policy and budget?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q And that is that part of the Governor's	
7	Office?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q Would that refresh your recollection as to	
10	who requested this bill analysis?	
11	A No. It still could have been requested by	
12	somebody else. This looks like we had sent it to	
13	OPB for review.	
14	Q Understood.	
15	And who signs off on a bill analysis when	
16	it becomes final?	
17	A I don't I don't know exactly if that	
18	would be the chief of staff or I don't know the	
19	exact day-to-day process of how they get the	
20	commissioner's review and who then submits it to the	
21	legislature. Maybe it's it could be the GR	
22	director. There's there's a process to how the	
23	agency approved version is submitted.	
24	Q Are these bill analyses made public	
25	anywhere when they are finalized?	

Page 100 I think they are, but I know we publish a 1 2 final legislative review at the end of the year for 3 things that passed which look very similar to our bill analyses. But they're, I think, on the GR 4 5 website, our agency's GR website. We might publish them, but I'm not exactly sure when -- when that 6 7 happens. 8 Q And on the front you see the section labeled "Bill Analysis Information"? 9 10 Α Yes. 11 0 And so the -- and it says the lead agency 12 analyst is Caleb and the additional analyst is Daniela. These are the folks you've mentioned 13 previously, right? 14 15 Α Correct. 16 And this says date of analysis is 0 December 14, 2020? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 Do you know how that date was established? 0 Honestly, I don't remember if that's the 20 Α 21 date we turn it into GR or that's the date -- it 2.2 might be the date we turned it into GR because it still says "draft" on it. I'm not sure. 23 What's your understanding of when the 24 Florida legislative session begins? 25

Page 101 The actual session begins? 1 Second Tuesday Α 2. after the first Monday or something like that in 3 whatever month it is. Sometimes it's January, sometimes it's March. But there's a -- there's a 4 5 section that says when it's going to start. Understood. 6 0 7 Would -- would that date indicate that 8 this analysis was started prior to the 2021 legislative session? 9 10 Α Yes. So would that indicate that this analysis 11 0 was started before Senate Bill 264 was introduced? 12 13 Object to form. MR. MOORE: My question is about the word 14 Α 15 "introduced," because bills are filed but then they're -- I think "introduced" has some kind of 16 specific meaning in the legislative process, and I 17 don't -- so I -- I don't know. 18 19 BY MR. HANCOCK: 20 0 Sure. 21 What's your understanding of the 22 bill-filing process? 23 Α The process meaning -- what do you mean? 24 I'm sorry. 25 Yeah, yeah. Can you just describe for me Q

```
Page 102
     what you know about how bills are filed and -- and
 1
 2
     when?
               My general understanding is that the --
     whatever -- whoever the bill sponsor is submits a
 4
 5
     bill to bill drafting. And whenever they are done
     with it, it gets filed officially. But I don't
 6
 7
     know -- I don't know all the inner workings of
 8
     the -- that process in the legislature.
 9
               Is your understanding that the filing
10
     process is when bills get their -- their number?
11
          Α
               I imagine that's part of the process.
12
          O
               If you turn to the last page of this
     exhibit.
13
14
          Α
               Yes.
15
               Do you see that bottom section called
          O
16
     "Approvals"?
17
          Α
               Yes.
18
               Do you see your name listed?
          Q
19
          Α
               I do.
20
               And there is a date of December 17, 2020;
21
     is that right?
2.2
          Α
               Yes.
23
               Does that mean you would have signed off
     on this draft as of that date?
24
25
          Α
               That's correct.
```

1	Page 103 Q And then at the bottom there is a	
2	there's Bethany Swonson's approval; is that right?	
3	A Yes.	
4	Q And that's dated January 22, 2021?	
5	A Yes.	
6	Q Do you know if any further approval was	
7	required for this to become final?	
8	A I don't know what the as I said, the	
9	specific process between this actual sign-off and	
10	review by the commissioner, briefing with the	
11	commissioner. But this this ends our process.	
12	Q Understood.	
13	Looking higher up on this page, do you see	
14	the section labeled "Legal General Counsel's Office	
15	Review"?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Do you see the bullet that starts "Lines	
18	80 to 83"?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q And do you see that last sentence that	
21	says: "Additionally, depending on who is recording	
22	and publishing the video, FERPA could be	
23	implicated"?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q Do you have an understanding as to why it	

Page 104 1 would depend upon who was recording the video? 2 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 3 Α I don't have a full understanding, and I don't have the language of the bill at the time in 4 front of me, but there seemed to be a concern about 5 it being unclear about who's doing the recording and 6 7 where the recording's going to be published and held 8 and everything else. BY MR. HANCOCK: 9 10 Let's move up a page. 0 Sure. Do you see the section labeled "Fiscal 11 12 Impact to State Government"? 13 Α Yes. And in the "expenditures" row, do you see 14 0 "The SBOE would face a one-time cost 15 where it says: 16 related to the development of the survey instrument 17 to ensure its validity"? 18 Α Yes. Does the board still understand that to be 19 0 20 a one-time cost? 21 Α I believe so. 22 Q Does the board have any present plans to 23 reuse the survey that was used in 2022? We haven't discussed that. 24 Α 25 Does the board have any present plans to Q

Page 105 1 develop a new or alternative survey for 2023? 2. Α We haven't discussed that. 3 0 Let's turn back a page. Α Back meaning towards the front? 4 Do you see the section labeled "What 5 Q is the position of affected citizens or stakeholder 6 7 groups"? 8 Α Yes. 9 Do you see there is a row for proponents 10 and a summary of their position? 11 Α Yes. 12 0 And do you see in that field the only thing it says is "unknown"? 13 14 Α Yes. Was the board at this time unaware of any 15 0 proponents of House Bill 264 who would be affected 16 by the bill? 17 18 Α Yes. It was unknown at the time. 19 And do you see the second row is for 0 20 opponents and a summary of their position? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q And it says: "There are professors and 23 faculty members who are opposed"? 24 Α Yes. 25 How did the board know that there were Q

Page 106 1 professors and faculty members who were opposed? 2 Δ I believe at this time there had been news 3 articles or other postings regarding the bill, and that's how we would have known. 4 And it's your understanding that those 5 news articles discussed or reflected the views of 6 7 professors and faculty members? 8 Α Can you ask that question again, please? Those news articles or media that 9 10 you referenced, it's your understanding that media discussed the views of professors and faculty 11 members? 12 13 Α Yes. And is it your understanding that there 14 was no support for the bill expressed in that media? 15 I don't know the answer to that. 16 Α 17 0 Do you see where it says: "A few reasons 18 being that forced or coerced speech, e.g., survey on 19 political views, violates First Amendment rights"? 20 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 21 Α I see what it -- what it states here, yes, 2.2 the bills analysis. 23 BY MR. HANCOCK: Is it your understanding that it was the 24 25 views of professors and faculty members that the

Page 107 1 survey and political views violates free speech? 2. Α It's my understanding that that's what we had observed based on what we had read. 3 And the board had also observed that there 4 0 was "uncertainty about how the results will be 5 6 used"? 7 MR. MOORE: Object to form. The document reflects what our 8 Δ 9 understanding was at the time. 10 BY MR. HANCOCK: And the board's understanding at the time 11 0 12 was that professors and faculty members felt that the bill "may conflict with the principle of 13 academic freedom clauses and faculty union and 14 15 collective bargaining agreements"; is that right? That's what the document states. 16 Α And that reflects the board's views of 17 0 18 what the stakeholders thought? 19 Δ It reflects their understanding at the 20 time. 21 So going up a page again, do you see the Q 2.2 section labeled "Substantive Bill Analysis"? 23 Α Yes. And it begins with the section called 24 0 25 "Present Situation"?

1	Page 108
1	A Yes.
2	Q What is that section there for?
3	A It's to provide a summary of circumstances
4	related to the legislation the topic related in
5	legislation as those circumstances are at the
6	present time that the analysis is prepared.
7	Q So the intent is to reflect the situation
8	before that bill becomes law?
9	A Correct.
10	Q And do you see that last sentence that
11	says: "FCS institutions may have local policies and
12	procedures related to expressive activities for
13	individuals, organizations, and guests. These
14	policies must be reasonable, tailored to the
15	institution's interest and content neutral in time,
16	place, and manner of expression"?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Is that an accurate assessment of the
19	situation prior to House Bill 233?
20	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
21	A By "after" do you mean all encompassing
22	accurate or just the statement as part of the
23	broader paragraph?
24	BY MR. HANCOCK:
25	Q Yeah. Exactly. Whether the statement is

	Page 109
1	true on its own.
2	A I believe so.
3	(Exhibit 15 was marked for
4	identification.)
5	MR. HANCOCK: I am presenting the witness
6	with what's being marked as Exhibit 15.
7	BY MR. HANCOCK:
8	Q The first part of this is an e-mail from
9	March 10, 2021. Do you recognize this e-mail?
10	MR. MOORE: Counsel, if I could, I'm not
11	sure that I have a correct copy.
12	MR. HANCOCK: Oh. Sorry. It's out of
13	order. The e-mail's on the back instead of the
14	attachment.
15	MR. MOORE: The e-mail beginning on
16	Defendants' 8 084317?
17	MR. HANCOCK: Exactly. Or it begins on
18	84316.
19	MR. MOORE: Okay.
20	A (Examining Document.)
21	Yes.
22	BY MR. HANCOCK:
23	Q Who is this e-mail sent on behalf of?
24	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
25	A I can't remember what the actual acronym

Page 110 1 ACFS -- what the actual words are, but it's a -- it 2. looks like it was discussed among the Council for Instruction Affairs, the CIA, voting members. 3 BY MR. HANCOCK: 4 And is it your understanding that the 5 Board of Education received this e-mail? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 0 And if you'd turn to the attachment. Do 9 you see in that first paragraph where the acronym is 10 laid out as Advisory Council of Faculty Sentence? 11 Α Oh, yes. Thank you. 12 0 What's your understanding of what that entity is? 13 I don't have a good understanding of that 14 15 entity other than what the title says. It sounds like it's an association for all of the faculties in 16 17 it. 18 Q Do you know if that includes faculty from 19 the Florida College System? Let me see. I don't know. I don't know. 20 Α 21 I can -- I can read what it says in their whereas 2.2 clause, the first one, but I don't have any direct 23 knowledge of who is on that council. 24 0 Understood. 25 And this document -- this is a resolution,

Page 111 1 right? 2. That's what it's titled. 3 0 And the resolution is that "ACFS supports the free exchange of ideas on campus and therefore 4 opposes a legislative entitlement to record classes 5 and mandatory survey"; is that right? 6 7 Α That's what it states. 8 MR. MOORE: Object to form. BY MR. HANCOCK: 9 10 Did the board receive resolutions like O this from any other entities? 11 12 I don't recall. Α Did the board receive resolutions from 13 0 organizations in support of House Bill 233? 14 I don't recall. 15 Α If you look on the left, the lines are 16 Q 17 Do you see a paragraph starting with line 23? 18 19 May I go back to the previous question 20 about the board receiving this? 21 Sure. Q It looks like we are included on the list 2.2 Δ 23 of people receiving -- copied on this resolution where the e-mail is sent; like the chancellor, FCS, 24 general e-mail inbox, and I think I see Carrie 25

August 18, 2022 Page 112 1 Henderson and other people on there. 2 It says: "Good morning. Attached is the resolution, " and the author, Brittany, says she'll 3 be sending an e-mail attachment to CIA voting 4 members to determine their level of interest and 5 continuing the discussion. And the e-mail from 6 7 ACFS: "In addition, I will seek guidance from COP." So it looks like Brittany Snyder in her 8 capacity as -- with CIA is sending this out to a lot 9 10 of people to say she's going to seek further 11 quidance. 12 So I guess by virtue of the fact that some of us, and our general e-mail address, were included 13 in Brittany's e-mail to all of the recipients of 14 this list were being informed that the faculty 15 senate group, ACFS, has a resolution or did 16 something with this resolution, and she is going to 17 seek further guidance on it. 18 19 So when you're asking me about the board receiving it, it looks like the department did 20 21 because we were included on the e-mail. It doesn't look like it was from the ACFS directly. It looks 2.2 23 like it was through Brittany Snyder. But there

sent it to the board. I don't know.

could be some other e-mail somewhere where the ACFS

24

25

1	Page 113 O Understood.
	~
2	Returning to the resolution
3	A Yes.
4	Q do you see the paragraph starting at
5	line 23?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Do you see that it says: "A recording
8	entitlement will have the counterproductive effect
9	of limiting the range of viewpoints expressed in
10	class"?
11	A I see that it says that, yes.
12	Q Was BOE concerned that a recording
13	entitlement would have that effect?
14	A I don't know other than what was in our
15	bill analysis what the what other discussions or
16	thoughts the board members might have had.
17	Q Have you been part of any discussions
18	about a concern that the recording entitlement will
19	have this effect?
20	A It's possible. We talk about a lot of
21	things during during the session about bills, so
22	there there definitely is a possibility that that
23	could have happened. I don't recall a specific
24	incidence of it, but it's certainly possible.
25	Q Do you recall any any of the Florida

Page 114

- 1 College System institutions expressing a concern
- 2 that a recording entitlement will limit the range of
- 3 viewpoints expressed in class?
- 4 A I recall receiving -- or having phone
- 5 calls with -- with -- or conversations, I should
- 6 say, with presidents who had concerns about
- 7 recording provisions. Whether or not they
- 8 specifically said this was their concern, I don't
- 9 recall.
- 11 raised?
- 12 A The one I remember the most was the --
- 13 just a concern about implementation, but I don't
- 14 recall whether it was at this point in time or later
- 15 when the bill was filed, or what version of the
- 16 bill.
- 17 Q Yeah. In what sort of capacity did -- did
- 18 presidents raise these concerns?
- 19 A What do you mean "capacity"?
- 20 Q Was it in a meeting, or did you receive
- 21 e-mails, or how did they express these concerns?
- 22 A I know I have -- I know I talked to
- 23 presidents on the phone, individual presidents
- 24 fairly regularly. It's typical for them to call me
- 25 on the phone and ask a question. And they meet

Page 115 regularly as a council of presidents. 1 And sometimes 2. I'm in attendance in those meetings, and sometimes 3 I'm not. Do you recall any concerns about the 4 0 recording provision coming up in a council 5 presidents meeting that you attended? 6 7 Α I don't recall. It's possible, but I don't recall it. 8 9 Do you recall any concerns expressed in --10 in phone calls with presidents? 11 I do remember having a phone call and Α 12 perhaps more than one phone call about the recording provisions. 13 Do you recall who those calls were with? 14 15 Α I think one of them was actually not with 16 a president, it was with the general counsel for Valencia, Bill Mullowney. 17 18 Sorry. Can you say that name one more 19 time? 20 Sure. Bill Mullowney. Α 21 And can you spell that last name? Q 22 I think it's M-U-L-L-O-W-N-E-Y. I think Α 23 that's right. And do you recall phone conversations with 24 anyone from any other colleges? 25

Page 116 1 I don't recall exactly. Α 2 Q And do you recall any -- what do you recall about your conversation with Bill Mullowney? 3 I think that they were focused on --4 Α because he is an attorney, so they were focused on 5 implementing -- implementing the legislation. 6 7 think his concern was, if I recall, it had to do with making sure they implemented it properly in 8 accordance with -- and that -- that conversation was 9 10 more I think towards the end of session, not -- not when it first introduced but later, later versions 11 of the bill. 12 And what kind of questions did Bill have 13 0 about implementation? 14 15 I don't know if they were actually questions so much as him telling me things they 16 17 were -- they were thinking about and -- and -- and 18 what they were discussing as to how they were --19 as -- as legislation gets filed or -- and certainly when it's passed, but even as things are being 20 21 introduced and discussed in the legislature, folks in the field start to think about how will we 2.2 23 implement this and how will it work. And so my recollection of my conversation 24 25 with him is about how will this work and how will we

Page 117 do it in individual classrooms or across the 1 2 college. 3 0 Returning to the resolution, do you see the paragraph starting with line 37? 4 Α Yes. 5 It says, after the "whereas" quote: 6 0 7 ability to recruit and retain faculty in the SUS 8 will be substantially harmed by student entitlement to record class." 9 10 Did the Board of Education have a concern that the ability to recruit and retain faculty would 11 12 be harmed by entitlement to record class? 13 I don't recall that concern. Α Did you have any discussions with faculty 14 15 members in the Florida College System about this issue? 16 17 Α I do not -- with faculty, no. 18 0 Did you discuss this issue with anyone 19 else from the Florida College System? Do you mean the issue specifically in that 20 Α 21 "whereas" clause? 2.2 Q Yeah. The issue of the ability to recruit 23 and retain faculty, given an entitlement to record? I don't recall any conversation about 24 Α 25 that.

```
Page 118
 1
               Bill Mullowney, is -- is he a faculty
 2
     member at Valencia?
 3
          Α
               No.
                    No.
               Do you recall any discussions between the
 4
          0
 5
     Board of Education and the faculty members about the
     effects of the recording provision?
 6
 7
          Α
               I don't recall any.
               (Exhibit 16 was marked for
 8
          identification.)
 9
               MR. HANCOCK:
10
                              I am going to present the
          witness with what's being marked as Exhibit 16.
11
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
12
13
               Do you recognize this e-mail chain?
          0
               (Examining Document.)
14
          Α
15
               Yes.
               And this chain starts as an e-mail from
16
          0
     Taylor Wolff on January 18, 2022; is that right?
17
18
          Α
               Yes.
19
               And she says she is writing to, quote,
          0
20
     follow up on the implementation of the survey
21
     requirement from HB 233; is that right?
2.2
               Yes.
          Α
                      That's what it says.
23
               And then in the next line it says, quote,
          0
     based on previous discussions between our office and
24
25
     the BOG and the DOE.
```

1	Page 119
1 2	Do you see that? A Yes.
3	Q Was was there a follow-up from the
4	legislature prior to this January 18 e-mail?
5	A There could have been. I don't recall it
6	specifically, but there could have been conversation
7	with the governmental relations team.
8	Q Yeah. Would it be fair to say that in
9	general there has been follow-up from the
10	legislature on the implementation of this survey
11	requirement?
12	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
13	A This this is an example of follow-up.
14	BY MR. HANCOCK:
15	Q Yeah. Do you have a sense of when that
16	follow-up began?
17	A I don't.
18	Q And turning back to the first page, do you
19	see in the middle this e-mail from Alexis on
20	January 27, 2022?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And she says, quote, we'll have Kathy
23	Hebda and GR call Taylor tomorrow to update the
24	House.
25	Do you see that?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Who is GR?
3	А	Governmental relations.
4	Q	So just somebody from that department?
5	А	That's right.
6	Q	And then do you see in the last sentence
7	of that s	mall paragraph: "We are following the
8	SUS/BOG 1	ead and timeline"?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	And that's in reference to the Board of
11	Governors	and their efforts to develop a survey?
12	А	That's right.
13	Q	And so the intention in January was to
14	follow th	eir lead and timeline; is that right?
15	А	That's right.
16	Q	Did you end up getting on on the phone
17	with folk	s from the Florida House?
18	А	Probably.
19	Q	Do you recall
20	А	I don't recall a specific conversation,
21	but very	likely.
22	Q	And then in the next line, Alexis suggests
23	at the en	d that it may be worthwhile to have a joint
24	call with	FCS and SUS.
25		Do you see that?

1	Page 121 A Yes.
2	Q Do you know if that joint call occurred?
3	A I don't know. I don't recall.
4	Q How common is it for the legislature to
5	follow up with the board about a statute being
6	implemented?
7	A Quite common.
8	Q Usually what kinds of information are they
9	interested in?
10	A Some of the things you see here in this
11	e-mail, how what's the timeline. Sometimes the
12	statute will give a timeline, like this one did,
13	sometimes it doesn't, but they are still interested
14	in where we are in the process.
15	Q Do you know if any members of the House
16	were provided with drafts of the survey?
17	A Which which version of the survey?
18	Q Either version.
19	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
20	You can answer.
21	A I don't I don't know about the initial
22	survey that the Board of Governors was working on.
23	We are at this stage where we said, you know, we
24	were waiting for them and their timeline, so I don't
25	know what might have been shared on their part.

1 I mean, I'm try:	Page 122 Ing to recall if anybody in
2 governmental relations sha	ared our final version of
3 the survey before it was a	submitted. I think it's
4 likely that happened before	re it was sent out.
5 BY MR. HANCOCK:	
6 Q And who is Taylo	or Wolff?
7 A An attorney in t	the House of
8 Representatives. Probably	the yeah,
9 education/employment comm:	ttee.
10 Q And is it your t	understanding that Taylor
11 is employed by the committe	cee itself or
12 representatives who serve	on the committee?
13 MR. MOORE: Obje	ect to form.
14 A I don't know how	v they are I believe
15 it's the committee, but I	don't know exactly the
16 structure.	
17 BY MR. HANCOCK:	
18 Q Do you know e	earlier we mentioned that
19 there are registered lobby	vists on behalf of the BOE?
20 A Yes.	
21 Q And that's large	ely the executive
22 leadership; is that right?	
23 A Largely, yes.	
I and the second	
Q Do you know if t	they disclose lobbying in

Page 123

- 1 A I don't know.
- 2 Q Do you know if the -- did you attend any
- 3 hearings in the 2021 session beyond that January 26
- 4 hearing we talked about?
- 5 A I might have, yes. I think -- I know I
- 6 went to more than one hearing in that session, but I
- 7 don't recall exactly what it was on.
- 8 Q Do you have a rough sense of how many
- 9 hearings you would have attended?
- 10 A I want to say less than 10, but I would
- 11 have to check.
- 12 Q On January 26, you were giving a
- 13 presentation. Do you have a sense of what the
- 14 purpose was for your attendance at some of the other
- 15 hearings that session?
- 16 A I don't remember. But I can certainly
- 17 refresh my memory by checking my file and seeing
- 18 what was -- what we presented that day.
- 19 Q Did you attend any hearings during the
- 20 2022 legislative session?
- 21 A Yes. I say yes because I know I went to
- 22 at least one, but I don't know which one and what
- 23 day.
- 24 O But it sounds like it was much fewer than
- 25 the 2021 session?

Page 124 1 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 2 Α Honestly, I don't recall. I'd have to think back. I didn't review all of that before I 3 came today, so I'm not exactly sure. 4 5 BY MR. HANCOCK: And you're aware that in the 2022 session, 6 0 7 the legislature enacted House Bill 7? 8 Α Yes. 9 And what is your understanding of what 10 House Bill 7 does? 11 Object to form. MR. MOORE: The entire bill? 12 Α BY MR. HANCOCK: 13 14 0 Sure. 15 I'm not going to remember the entire bill. Α But there are provisions in the bill that deal 16 specifically with K-12, and there are provisions 17 18 that deal in -- with, I'm going to say, agencies in 19 general. And there are portions of the bill that discuss the definitions of discrimination and modify 20 21 sections of statute with regard to that. 22 Q In terms of what applies to agencies in 23 general, what's your understanding of what the bill does there? 24 25 Α There are provisions that relate to, as I

1	Page 125 said, the definition of discrimination.
2	MR. MOORE: And if we could, just for a
3	second, Counselor
4	MR. HANCOCK: Sure.
5	MR. MOORE: which topic of the notice
6	is this?
7	MR. HANCOCK: 9 or 10, I think.
8	MR. MOORE: Okay. If it's 10, I will
9	instruct the witness not to answer. I think
10	Judge Walker's order is explicitly clear.
11	MR. HANCOCK: You're instructing the
12	witness not to answer with respect to Topic 10?
13	MR. MOORE: No. With respect to the
14	extent you're asking the chancellor about
15	policies, documents, communications concerning
16	the board's understanding, oversight,
17	implementation of objective nondiscriminatory
18	instructions in Florida public schools,
19	including identification of distorted or
20	discriminatory lessons subject to instruction
21	materials as it relates to K through 12, I am
22	instructing her not to answer. And I would
23	refer you to ECF 126.
24	MR. HANCOCK: You're invoking that
25	protective order?

1	Page 126 MR. MOORE: I am.
2	MR. HANCOCK: And that is the basis for
3	the instruction?
4	MR. MOORE: I am instructing it, yes. I
5	think it's quite clear.
6	BY MR. HANCOCK:
7	Q Are you going to follow your counsel's
8	instruction?
9	A Yes.
10	Q What is your understanding of what House
11	Bill 7 does with respect to postsecondary
12	institutions?
13	A That refers to the portion on the
14	definitions of discrimination, and it I think
15	"prohibit" is the right word, but it prohibits
16	agencies or postsecondary institutions as an agency
17	from requiring certain kinds of training, and as a
18	condition of employment, that are considered
19	discriminatory.
20	Q And what's your understanding of the way
21	that bill altered definitions of discrimination?
22	MR. MOORE: And are you asking
23	specifically in the context of postsecondary
24	education?
25	MR. HANCOCK: No.

Page 127 1 Okay. I instruct you not to MR. MOORE: 2 answer. BY MR. HANCOCK: 3 Are you going to follow that instruction 4 5 with respect to K through 12? 6 I'm going to follow my attorney's 7 instruction. 8 0 Understood. What is your understanding of how House 9 10 Bill 7 changed definitions of discrimination as it applies to postsecondary institutions? 11 12 Α It prohibits the -- the requiring of employees to participate in training or any kind of 13 mandatory instruction that would be discriminatory 14 in nature and then it added to the definition of 15 what's included in discrimination. 16 17 0 And what is your understanding of those additions to the definition of discrimination as it 18 19 applies to postsecondary institutions? 20 There are a list of topics, if you will, Α 21 that were included in the legislation. And the -those topics are not prevented from being discussed, 2.2 23 but there is -- an institution is prevented from requiring a person to attend training where they're 24 25 required to or coerced into believing certain topics

Page 128

- 1 or beliefs that are listed in the law.
- 2 Q Do you have an understanding of how that
- 3 definition of discrimination applies outside the
- 4 training context for postsecondary institutions?
- 5 A What do you mean outside training?
- 6 Q Do you understand House Bill 7 to alter
- 7 the definition of discrimination for classroom
- 8 contact at postsecondary institutions?
- 9 A It doesn't alter at all the topics that
- 10 can be discussed in classrooms and in instruction.
- 11 What it prohibits is the coercion to believing
- 12 certain theories.
- 13 Q And you understand that to operate in the
- 14 classroom?
- 15 MR. MOORE: Objection to the extent it
- 16 calls for a legal conclusion.
- 17 You can answer.
- 18 A Yeah.
- 19 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 21 House Bill 7 to coerce somebody into believing the
- 22 identified topics in a classroom?
- MR. MOORE: Same objection.
- 24 A I don't think I know the full answer to
- 25 that. I think some of that might be circumstantial,

Page 129 so I don't -- I don't -- I don't know. 1 2. BY MR. HANCOCK: 3 0 Do you have an understanding as to whether the definition of discrimination in House Bill 7 4 would apply to classrooms at postsecondary 5 institutions? 6 7 MR. MOORE: Object to the extent it calls 8 for a legal conclusion. 9 You can answer. 10 I don't know what you mean "applies to Α 11 classrooms." BY MR. HANCOCK: 12 13 Do you have an understanding as to whether 0 any conduct in classrooms could be considered 14 15 discriminatory under House Bill 7? 16 Same objection. MR. MOORE: 17 You can answer. 18 Α I don't know because I don't know that any 19 conduct -- I mean, that's -- that's a -- that's 20 broad, so I'm not sure. 21 BY MR. HANCOCK: 2.2 0 Was the Board of Education consulted by 23 legislators prior to the introduction of House Bill 7? 24 25 Α I don't know.

Page 130 1 Did the Board of Education have any 2 discussions with the Governor's Office about House Bill 7 before it was introduced? 3 4 Α I don't know. Do you know if the Board of Education 5 0 proposed any amendments to House Bill 7 while it was 6 7 pending? I don't recall. I would have to check and 8 Δ 9 see. I don't -- I don't recall. 10 Do you recall whether any were proposed at 0 11 all? 12 I don't recall. Α 13 Do you recall whether a bill analysis was 0 requested from the board with regards to House 14 Bill 7? 15 Object to form. 16 MR. MOORE: 17 Α Likely it was. BY MR. HANCOCK: 18 19 So you would expect it, but you don't recall specifically? 20 21 Α Right. 22 Q Has anyone from the legislature followed up on implementation of House Bill 7? 23 24 Α With regard to the postsecondary 25 provisions?

1	Page 131 Q In any sense.
2	MR. MOORE: To the extent you're asking
3	about Topic 9 and not limiting it to the
4	postsecondary education scenario, I'm
5	<u>-</u>
	objecting, referring back to the Court's prior
6	ruling, and instruct the witness not to answer.
7	BY MR. HANCOCK:
8	Q And are you going to follow that
9	instruction?
10	A Yes, I am.
11	Q Has anyone from the legislature followed
12	up on implementation with regard to sorry. Let
13	me rephrase.
14	Has anyone from the legislature followed
15	up on the implementation of House Bill 7 with regard
16	to postsecondary institutions?
17	A I'm not aware in my in my chancellor
18	capacity, but it's possible that there has been
19	follow-up.
20	Q Are you aware of any of that follow-up?
21	A I'm not aware of it.
22	Q Are you aware that in the 2022 session,
23	the legislature passed House Bill 1557?
24	A Yes.
25	Q What is your understanding of what House

1	Page 132 Bill 1557 does?
2	MR. MOORE: I'm going to object to the
3	extent it calls for a legal conclusion.
4	You can answer if you know.
5	A I'd have to look at the bill to refresh my
6	memory.
7	BY MR. HANCOCK:
8	Q Do you remember anything about what that
9	bill does?
10	A I'm drawing a blank. I apologize.
11	Q Are you aware of media reports referring
12	to that bill as the "Don't say gay bill"?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Does that refresh your recollection as to
15	what the bill does?
16	A It does, yes.
17	Q What's your understanding of what that
18	bill does?
19	A I believe those provisions apply to
20	students in primary grades and concerning
21	discussions with teachers and students at that age.
22	Q And what is your understanding of what
23	House Bill 1557 does with respect to those
24	discussions?
25	A My recollection is that it focuses on the

Page 133 parents' role in having discussions on certain 1 2 topics with their children at that age rather than the teacher. 3 And what topics are those? 4 Α I'm not going to recall exactly what the words are, but I think it has to do with gender 6 7 identity. Again, I'd have to look at the bill 8 again. I apologize, I don't have it in front of me. 9 Sure. In your own words --10 Α I obviously focused on this other thing more than I did on that. 11 12 0 No, of course. In your own words, do you have any other 13 understanding as to what topics House Bill 1557 14 15 relates to? Not without looking at the bill again. 16 Α 17 0 Do you know if the Board of Education was 18 consulted by the legislature before House Bill 1557 19 was introduced? 20 Α I don't know. 21 Q Do you know if the Board of Education 22 offered or proposed any amendments to House 23 Bill 1557? 24 Α I don't know. 25 Do you know if the Board of Education Q

Page 134 performed a bill analysis about House Bill 1557? 1 2 Α Likely we did. 3 0 But you don't know specifically? I don't have it in -- I mean, it's not top 4 Α of mind, but I'm sure we did. 5 And are you aware of any follow-up from 6 0 7 the legislature on implementing House Bill 1557? 8 Α Is it -- in -- in my purview as 9 chancellor -- I know I am answering on behalf of the 10 agency, but in my purview of chancellor, I haven't 11 had any. But it's very likely they had followed up 12 with regard to K-12. 13 Have you heard specifically about any 0 follow-up that's occurred? 14 15 I haven't heard specifically. Α Have you heard generally about the 16 0 17 legislature following up with regard to implementing House Bill 1557? 18 19 I have heard discussion about implementing 20 1557, and it's -- it's -- as we've seen already, 21 it's typical for the legislature to ask questions of 2.2 where our agency is with implementing things they 23 There is probably documents that I'm just passed. not recalling that could refresh my memory about 24 25 that follow-up.

```
Page 135
               (Exhibit 17 was marked for
 1
 2
          identification.)
                              I am going to present the
 3
               MR. HANCOCK:
          witness what's being marked as Exhibit 17.
 4
 5
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
 6
               Do you recognize this e-mail?
          Q
 7
          Α
               (Examining Document.)
               I don't recall seeing this e-mail. It may
 8
 9
     be something that I was responsible for looking at.
10
     So I don't know.
11
               Do you know who Stephanie is?
          Q
12
          Α
               Yes.
13
               Who is that?
          0
               She -- I think she is the governor's point
14
          Α
15
     person on legislative affairs.
               And do you know who Tonya Shays is?
16
          Q
17
          Α
               No.
18
          Q
               I apologize. It's a large document, so
19
     it's been printed double-sided. But if you flip to
20
     the backside, do you see towards the top left a
21
     number 5-01233-22?
2.2
          Α
               Yes.
23
               Do you know what that number means?
          0
24
          Α
               No.
25
               Have you previously seen documents that
          Q
```

Page 137 1 Α Okay. 2 Q You know, it says: "A bill to be entitled 'An Act Relating to Higher Education' amending 3 Section 1001.03 of Florida Statutes." You see where 4 "Providing that a Florida College System 5 institution that fails to comply with requirements 6 7 relating to intellectual freedom and viewpoint diversity is not eligible to receive certain 8 performance-based funding"? 9 10 Yes, I see that. Α 11 Have you reviewed any other draft bills 0 that would enact a similar law? 12 13 MR. MOORE: To the extent you are inquiring about internal communications that 14 did not reach the legislature that is 15 pre-enactment, I am instructing the witness not 16 17 to answer on the grounds of legislative 18 privilege and deliberative process privilege. 19 MR. HANCOCK: Are you representing that 20 these discussions did not reach the 21 legislature? 2.2 I have no idea. I have made MR. MOORE: 23 my objection, and I have instructed the To the extent she knows, that's fine. 24 witness. 25 But to the extent these pertain to internal

1	Page 138 communications, I have made my objection and my
2	instruction.
3	MR. HANCOCK: Okay.
4	BY MR. HANCOCK:
5	Q Have you been part of any discussions or
6	have you reviewed any drafts of a law that would
7	enact a requirement like this that you can speak
8	about?
9	A What do you mean that I can speak about?
10	Q Do you do you intend to follow your
11	counsel's instructions?
12	A I do.
13	Q Are there any have you reviewed any
14	drafts that you don't think would relate to your
15	counsel's instruction?
16	A Not that I recall.
17	Q Have you been part of any discussions with
18	the legislature about conditioning performance-based
19	funding on compliance with the intellectual freedom
20	and viewpoint diversity survey?
21	MR. MOORE: If you know the answer, you
22	can answer.
23	A I don't recall any discussions.
24	MR. HANCOCK: This might be a good time to
25	break for lunch. I don't know exactly what

	1	Page 139 time it is.
	2	MR. MOORE: I have 12 12:20, but I am
	3	not the official keeper of the clock.
	4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah, you want to go
	5	off the video record?
	6	MR. HANCOCK: Yes, let's do. Thank you.
	7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off
	8	record at 12:20 p.m.
	9	(A recess took place from 12:20 p.m. to
	10	1:36 p.m.)
	11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going back
	12	on record at 13:36.
	13	BY MR. HANCOCK:
	14	Q Good afternoon, Chancellor. Did you have
	15	a good lunch?
	16	A Yes. Thank you.
	17	Q Did you speak with your counsel during the
	18	break?
	19	A I did.
	20	Q Did you discuss the deposition?
	21	MR. MOORE: I instruct the witness not to
	22	answer to the extent it calls for
	23	attorney/client communications.
	24	BY MR. HANCOCK:
	25	Q Did you discuss how to answer questions in
- 1		

	Page 140
1	the deposition?
2	MR. MOORE: Same instruction.
3	BY MR. HANCOCK:
4	Q Are you not going to answer that question?
5	A I thought I was instructed not to.
6	Q Did you discuss the substance of your
7	testimony with counsel during the break?
8	MR. MOORE: Same instruction.
9	BY MR. HANCOCK:
10	Q Are you going to not answer per the
11	instruction?
12	A Per the instruction.
13	Q Sure.
14	What do you understand the shielding
15	provisions to do from HB 233?
16	A My understanding of the shielding
17	provisions is it prevents government agencies, which
18	would include Florida College System institutions,
19	from protecting students and faculty from speech
20	that's protected by the First Amendment that they
21	might find unwelcomed, uncomfortable, several other
22	words to that effect.
23	Q And what's your understanding of the
24	the purpose behind enacting the shielding
25	provisions?

1	Page 141 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
2	A What do you mean my understanding of the
3	purpose?
4	BY MR. HANCOCK:
5	Q Yeah, the purpose by the shielding
6	provisions.
7	A What do they do, or what the legislature
8	intended?
9	Q What's your understanding of why they were
10	put into place?
11	A My understanding is to so that students
12	and faculty wouldn't be shielded by agencies from
13	things that are speeches protected by the First
14	Amendment.
15	Q And and what does "shielding" mean?
16	A By shielding, it includes suppressing that
17	kind of speech, causing it not to happen.
18	Q And you think any action taken by a
19	government agency that would cause speech not to
20	happen qualifies as shielding under these
21	provisions?
22	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
23	A The speech that's discussed in the bill.
24	BY MR. HANCOCK:
25	Q Right.
1	

```
Page 142
 1
               So -- so some speech that's not -- the
          Α
 2
     speech is not covered by the bill.
 3
          0
               But if speech covered by the bill were
     cause to be stopped or not happen, that would
 4
 5
     constitute shielding?
 6
          Α
               Yes.
 7
          Q
               And let's look back at Exhibit 1, just
 8
     the -- the text of the bill. If you look at the
     second page, the Subsection (c) in the middle,
 9
10
     that -- that says that the Board of Education may
     not shield, right?
11
12
          Α
               Yes.
               What's your understanding of actions the
13
          0
     Board of Education could take that would constitute
14
15
     shielding?
                            Object to form.
16
               MR. MOORE:
17
          Α
               Are you looking for an example of what
     could be shielding if the board --
18
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
19
20
               Right.
          Q
21
          Α
               Is that what you are asking?
22
          Q
               Correct.
23
               I don't -- I don't know if I have a
          Α
     specific example.
24
25
               Are you aware of any instances of the
          Q
```

	Page 143
1	Board of Education engaging in shielding?
2	A No.
3	Q And then if we turn to the next page, do
4	you see in the middle that section labeled "Right to
5	Free Speech Activities"?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And below that is a Subsection (f). Do
8	you see that?
9	A I do.
10	Q And this instructs that a Florida College
11	System institution or state university may not
12	shield; is that right?
13	A Yes. That's what it states.
14	Q Aside from Florida College System
15	institutions, state universities, the Board of
16	Education, and the Board of Governors, are there any
17	other entities that this statute prohibits from
18	shielding?
19	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
20	A Those are the ones that I recall. It's
21	primarily those four that the bill addresses.
22	BY MR. HANCOCK:
23	Q Would university administrators be
24	prohibited from shielding?
25	A I suppose that would be that would be

Page 144 1 in their capacity as the university. If the 2. institution is prevented from shielding, then if 3 they are acting on behalf of the university. Are faculty prohibited from shielding? 4 Q MR. MOORE: Object to form. It doesn't specifically include faculty as 6 7 being prevented from shielding. 8 BY MR. HANCOCK: Does the board understand that faculty are 9 10 prohibited from shielding? 11 Α That they are prohibited from shielding? 12 0 Yes. Our understanding of the bill is it 13 Α doesn't limit faculty or staff at the institution, 14 but it limits the institution from doing that. 15 Is faculty instruction considered on 16 0 behalf of the institution? 17 18 MR. MOORE: Object to form. It doesn't address instructions 19 Δ 20 specifically. 21 BY MR. HANCOCK: 2.2 0 If a student came to the board alleging 23 that a faculty member had engaged in shielding during class, would they consider that a violation 24 25 of the statute?

Page 145 1 Object to form. MR. MOORE: If the student came to whom? 2 Α I'm sorry. BY MR. HANCOCK: 3 If -- if a student came to the board 4 alleging that a faculty member had engaged in 5 shielding in class, would they consider that a 6 7 violation of the statute? 8 MR. MOORE: Same objection. 9 Α If a student contacts us with a complaint 10 or a concern about something, we would have to look into what the student -- the situation was with the 11 12 student. So based on what you just said, I wouldn't 13 know if shielding had occurred just because a 14 15 student sent an e-mail about a faculty member. BY MR. HANCOCK: 16 If the board determined that a 17 0 Sure. 18 faculty member had engaged in shielding in the 19 classroom, would that violate the statute? 20 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 21 Α Can you ask the question again, please? BY MR. HANCOCK: 2.2 If the Board of Education 23 Sure. 0 determined that a faculty member had engaged in 24 shielding in the classroom, would that violate the 25

Page 146 1 statute? 2 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 3 Α The statute doesn't address faculty shielding, it addresses the institution shielding. 4 5 So I don't think -- I don't think that could occur based on the way that the question was asked. 6 7 BY MR. HANCOCK: 8 0 So is the board's position that a faculty 9 member engaging in shielding would not violate the 10 statute? 11 Object to form. MR. MOORE: 12 Α I don't know that faculty -- the way the bill is lined -- or is written, the limits on 13 shielding are placed on the State Board of 14 15 Education, Board of Governors, and the institutions. That's where the limits are placed. 16 17 BY MR. HANCOCK: 18 0 I understand that. 19 And so I'm asking if the Board of Education considers shielding by faculty members to 20 21 violate these provisions as written? 2.2 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 23 But I don't see where the bill addresses Α shielding by faculty members. It addresses 24 25 shielding by the State Board of Education, the Board

August 18, 2022 Page 147 1 of Governors, and the institutions themselves. 2. BY MR. HANCOCK: So does the board not have a view on 3 0 whether faculty members are prohibited from 4 5 shielding? Α We just implement what's passed by the 6 7 legislature, so we have -- we have to implement the 8 law with fidelity. And since the law is specific to 9 those four entities -- or four types of entities, I 10 should say, since it's all institutions, FCS and 11 SUS, then that's what we would be implementing. 12 0 Sure. 13 So a moment ago you indicated that a university administrator could violate the statute 14 15 because they would be acting on behalf of the institution; is that right? 16 17 Α Yes. Does the Board of Education consider 18 0 19 faculty members teaching in a classroom to be acting on behalf of their institution? 20 21 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 2.2 Α Not in the same way because what --23 because if the limit is on the institution shielding, the administrator's role at the 24

institution is different than the faculty who's

25

- 1 required to provide instruction.
- 2 And so in this case, it seems that the
- 3 administrator would have a different role than the
- 4 faculty would on behalf of the institution.
- 5 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 6 Q Does House Bill 233 distinguish between
- 7 the administrator roles and faculty roles?
- 8 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 9 A I believe that it does talk about -- one
- 10 moment, please.
- 11 (Short pause.)
- 12 A So one of the things the bill does under
- 13 Subsection (3), Paragraph A, was to amend expressive
- 14 activities protected under the First Amendment, and
- 15 so it includes faculty, research, lectures,
- 16 writings, and commentary, whether published or
- 17 unpublished.
- 18 So in that manner, it seems to
- 19 distinguish -- or at least cull out, I would say,
- 20 faculty and faculty activities as being expressive
- 21 activities included under the First Amendment.
- 22 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 23 Q Right. So, for example, the portion you
- 24 identified, one thing included in that would be,
- 25 say, published faculty research is an expressive

August 18, 2022 Page 150 1 And so if a professor in the course of 0 2 teaching a class decided to limit their students' 3 exposure to a piece of published faculty research, would that violate this provision? 4 MR. MOORE: Object to form. I don't know. It sounds like a 6 7 hypothetical situation. I don't know all the 8 circumstances and what it -- I -- I don't know. BY MR. HANCOCK: 9 10 If the board determined that a faculty 0 member had shielded their students from a piece of 11 12 published faculty research, would they consider that a violation of this provision? 13 Object to form. 14 MR. MOORE: 15 I don't know, because I'm not sure how Α 16 that would happen. I just don't know. 17 BY MR. HANCOCK: Does the Board of Education have a 18 0 19 position on the scope of what is considered a 20 Florida college institution for the purposes of the 21 shielding provisions? 2.2 Α What do you mean "a scope"? Does the Board of Education have a 23 0

24

25

position on which Florida College System institution

employees are considered as acting on behalf of the

Page 151 institution for purposes of the shielding provision? 1 2. Α The board hasn't taken a position 3 specifically on the question you just asked me. The distinction -- the only distinction that I'm aware 4 of was the one that I pointed out where it 5 specifically talks about what faculty do in this 6 7 regard in (3)(a). 8 And it doesn't list administrators there, 9 although there are some administrators that do also 10 teach courses. So to the extent that would apply to 11 them, then they would be included in the faculty 12 provisions. 13 Are you aware of any faculty members who 0 have inquired with the board whether or not they're 14 prohibited from shielding? 15 I'm not aware they've inquired -- inquired 16 Α 17 directly with the board. I'm not aware of that. If the board determined that a teacher had 18 0 19 limited their students access to First Amendment 20 protected speech and the Florida College System 21 didn't do anything about it, would that constitute shielding? 22 23 Object to form. MR. MOORE: 24 I don't know, because I don't know all the Α 25 circumstances around the situation. We would have

- 1 to look into it further.
- 2 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 3 Q Do you understand this provision to
- 4 obligate Florida College System institutions to
- 5 provide access to protected speech?
- 6 A I don't think that it affirmatively
- 7 requires them to provide access to anything
- 8 specific. It just prevents them from shielding
- 9 students from protected speech.
- 10 Q If a Florida College System institution
- 11 was permitting professors or faculty to limit their
- 12 students access to protected speech, would that
- 13 constitute shielding?
- MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 15 A Can you ask that again, please?
- 16 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 17 Q Sure.
- 18 A I'm trying to keep who said what to who
- 19 and when did they say it, is what I'm trying to keep
- 20 straight in my head.
- 21 Q Yeah. If a Florida College System
- 22 institution permitted their faculty to limit their
- 23 students access to protected First Amendment speech,
- 24 would that constitute shielding?
- MR. MOORE: Same objection.

Page 153 I would have to 1 Again, I don't know. 2. understand the situation better. BY MR. HANCOCK: 3 So, for example, if a Florida College 4 System institution adopted a policy permitting 5 professors to limit access to unwelcome ideas, would 6 7 that violate the shielding provision? 8 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 9 I would have to see the policy. 10 if -- it's possible. BY MR. HANCOCK: 11 12 0 But you don't know whether a policy as 13 described would violate the shielding provision? 14 Same objection. MR. MOORE: 15 Α I don't know without reading a specific 16 policy. BY MR. HANCOCK: 17 18 0 What kinds of ideas or opinions cannot be 19 shielded under this provision? 20 Object to form. MR. MOORE: 21 Α The statute says those that a student or 2.2 faculty member may find uncomfortable, unwelcomed, 23 disagreeable, or offensive. BY MR. HANCOCK: 24 25 And what is the board's understanding of Q

1 what uncomfortable means?

- 2 A The board hasn't provided a definition of
- 3 uncomfortable.
- 4 Q Does the board have an operating
- 5 definition of that term?
- 6 A We don't have rule making in conjunction
- 7 with this, so we haven't provided -- we don't --
- 8 there is no state board rule that has definitions or
- 9 anything else related to this statute.
- 10 Q Has the board issued any guidance as to
- 11 what would be an uncomfortable idea or opinion?
- 12 A No. Our quidance was to institutions when
- 13 the bill passed, they should review their policies
- 14 to determine whether they were in compliance with
- 15 the statute.
- 16 O Does the board have an understanding of
- 17 what an unwelcomed idea or opinion would be?
- 18 A We have not issued a definition of
- 19 unwelcomed.
- 20 Q Does the board have an understanding of
- 21 what a disagreeable idea or opinion would be?
- 22 A We have not issued a definition of
- 23 disagreeable.
- 24 Q Could you give me an example of an idea
- 25 that -- or opinion that would be considered

Page 156 understands it? 1 2. Α Yes. "That they may find uncomfortable, unwelcomed, disagreeable, or offensive." 3 So you don't know what kinds of ideas or 4 Q opinions would constitute uncomfortable ones because 5 you don't know what other people might find 6 7 uncomfortable? 8 Α That's right. 9 Do Florida College -- and so do Florida 10 College System institutions understand what other people may find uncomfortable? 11 12 MR. MOORE: Object to form. I don't know what they understand. 13 Α BY MR. HANCOCK: 14 15 Can you give me an example of an idea or 0 opinion that would be considered unwelcomed? 16 17 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 18 Α It's going to depend on what the person who is hearing the idea would think is unwelcomed. 19 BY MR. HANCOCK: 20 21 But since you don't know who may hear it, 0 you don't know whether it would be unwelcomed? 22 23 I don't know. Α Can you give me an example of an idea or 24 0 opinion that would be disagreeable? 25

Page 157 1 Object to form. MR. MOORE: 2. Α The same answer. BY MR. HANCOCK: 3 The answer being that it's -- it's 4 subjective, so you don't know? 5 It's the other person's determination of 6 7 what they believe or what they feel is -- or that they have found is uncomfortable, unwelcomed, 8 disagreeable, or offensive. 9 10 Has the Board of Education considered promulgating a rule regarding the shielding 11 provisions? 12 The statute doesn't give us rule-making 13 Α 14 authority, so we wouldn't -- we wouldn't be doing a 15 rule on the shielding provisions. Is -- is the Board of Education authorized 16 Q 17 to issue guidance in the absence of rule-making 18 authority? 19 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 20 What do you mean "authorized"? 21 BY MR. HANCOCK: 22 0 Is there anything prohibiting the board 23 from issuing guidance as to the meaning of this 24 statute? 25 Object to form. MR. MOORE:

Page 158 Doesn't explicitly -- the statute doesn't 1 2. and the law doesn't and the bill didn't prohibit the 3 department from -- there is nothing expressed in the bill that prevents us from issuing guidance. At the 4 same time, our role in implementation is -- is 5 dictated by the statute which will tell us whether 6 7 we write rules or -- whether we are required to 8 write rules or we may write rules or whether the 9 state board has to adopt something perhaps outside 10 of a rule like the accreditation list we talked 11 about earlier today. 12 And so our -- our guidance was a recommendation to the institutions that they review 13 their own policies for compliance with the law. 14 BY MR. HANCOCK: 15 16 Are you aware of, generally speaking, Q situations where the board offers guidance to the 17 18 Florida College System on how to comply with 19 statutory requirements? 20 For sure. If there is a state board rule, 21 we offer guidance when the rule is passed on -- we 2.2 typically call it "technical assistance." Sometimes 23 there's a "frequently asked questions" document that goes with that rule. 24 25 So we do regularly offer guidance when

Page 159 there is a state board rule associated with it or a 1 2 specific rule for either the department or the board 3 that's provided in the statute. Can you describe that "frequently asked 4 questions" document? What do those look like? 5 They are -- would be a series of questions 6 7 that we have received from the field, either through 8 a webinar or through e-mail, and then responses to 9 those questions. 10 Are -- and you said those are used 0 11 sometimes to -- in conjunction with a rule? 12 Α Yes. Has the board ever issued similar 13 0 "frequently asked questions" documents about 14 15 statutes? 16 Α It could have happened, because sometimes 17 we do for colleges; depending on who is required to 18 implement something, we implement direct from the 19 Sometimes the state boards are required to statute. do certain things and -- but without rule-making 20 21 authority. 2.2 0 And did the board consider releasing a 23 "frequently asked questions" document about complying with the shielding provisions? 24 25 Did we consider it? Α

Page 160 1 Yeah. 0 2. Δ I don't think so. 3 0 Do you recall receiving any questions from 4 Florida College System institutions about complying with the shielding provision? 5 I am sure we did. 6 Α 7 0 And what form would those questions have 8 taken? 9 Α The two likely forms. The most typical, 10 are an e-mail just directed to one of our staff 11 members. 12 And the other would be if we were conducting a legislative review webinar where we are 13 going through all the bills that passed and -- and 14 15 summarizing a technical assistance document, we'll -- we'll receive questions in the chat or 16 17 somebody will raise their hand during a webinar and 18 ask a question verbally. 19 Do you recall any -- who -- sorry. Who 20 gives those presentations? 21 Α A number of folks in the division could, depending on the topic. Most of the things that are 2.2 23 passed come under the section of our division under the executive vice-chancellor because that includes 24 25 academic affairs and student affairs.

Page 161 But sometimes it will come under the 1 2 associate vice-chancellor for fiscal policy, and that -- that would be a different set of people. 3 Would you have given any of these 4 presentations in the summer of 2021? 5 I might have participated, but 6 7 typically -- typically, I'll -- I'll present to the 8 presidents, and then the -- the staff in our division are -- are sort of set up like to have 9 counterparts out in the colleges. And so if it were 10 something that focused on academic affairs, then --11 then likely our director of academic affairs would 12 lead the presentation. 13 Do you recall any questions asked about 14 15 complying with the shielding provisions? I don't recall specific questions, but I 16 Α 17 think it's very likely that questions were asked. If -- before the enactment of House 18 0 19 Bill 233, were you aware of any incidents of shielding by institutions in the Florida College 20 21 System? 22 Before House Bill 233, I don't think Α 23 shielding was in the statute, so we wouldn't -it -- we wouldn't -- we wouldn't have -- have a 24 25 reason for a definition or -- or even thinking about

- 1 that.
- 2 Q Sure. Was the board aware of any
- 3 instances of Florida college institutions limiting
- 4 access to speech protected by the First Amendment?
- 5 A I can't think of any specific instances in
- 6 the Florida College System. There could have been,
- 7 but I can't recall any right now.
- 8 Q Are you aware of any instances of
- 9 shielding since the enactment of House Bill 233?
- 10 A There is not a requirement for them to
- 11 report it to us, so we don't collect information,
- 12 like, on a regular basis from them. And so I am not
- 13 aware of any -- that anybody has reported just
- 14 voluntarily.
- 15 O If we look at the statute again, sort of
- 16 at the bottom of page 3, do you see the section
- 17 labeled "Cause of Action"?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q It says: "A person injured by a violation
- 20 of this section may bring an action."
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Is it your understanding that a person
- 23 injured by a Florida College System institution's
- 24 shielding could bring an action?
- 25 A It does say a person injured by a

1	violation	Page 163 n of of an action prohibited under this
2	section m	may bring an action.
3	Q	And and in that first subsection below
4	it says t	that that would be brought against the
5	public in	stitution of higher education?
6	A	Yes, against a public institution of
7	higher ed	lucation.
8	Q	Are you aware of any instances of someone
9	bringing	an action against a Florida College System
10	instituti	on because of shielding?
11	A	I am not aware of any.
12	Q	Do you think you would be made aware of
13	any?	
14	A	I might be.
15	Q	Do people generally let you know if a
16	Florida c	college institution has been sued?
17	А	People? You said do people let me know?
18	Q	Yeah.
19	А	You mean like does the institution let me
20	know or .	••
21	Q	Is there anybody who would let you know
22	when a Fl	orida College institution has been sued?
23	А	There could be.
24	Q	Is that a
25	А	They are not required. I apologize.

1	Page 164 Q No, no. Go ahead.
2	A I was just saying they are not required to
3	let me know.
4	Q When we were discussing House Bill 233,
5	one of the amendments the board proposed was
6	expressed rule-making authority for the survey
7	provision.
8	Do you recall that?
9	A Was that in one of the e-mails we looked
10	at earlier?
11	Q Yes.
12	A If it's if it's in the e-mail, and we
13	sent it in, then the answer is yes.
14	Q Would it be helpful to revisit?
15	A It would be helpful to look at it again.
16	Q Sure. So this should be Exhibit 10. In
17	that top e-mail, do you see this discussion about
18	line 6 and 7?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And this is the board proposed a
21	clarifying edit making clear that the State Board of
22	Education has rule-making authority to implement the
23	requirement that FCS institutions conduct an annual
24	assessment?
25	A Yes.

Page 165 And the next bullet, it says: 1 "The 2 original bill implied rule-making authority without 3 the amendment." 4 Do you see that? Α Yes. Can the board institute rules based on 6 7 implied rule-making authority? 8 MR. MOORE: Object to form. I don't know. 9 Α 10 BY MR. HANCOCK: Are you aware of circumstances where the 11 Q board has relied on implied rule-making authority? 12 13 Α I'm not. So the board did request a clear 14 15 authorization of rule making for the survey provision, right? 16 17 Object to form. MR. MOORE: That's what this e-mail states. 18 Α BY MR. HANCOCK: 19 Did the board consider requesting 20 21 rule-making authorization for the shielding provision? 22 23 Α I don't see any evidence of that here, so I'm not aware that we did. 24 25 Were you part of any discussions about Q

```
Page 166
1
     possibly requesting rule-making authority for the
 2
     shielding provisions?
 3
          Δ
               I was not.
               Have any stakeholders asked the board to
 4
          0
     adopt a rule implementing the shielding provision?
5
               I'm not aware of any.
 6
 7
          Q
               What do you understand the recording
8
     provision to do?
               It allows a student to record class
9
10
     lectures for purposes of their education, like to
     study and review later, or also in a circumstance
11
     regarding a complaint, I think is what it calls it.
12
               And who determines whether the course is
13
          0
     being recorded for one of those purposes?
14
15
                           Counsel, may I ask Madam Court
               MR. MOORE:
16
          Reporter to read the question back?
17
               (The requested portion was read.)
18
          Α
               (Examining Document.)
               It doesn't -- the legislature -- I'm
19
     looking at Subsection (3)(g), I don't see where it
20
21
     says who determines that.
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
2.2
               Can the student determine whether they're
23
     recording for their own personal use or in
24
25
     connection with a complaint?
```

1	Page 167 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
2	A It doesn't address who determines, it just
3	says that a student may.
4	BY MR. HANCOCK:
5	Q Does the board have a position on who gets
6	to determine what the purpose of a recording is?
7	A No.
8	Q Let's actually go back to the shielding
9	provision for a moment.
10	If a Florida College System enacted a
11	policy prohibiting professors from assigning a
12	particular book, would that constitute shielding?
13	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
14	A I don't know without seeing all the
15	circumstances.
16	BY MR. HANCOCK:
17	Q What would you need to know to determine
18	if that policy constituted shielding?
19	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
20	A I'm not even sure of that.
21	BY MR. HANCOCK:
22	Q So if you knew that the book was protected
23	by the First Amendment and a Florida College System
24	institution prohibited professors from assigning
25	that book, would that constitute shielding?

Page 168 1 Same objection. MR. MOORE: 2 Α I don't know. BY MR. HANCOCK: 3 What would you need to know to determine 4 0 5 if that policy violates the statute? 6 MR. MOORE: Same objection. 7 Α I don't -- I don't know because -- I'm not 8 sure because we haven't had instances of this yet. But because the law addresses the addition of like 9 10 faculty research and other kinds of things into 11 protected speech, I quess -- I don't know. 12 Because I'm not a lawyer, I don't know exactly with a situation like that whether it falls 13 under this or some other statute or something else. 14 15 I'm just not positive until I actually saw all of the circumstances around it, how -- and we haven't 16 17 had one yet, so I'm not exactly sure what we would 18 need. 19 BY MR. HANCOCK: 20 Do you think that Florida College System 21 institutions understand whether they're allowed to ban certain books? 2.2 23 MR. MOORE: Object to form. I don't know what they understand with 24 Α 25 regard to that.

	1	Page 169 (Exhibit 18 was marked for
	2	identification.)
	3	MR. HANCOCK: I'm going to present the
	4	witness with an exhibit marked as Number 18.
	5	BY MR. HANCOCK:
	6	Q Do you see at the top it says: "Committee
	7	substitute for House Bill Number 7"?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And do you understand this document to be
	10	a copy of House Bill 7?
	11	A It appears to be.
	12	Q If you turn to the second page, do you see
	13	the section labeled "Unlawful employment practices"?
	14	A Yes.
	15	Q Do you understand this to prohibit
	16	trainings that relate to these ideas listed below?
	17	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
	18	A It states that "Subjecting an individual
	19	as a condition of employment," et cetera, "to
	20	training," et cetera, under this section, and then
	21	it lists eight items underneath that.
	22	BY MR. HANCOCK:
	23	Q And do you understand this section would
	24	apply to some training programs that Florida College
	25	System institutions use for their employees?
- 1		

-		
	1	Page 170 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
	2	A It would apply to Florida College System
	3	institutions.
	4	BY MR. HANCOCK:
	5	Q And then if you turn to the next page. Do
	6	you see the portion starting 1000.05?
	7	A Yes.
	8	Q And do you understand this statute
	9	prohibits discrimination on the basis of race,
	10	color, national origin, sex, disability, religion,
	11	or marital status in K through 20 education?
	12	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
	13	A That is what it states.
	14	BY MR. HANCOCK:
	15	Q And so you would understand that that
	16	prohibition on discrimination applies to Florida
	17	College System institutions?
	18	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
	19	A It does.
	20	BY MR. HANCOCK:
	21	Q So if you turn to page 5, do you see the
	22	section that begins (4)(a)?
	23	A Yes.
	24	Q And it reads: "It shall constitute
	25	discrimination on the basis of race, color, national

- 1 origin, or sex under this section to subject any
- 2 student or employee to training or instruction that
- 3 espouses, promotes, advances, inculpates, or compels
- 4 such student or employee to believe any of the
- 5 following concepts."
- 6 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 7 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 8 Q Do you see that?
- 9 A I do see that it says that.
- 10 Q And then there's a list of eight concepts
- 11 after that?
- 12 A Yes, there's a list of eight.
- 13 Q Do you understand this prohibition on
- 14 discrimination -- or do you understand
- 15 Section (4)(a) to apply to classroom instruction?
- MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 17 A It says "instruction."
- 18 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 19 O And so does the board understand that to
- 20 include classroom instruction?
- 21 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 22 A I believe that on our technical assistance
- 23 document, we -- and presentations, we indicated just
- 24 what you've indicated, which is what that paragraph
- 25 says: "Subject any student or employee to training

Page 172 or instruction, " so it includes training or 1 2. instruction. BY MR. HANCOCK: 3 And so in the board's view, would that 4 5 include classroom instruction? MR. MOORE: Object to form. 6 7 Α Instruction is happening in the classroom, 8 yes. BY MR. HANCOCK: 9 10 And would that include homework assigned 0 11 to students? 12 MR. MOORE: Object to form. I don't know. 13 Α BY MR. HANCOCK: 14 You don't know if homework assigned to 15 students would be considered instruction under this 16 17 provision? 18 MR. MOORE: Same objection. 19 Δ I don't know. 20 BY MR. HANCOCK: 21 In terms of these eight concepts, is it 0 22 the board's understanding that it would constitute 23 discrimination to espouse any of the following 24 concepts? 25 Object to form. MR. MOORE:

Page 173 1 The legislation says that it will 2. constitute discrimination, as described in this section, to subject student or employee to training 4 or instruction that espouses those concepts. 5 BY MR. HANCOCK: What is the board's understanding of the 6 0 term "espouses"? 7 8 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 9 We haven't provided a definition. 10 BY MR. HANCOCK: What is the board's understanding of the 11 Q term "promotes"? 12 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 13 We haven't provided a definition. 14 15 BY MR. HANCOCK: What is the board's understanding of the 16 Q term "advances"? 17 18 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 19 We haven't provided a definition of Α 20 advances. 21 BY MR. HANCOCK: What is the board's understanding of the 2.2 Q 23 term "inculpates"? 24 MR. MOORE: Same objection. 25 We haven't provided a definition of Α

I may have seen part of it, but I don't

25

Α

1	recall re	Page 175 ading an entire K-12 technical assistance
2	document.	
3	Q	Do you know when you would have seen it?
4	А	Perhaps at a meeting.
5	Q	What kind of meeting?
6	А	Internal meeting.
7	Q	Sort of a general departmental meeting?
8	А	Uh-huh, yes. Sorry.
9	Q	The third topic reads: "A person's moral
10	character	or status as either privileged or
11	oppressed	is necessarily determined by his or her
12	race, col	or, national origin, or sex."
13		Do you see that?
14	А	I do.
15	Q	What is the board's understanding of
16	"privileg	ed"?
17		MR. MOORE: Object to form.
18	А	We haven't issued a definition of
19	"privileg	red."
20	BY MR. HA	NCOCK:
21	Q	What is the board's understanding of the
22	term "opp	ressed"?
23		MR. MOORE: Same objection.
24	А	We haven't issued a definition of
25	"oppresse	d."

1	Page 177 MR. HANCOCK: And this is the deposition
2	of the Board of Education?
3	MR. MOORE: Correct.
4	MR. HANCOCK: Did you seek a protective
5	order regarding the Board of Education?
6	MR. MOORE: I refer you back to ECF 126,
7	and I instruct the witness not to answer.
8	MR. HANCOCK: Did you seek a protective
9	order with regard to the Board of Education?
10	MR. MOORE: Counselor, I'm not being
11	deposed. I've stated my objection and I've
12	stated the privilege and I've instructed the
13	witness not to answer.
14	MR. HANCOCK: Understood.
15	BY MR. HANCOCK:
16	Q With respect to postsecondary
17	institutions, are you aware of people who are
18	oppressed on the basis of their race?
19	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
20	A I don't I don't know.
21	BY MR. HANCOCK:
22	Q You are not aware of anyone oppressed on
23	the basis of their race?
24	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
25	A I don't recall anybody telling me

Page 178 personally that they were oppressed. 1 2. BY MR. HANCOCK: 3 Q Are you aware of that phenomenon in 4 general? MR. MOORE: Object to form. 5 I'm aware to the extent that I have heard 6 7 things about it. 8 BY MR. HANCOCK: 9 Are you aware of people who are oppressed 10 on the basis of their sex? 11 Object to form. MR. MOORE: 12 Α I have heard that as a general conversation. 13 14 BY MR. HANCOCK: 15 What is your understanding of what it Q means to be oppressed on the basis of sex? 16 17 Α Are you asking --18 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 19 Are you asking my personal understanding? Α 20 BY MR. HANCOCK: 21 Q Yes. 2.2 Α May I just ask again, are you asking my 23 personal opinion as just Kathy Hebda and what I mean to be oppressed based on the basis of sex? 24 25 Yeah. Q

Page 179 1 Same objection. MR. MOORE: 2 Α I suppose it could mean different things 3 to different people. But it seems related to -- I quess if you think of oppression as being held down, 4 kept back, but I don't -- I don't have Webster's in 5 front of me, but whatever that feeling is in 6 7 relation to your sex. 8 BY MR. HANCOCK: 9 When someone is oppressed on the Sure. 10 basis of their sex, do you understand that to be necessarily determined by their sex? 11 12 MR. MOORE: Object to form. I don't -- I don't know. I don't know 13 Α 14 what that means. I'm sorry. 15 BY MR. HANCOCK: Do you have an understanding as to 16 Yeah. Q 17 what it would mean to be oppressed, to have your 18 status as being oppressed necessarily determined by 19 sex? 20 MR. MOORE: Same objection. 21 Α Did you ask me about -- did you ask -- I'm 2.2 sorry. Can you ask it again? 23 BY MR. HANCOCK: 24 Q Sure. 25 Do you have an understanding of what it

- 1 means for someone's oppressed status to be
- 2 necessarily determined by their sex?
- 3 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 4 A I understand that that's what this is
- 5 related to, that (4)(a)3 is related to that.
- 6 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 7 Q But do -- do you understand what that --
- 8 what (4)(a)3 means?
- 9 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 10 A I just want to make sure I'm answering the
- 11 question. Are you asking whether the board has made
- 12 a determination as to what this means? Are you
- 13 asking me my own personal opinion of what I think it
- 14 might mean as Kathy Hebda, private citizen?
- 15 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 16 Q Personally, what understanding do you have
- 17 of what it would mean for somebody's oppressed
- 18 status to be necessarily determined by their sex?
- 19 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 20 A It might mean that someone believes or
- 21 feels or believes they have experienced being
- treated differently, less well in some way, if the
- 23 word is oppression, by virtue of their sex.
- 24 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 25 Q Is it your understanding that there are

Page 181 1 people whose oppressed status is necessarily 2 determine by their sex? 3 MR. MOORE: Object to form. Is it my understanding that there are 4 Α people whose oppressed status is determined by their 5 Is that your question? 6 7 BY MR. HANCOCK: 8 Q Uh-huh. 9 I don't know because in some ways it seems 10 that that presumes that there are people who are 11 oppressed because of their sex. And maybe that's 12 happened. Depends on what they believe oppressed to 13 be. 14 Q Are you aware of --15 -- that person. Pardon me. Α 16 Sorry? 0 17 I said, "Pardon me." Α 18 Q Are you aware of the general phenomenon of 19 people being oppressed on the basis of their sex? I'm aware of the -- of the conversation 20 21 about that, a discussion or a theory of that. Is the board -- does the board understand 22 0 23 whether some people are oppressed on the basis of 24 race? 25 Object to form. MR. MOORE:

Page 182 I don't think the board has issued a 1 2 position on whether people are or are not oppressed based on race. You said race? 3 BY MR. HANCOCK: 4 Uh-huh. In terms of Section (4)(a), would 5 0 it constitute discrimination to espouse the view 6 7 that some people are oppressed on the basis of their 8 race? Object to form. 9 MR. MOORE: 10 According to the bill, it constitutes Α discrimination under the section, to subject any 11 12 student or employee to training or instruction that 13 espouses the concepts listed below. BY MR. HANCOCK: 14 And I'm asking if a teacher in the 15 0 16 classroom espoused a view that some people are 17 oppressed on the basis of race, would that constitute discrimination under Section (4)(a)? 18 19 MR. MOORE: Object to form. I don't know if -- if it applies because 20 Α 21 this is specific to training or instruction. BY MR. HANCOCK: 2.2 We discussed earlier that instruction 23 24 includes classroom instruction, right? 25 MR. MOORE: Object to form.

Page 183 We did discuss that, yes. 1 2. BY MR. HANCOCK: So if a teacher in the classroom espoused 3 0 the view that some people are oppressed on the basis 4 of their race, would that constitute discrimination 5 under Section (4)(a)? 6 Object to form. MR. MOORE: 8 Α I don't know that a teacher espousing 9 their own view is part of an instruction or not in 10 this circumstance. I understand your question to be the teacher just espousing the view which is not 11 12 addressed here. What's addressed here is training or instruction. 13 BY MR. HANCOCK: 14 15 If a teacher, as part of classroom 16 instruction, espoused the concept that some people 17 are oppressed on the basis of their race, would that constitute discrimination under this section? 18 19 MR. MOORE: Object to form. It's possible, but I don't know all the 20 Α 21 circumstances. 2.2 BY MR. HANCOCK: 23 What would you need to know to determine 0 whether it was discrimination? 24 25 MR. MOORE: Object to form.

Page 184 I don't know at this time because we 1 2 haven't been presented with any situations yet that we've had to review. 3 BY MR. HANCOCK: 4 Do you think teachers know what 5 0 constitutes discrimination under Section (4)(a)? 6 7 MR. MOORE: Object to form. I don't know what teachers know. 8 Α BY MR. HANCOCK: 9 10 Under this section -- well, has -- do you 0 understand the Board of Education to have 11 12 rule-making authority with respect to this section? Α We have -- we have rule making. 13 It's not here in this bill because only parts of the statute 14 are repeated here, but I do think we have a rule 15 that pertains to 100 -- 1000.05. 16 17 0 So is it your understanding that the board 18 has general rule-making power with respect to this discrimination provision? 19 20 Object to form. MR. MOORE: 21 Α I know that we have a rule that does 2.2 implement 1000.05. 23 BY MR. HANCOCK: Do you know if the board has considered 24 promulgating a rule regarding Section (4)(a)? 25

Page 185 We -- we may have considered it because we 1 Α 2. have an existing rule. So it could be because this modifies the section of law where we have an 3 existing rule, then it's likely that we're 4 5 considering modifying that rule, or at least reviewing the rule to see if it needs to be modified 6 7 to comply with this section. 8 Q And would the board need to vote to change that rule? 9 10 Α Yes. 11 Q Do you know if that vote has occurred? 12 Α It has not. 13 And would the board need to vote to 0 propose that change? 14 15 Α The board's action is the final agency So the proposal of the rule -- if we were 16 action. 17 going to go into rule development, the commissioner would have to sign off on going into rule 18 19 development, but the final rule would be acted upon by the State Board of Education. 20 21 Q Understood. 22 Would you turn to page 6 of this exhibit? 23 Do you see the eighth concept from Section (4)(a) is listed at the top? 24 25 Α Yes.

1	Q Does the board have an understanding as to
2	meaning of the term "racial color blindness"?
3	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
4	A We've not issued a definition of that.
5	BY MR. HANCOCK:
6	Q Does the board have an understanding of
7	the meaning of the term "racist"?
8	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
9	A We've not issued a definition.
10	BY MR. HANCOCK:
11	Q Does the board have an understanding for
12	the term "sexist"?
13	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
14	A We've not issued a definition of that.
15	BY MR. HANCOCK:
16	Q So and then below that is subsection
17	what would be (4)(b), right?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And it says: "Paragraph A may not be
20	construed to prohibit discussion of the concepts
21	listed therein as part of a larger course of
22	training or instruction, provided such training or
23	instruction is given in an objective manner without
24	endorsement of the concepts."
25	Do you see that?

Page 187 1 I do. Α 2 Q Does the board have an understanding as to 3 the meaning of "objective manner"? Object to form. 4 MR. MOORE: Α I don't know if they have a specific 5 definition of objective, but in -- taking all 6 7 together, we understand that to mean you are not 8 prohibited from talking about the topics that are listed here. 9 10 But what you are prohibited from doing is the things in the -- listed in (4)(a): "Espouses, 11 12 promotes, advances, inculpates, or compels each student or employee to believe." 13 BY MR. HANCOCK: 14 15 It's the board's understanding that B 0 16 doesn't modify A, it just clarifies the meaning of 17 espouses, promotes, advances, inculpates, or 18 compels? 19 Α I would say --20 Object to form. MR. MOORE: 21 Α I would say that's fair. It clarifies 2.2 that you -- you are permitted to present the topics 23 and discuss the topics. 24 BY MR. HANCOCK: 25 Q So is it possible to promote one of these

_	
1	Page 188 concepts in an objective manner without endorsement?
2	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
3	A Promote doesn't sound like objective, so
4	I'm not sure.
5	BY MR. HANCOCK:
6	Q So you think promote is not compatible
7	with an objective manner without endorsement?
8	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
9	A Well, B says what is not prohibited, which
10	is objective without endorsement. It lists what is
11	prohibited, which includes promotes.
12	BY MR. HANCOCK:
13	Q Understood.
14	Let's return to the recording provision.
15	Before House Bill 233 was enacted, what
16	were students allowed to record on campus?
17	A I don't know the answer to that.
18	Q After House Bill 233, what new areas do
19	you understand students can record?
20	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
21	A Let me find it again. It took me a while
22	to find it last time.
23	BY MR. HANCOCK:
24	Q It's page 3, I believe.
25	A Yes. Thank you. (3)(g).
1	

Page 189 1 (3)(q) says that "A student may record 2. video or audio of class lectures for their own personal educational use." So it looks like it's 3 record video or audio of class lectures. 4 And what does "class lectures" mean? 5 0 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 6 7 Α We haven't issued a definition because 8 this is not an area of rule-making authority, so the 9 college would -- would need to help through a 10 policy. BY MR. HANCOCK: 11 12 0 Has the board considered -- has the board defined class lectures as part of any technical 13 assistance documents? 14 15 Α I don't know that we have. Has the board considered providing any 16 Q 17 guidance documents regarding what is class lecture? I don't believe so because we have -- we 18 Α 19 don't have rule making with this, so it's up to the institutions. We advise the institutions to look at 20 21 their policies and to make sure they've implemented this with fidelity. 2.2 23 And in terms of this Subsection (g), it says that "These recordings are subject to the 24 protections provided in the Family Educational 25

Page 190 1 Rights and Privacy Act and these Florida statutes"; 2 is that right? 3 Α Yes. And what do you understand those 4 0 protections to be? 5 FERPA is related to student records. 6 Α Τ 7 don't know exactly what that means, a student or --8 can or can't do with the record. I'm not positive. 9 We'll have to consult an attorney for all the 10 details. 11 0 Are you aware of any instance where FERPA 12 would prohibit a student from recording a class that would otherwise be permissible under House Bill 233? 13 Object to form. 14 MR. MOORE: 15 Α I'm not aware, but I don't know either. (Exhibit 19 was marked for 16 17 identification.) 18 MR. HANCOCK: I'm going to present the 19 witness with what's being marked as Exhibit 19. 20 BY MR. HANCOCK: 21 Have you seen this document before? 0 It looks like the Board of Governors' bill 2.2 Δ It's in the title. I don't think I've 23 analysis. read this. 24 25 Do the Board of Governors and Board of 0

	Page 191
1	Education ever share their bill analysis with each
2	other?
3	A Not as a matter of routine. It could
4	happen.
5	Q And do you know who Vikki Shirley is?
6	A I do.
7	Q Who is that?
8	A She's general counsel for the Office of
9	the Board of Governors.
10	Q And do you know who Patty Thurman is?
11	A I've heard her name, but I don't know
12	exactly.
13	Q And in the top right of this document, it
14	has a date of January 25, 2021; is that right?
15	A Yes.
16	Q So if you turn to the second page, do you
17	see the section labeled "Estimated Student Impact"?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And about midway through, do you see the
20	sentence that starts: "This is likely to have a
21	chilling effect on speech"?
22	A (Examining Document.)
23	I see that section of the paragraph, yes.
24	Q Actually, yeah, let's start at the
25	sentence before. Do you see where it says:

- 1 "Students would be able to record classroom
- 2 activities and other students inside a classroom
- 3 without the participant's consent and publish those
- 4 recordings without violating that section."
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 A I do.
- 7 Q And then it goes on to say: "This is
- 8 likely to have a chilling effect on speech inside of
- 9 a classroom and result in the suppression of speech
- 10 and the robust exchange of ideas and perspectives."
- 11 Do you see that?
- 12 A I do.
- 13 Q Is it your understanding that the final
- 14 version of House Bill 233 did prohibit publishing of
- 15 these recordings under some circumstances?
- 16 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 17 A Let me see what the circumstances were,
- 18 but I know publishing is mentioned.
- 19 Yes, "May not be published without the
- 20 consent of the lecturer" is what the bill says.
- 21 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 22 Q And on the next page, that little
- 23 Subsection (b), it provides as cause of action for
- 24 improper publishing of classroom recordings; is that
- 25 right?

```
Page 193
 1
          Α
               It says against a -- there's a stem --
 2.
               THE STENOGRAPHER:
                                   I'm sorry.
               A stem under Paren 4 -- Subsection (4)
 3
          Α
           "A person injured by violation may bring an
 4
     action." And then paren (b) says: "Against a
 5
     person who has published video or audio recorded in
 6
 7
     a classroom in violation of paragraph (3)(g)."
 8
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
 9
               So you understand it does create a cause
10
     of action for an improperly published recording?
11
          Α
               Yes.
12
               So turning back to the bill analysis from
          0
     the Board of Governors, do you understand this to be
13
     suggesting that without those protections, there
14
     would be a chilling effect on speech inside of a
15
     classroom?
16
17
               MR. MOORE:
                            Object to form.
18
          Α
               Their bill analysis published on this
19
     states -- said this is likely to have a chilling
20
     effect on speech inside of a classroom.
21
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
               Does the board share that view?
22
          Q
23
          Α
               I don't know that our bill analysis said
24
     that.
25
               If there weren't protections against
          Q
```

Page 194 publishing classroom recordings, do you think that 1 2 would have a chilling effect on speech inside of a 3 classroom? Object to form. 4 MR. MOORE: I don't know. 5 Α BY MR. HANCOCK: 6 7 Q Are you aware of any instances of students 8 improperly recording classes before House Bill 233? 9 I'm not aware. It could have happened, 10 but I'm not aware of it. 11 Are you aware of any instances of students Q 12 improperly recording courses after House Bill 233? 13 Α No. Are you aware of any students improperly 14 0 15 publishing recordings after House Bill 233? I'm not aware of any, though it could have 16 Α 17 happened. (Exhibit 20 was marked for 18 identification.) 19 20 I am going to present the MR. HANCOCK: 21 witness with what's being marked as Exhibit 20. 2.2 MR. MOORE: Thank you. 23 BY MR. HANCOCK: Do you recognize this e-mail? 24 0 25 Α Yes.

Page 195 1 And this is a conversation between Bill 2 Mullowney and Caleb Hawkes that was forwarded to 3 you; is that right? Α That's correct. 4 And the initial conversation between Bill 5 0 and Caleb took place in July of 2021; is that right? 6 7 Α That's the date on the e-mail, yes. 8 Q And then it was forwarded to you in 9 August? 10 August 13 it says. Α In that initial e-mail on the bottom, 11 0 12 Caleb is asking whether Bill has had an opportunity to, quote, send the House Bill 233 document you and 13 14 the other FCS attorneys who are working on, as you think about common practices you all can adopt. 15 16 Α Yes, I see that. 17 0 Was the Florida College System 18 coordinating an effort -- sorry. Let me rephrase. 19 Was the Board of Education coordinating an 20 effort among the Florida College System institutions 21 to develop an HB 233 document? 2.2 Α No. 23 Was this -- are you familiar with --0 24 actually, let's look at the document. Do you see the attachment that's behind the e-mail? 25

1	Page 196
1	A I do.
2	Q Do you recognize this document?
3	A I do.
4	Q Is this a document drafted by the Board of
5	Education?
6	A No.
7	Q Who do you understand drafted this
8	document?
9	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
10	A My understanding is that Bill Mullowney
11	was working with the other attorneys in the Florida
12	College System.
13	BY MR. HANCOCK:
14	Q And so your understanding is this is like
15	a collective effort among the FCS attorneys?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Did the BOE sign off on this document in
18	any way?
19	A No.
20	Q Did the BOE distribute this document to
21	anyone?
22	A I don't think we did. That would have
23	been up to Bill to do that.
24	Q Did the board provide feedback to Bill on
25	this document?

August 18, 2022 Page 197 I don't recall that we provided feedback. 1 2 My recollection is that we -- he had -- Bill had 3 mentioned that they were working on it, and so I 4 think we were just interested in seeing what they 5 finalized. And is it your understanding that this is 6 7 guidance that Bill and others will provide to their 8 institutions on how to implement the recording 9 provision? 10 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 11 They might have. What the final form of Α 12 that guidance and where they took it from there, I'm not -- I don't know exactly. 13 BY MR. HANCOCK: 14 If there were any issues with this 15 quidance that the board thought were inconsistent 16 17 with the statute, would you have let Bill know? 18 Α I might have. 19 Do you recall having any discussions about whether this document was consistent with the 20 21 statute? 2.2 I don't recall having that discussion with Δ 23 Mostly -- and that's -- it's because we don't Bill. have rule-making authority, but -- but we do 24

maintain relationships with institutions. And so if

25

Page 198 we had seen anything that we thought Bill might want 1 2 to go look at or check out or any of that thing, we 3 certainly could have let him know. In the first line of this document, you 4 see where it says: "A state college student may, 5 without prior notice, audio or video record a class 6 7 lecture"? 8 Δ I see that. Is it the board's view that the recording 9 10 authorized by HB 233 does not require prior notice? 11 Object to form. MR. MOORE: We don't have a view on that. 12 Α BY MR. HANCOCK: 13 And then in the first paragraph, do you 14 see the second sentence that goes: "Students must 15 adhere to classroom behavioral expectations while 16 17 recording. Recording that disrupts the learning 18 environment may need adjustment or could be prohibited"? 19 20 Α I do. Is that consistent with the board's view 21 0 22 of the recording provision? 23 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 24 Α The board doesn't have a position on that. 25

- 1 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 2 Q Does the -- does the statute create an
- 3 exception for recordings that are disruptive?
- 4 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 5 A I think the statute -- this statute
- 6 doesn't address recordings that are disruptive.
- 7 There could -- there could be other statutes that
- 8 address student behavior which is under the -- the
- 9 purview of the Board of Trustees though.
- 10 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 11 Q Then in the next paragraph, do you see
- 12 where it says: "A class lecture is defined as a
- 13 formal or methodological oral presentation as part
- 14 of a college course"?
- 15 A I do.
- 16 O The Florida college institutions don't
- 17 have rule-making authority for the recording
- 18 provision; is that right?
- 19 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 20 A There isn't anything expressly in that
- 21 statute that talks about Board of Trustees
- 22 regulations, but under the Board of Trustees powers
- 23 and duties statute, they do have -- they do have
- 24 authority for student conduct and other things that
- 25 entail academic instruction and all of that learning

August 18, 2022 Page 200 1 environment. 2. BY MR. HANCOCK: 3 0 Understood. Does that authority include the free 4 speech and expression on campus statute that HB 233 5 modified? 6 7 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 8 Α I don't know what you mean does it include it. 9 10 BY MR. HANCOCK: Do -- do the Florida college institutions 11 0 12 have rule-making authority with respect to this 13 statute? Object to form. 14 MR. MOORE: I think it's the -- the same answer. 15 Α 16 There isn't anything in House Bill 233 that modified 17 or that specifically discussed Florida trustees rules, I don't think. I have to go back and look. 18 In 10 -- 1000.05 --19 20 Can you ask the question again? I forgot 21 which thing we are actually talking about. BY MR. HANCOCK: 2.2 23 I'll try asking it a different way.

authority in HB 233, would the Florida colleges be

If there weren't an expressed grant of

24

25

Page 201 1 able to adopt rules defining the statute? 2 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 3 Α The individual colleges? BY MR. HANCOCK: 4 Yeah. 5 Q Α For their own students and campuses? 6 7 Q Right. The Board of Trustees is -- is charged 8 Α 9 with so many different, I quess, components of their 10 authority where they do provide policies -sometimes they are called regulations, sometimes 11 they are called rules of the Board of Trustees --12 that govern all kinds of things on campus, and so I 13 would -- I would imagine that they do. 14 And I don't -- without -- not necessarily 15 that section of law that has their powers and duties 16 17 in it, listing every single statute that they should 18 or could make rules on, I think it's more generally 19 described in their statute what they have authority 20 over. 21 Q Understood. The -- the last section of -- of this 22 23 paragraph about class lectures --On these documents? 24 Α 25 Q Yes.

1	Page 202 A Okay.
2	Q At the bottom of the the class lecture
3	paragraph
4	A Yes.
5	Q do you see it reads: "A recording that
6	personally identifies a student who has not
7	consented to being recorded will not be recognized
8	as a class lecture for these purposes."
9	Do you see that?
10	MR. MOORE: Counselor, is is this the
11	second to the last paragraph?
12	MR. HANCOCK: Yes.
13	MR. MOORE: Or the last paragraph?
14	MR. HANCOCK: This is the second to last
15	paragraph.
16	MR. MOORE: Okay. Thank you.
17	A Yes, I do see it.
18	BY MR. HANCOCK:
19	Q Does House Bill 233 create an exception
20	for recordings that contain per that personally
21	identify a student?
22	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
23	A The legislation doesn't specifically
24	having a sentence that that uses the words
25	"personally identifies a student." However, this

Page 203 may be something that has to do with FERPA, but I am 1 2 not positive. BY MR. HANCOCK: 3 Understood. 4 Q So let's put a pin in FERPA. Assuming 5 FERPA did not apply, is there any other basis in 6 7 this statute to cordon off recordings that 8 personally identify a student and define them as not a class lecture? 9 10 MR. MOORE: Object to form. I don't know. I don't see those words in 11 Α 12 the statute, but I haven't looked at the law with 13 respect to that. BY MR. HANCOCK: 14 15 0 Understood. 16 MR. HANCOCK: This is probably a good time 17 to take another short break. 18 THE WITNESS: I was going to say. 19 MR. HANCOCK: You -- you can ask if --20 THE WITNESS: I was getting ready to. 21 MR. MOORE: Off the record. 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off 23 record at 14:57. (A recess took place from 2:57 p.m. to 24 25 3:13 p.m.

1	Page 204 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going back
2	on record at 15:13 p.m.
3	BY MR. HANCOCK:
4	Q Good afternoon.
5	A Good afternoon.
6	Q Did you speak with your counsel on the
7	break?
8	MR. MOORE: I instruct her not to answer
9	to the extent it involves communications with
10	counsel.
11	BY MR. HANCOCK:
12	Q Do you feel you are able to answer that
13	question without revealing the content of your
14	conversation with counsel?
15	MR. MOORE: Same objection.
16	THE WITNESS: I am instructed not to
17	answer?
18	MR. MOORE: Correct.
19	BY MR. HANCOCK:
20	Q Can you tell me yes or no whether you
21	spoke with your counsel during the break?
22	MR. MOORE: Same instruction.
23	MR. HANCOCK: You're instructing the
24	witness not to answer the yes-or-no question
25	about whether she spoke to you during the

1	Page 205 break?
2	MR. MOORE: She can answer that one.
3	A Yes.
4	BY MR. HANCOCK:
5	Q Did you speak about the deposition?
6	MR. MOORE: I instruct you not to answer.
7	BY MR. HANCOCK:
8	Q Yes or no
9	MR. MOORE: Attorney-client.
10	BY MR. HANCOCK:
11	Q Can you tell me yes or no whether you
12	spoke to your counsel about the deposition?
13	MR. MOORE: Same objection, same
14	instruction.
15	MR. HANCOCK: You're instructing the
16	witness not to answer a yes-or-no question?
17	MR. MOORE: Correct, regarding the
18	contents of discussion with counsel. That's
19	very clear.
20	MR. HANCOCK: Understood.
21	MR. MOORE: That's my objection. We can
22	move on.
23	MR. HANCOCK: You clarified the prior one,
24	I wanted to see if you wanted to clarify this
25	one as well.
_	

- 1 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- Q Let's talk about this survey provision.
- 3 That tasks the board with selecting or creating a
- 4 survey; isn't that right?
- 5 A Selecting or creating, yes.
- 6 Q And what do you understand that to mean?
- 7 A Either using one that currently exists,
- 8 selecting one from one that already exists, or
- 9 creating one that doesn't exist yet.
- 10 Q So when you say "one that already exists,"
- 11 had the Board of Education previously created any
- 12 surveys regarding intellectual freedom and viewpoint
- 13 diversity?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Do you have a sense of from where the BOE
- 16 could select a survey?
- 17 A Other states have done surveys on climate
- 18 surveys and other kinds of things regarding
- 19 students.
- 20 Q So your understanding is that if the board
- 21 used the same survey another, you know, state had
- 22 used, that will comply with this mandate?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And for 2022, did the board select a
- 25 survey or create a survey?

Page 207 1 Α Create. 2 Q And the survey provision establishes three 3 criteria for the survey that is either created or selected; is that right? 4 It says: "The State Board of Education 5 Α shall select or create an objective, nonpartisan and 6 7 statistically valid survey." And this is from the statute we're looking 8 0 at in Exhibit 1? 9 10 Α That's correct. And is it your understanding that the 11 0 12 survey that is selected or created must meet all three criteria of objective, nonpartisan, and 13 statistically valid? 14 15 Α Yes. What is the board's understanding of what 16 0 17 it means for a survey to be objective? 18 Α An objective survey would not promote one 19 viewpoint or another. 20 Can you give me an example of a survey 0 21 that would be -- that would not be objective? 22 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 23 I suppose a company that was promoting a product like a new soft drink might issue a survey 24 that -- that might try to promote that -- that 25

Page 208 1 product. 2. BY MR. HANCOCK: And that would not be objective because of 3 0 the conflict of interest between the company 4 creating that survey and their interest in the 5 product? 6 7 MR. MOORE: Object to form. I don't know if it relates to conflict of 8 Α 9 interest; just that either it's promoting or not 10 promoting. 11 BY MR. HANCOCK: 12 0 So what about the survey could promote a 13 product? Object to form. 14 MR. MOORE: 15 Α I don't know. I don't know if I have a 16 good example. BY MR. HANCOCK: 17 18 Do you think a survey with leading 19 questions would be the kind of survey that is not 20 objective? 21 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 2.2 Α I suppose that could be true. 23 BY MR. HANCOCK: Do you think a survey with biased 24

questions would fail to satisfy the requirement of

25

1	Page 209 objectivity?
2	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
3	A I think that could be true.
4	BY MR. HANCOCK:
5	Q The board, as you said, created a survey
6	for 2022, right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And that survey was distributed by the
9	Florida College System institutions?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And from here on, does it make sense to
12	refer to that as the final survey?
13	A That's fine with me.
14	Q And there's a final student survey and a
15	final employee survey; is that right?
16	A Yes.
17	Q For those surveys do you think those
18	surveys satisfied the requirement of being objective
19	under the statute?
20	A I do.
21	Q And what steps did the board take to
22	ensure that those surveys were objective?
23	A We worked closely with the Board of
24	Governors, and though the statute doesn't require us
25	to deliver the same survey, we worked we made the
1	

August 18, 2022 Page 210 1 decision to work together on the survey. 2. And there were a number of iterations of 3 the survey where questions were refined to work towards being an objective survey that implemented 4 the statute, specifically with regard to it being an 5 annual assessment of intellectual freedom and 6 7 viewpoint diversity at the institution. 8 Q So in order to ensure that the survey was objective, it sounds like you coordinated with the 9 10 Board of Governors and that you refined the questions; is that right? 11 12 Α Yes. Were any other steps taken to ensure that 13 0 it was an objective survey? 14 15 I can't think of any right now, but that's Α also a high-level description of what we did. 16 17 Q And who participated in that process of 18 refining the questions? 19 I did, Dr. Carrie Henderson, Dr. Henry 20 Mack, from our agency. 21 0 And then who from outside the Department 22 of Education participated in refining the questions? 23 There were staff of the Board of Governors Α that also participated. And then my understanding 24

is that it also was reviewed by the Governor's

25

- 1 Office.
- 2 Q And who from the Board of Governors
- 3 participated in that process?
- 4 A I know -- I don't know what they did
- 5 internally, but attendees at meetings would include
- 6 Chancellor Criser, Jon Rogers, Gene Kovacs, Vikki
- 7 Shirley I think attended, and I think Jason Jones
- 8 did, too, would be at one of the meetings.
- 9 So what they might have done internally
- 10 with regard to questions before it came back to us,
- 11 I'm not sure exactly.
- 12 Q Sure. And who is Jason Jones?
- 13 A He is a staff member of the Board of
- 14 Governors. I think he's in their -- he does
- 15 something along the lines of data with the Board of
- 16 Governors.
- 17 O And then who from the Governor's Office
- 18 participated in refining the questions?
- 19 A I don't know exactly. I know Alex Kelly
- 20 received copies and provided feedback. Who else he
- 21 might have given it to in the Board of Governors,
- 22 I'm not sure.
- Well, there is an e-mail that has other
- 24 members or employees of the Board of Governors --
- 25 Board of Governors -- I'm sorry, the Governor's

Page 212 Office -- on the e-mail, but I don't know if that's 1 2. the extent to whom he shared it with. 3 0 And so aside from the Department of Education, the Board of Governors, and the 4 Governor's Office, did anyone else participate in 5 refining the questions? 6 7 Α Initially the Board of Governors was 8 working with Florida State University on the survey, 9 so they participated initially. 10 And did anyone from Florida State participate in drafting the final survey? 11 12 Α Not to my knowledge. So then outside of the Department of 13 0 14 Education, the Board of Governors, the Governor's Office, and the folks at Florida State, did anyone 15 else participate in refining the questions? 16 17 Α Not to my knowledge. 18 0 What is the board's understanding of the 19 requirement that the survey be nonpartisan? Nonpartisan usually means that it's not 20 Α 21 from one or another political point of view. 22 Q So what would be a survey that is from a particular political view? 23 Object to form. 24 MR. MOORE: 25 I would think an example of that might be Α

- 1 one that was sent out by a political campaign.
- 2 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 3 Q And why would that be considered partisan?
- 4 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 5 A I suspect that it would be because it was
- 6 sent from a political campaign, which is a
- 7 partisan -- if it were for a candidate of a
- 8 political party, then it would -- I would imagine
- 9 that will be partisan.
- 10 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 11 Q Would it violate this requirement to be
- 12 nonpartisan if a political party drafted the survey?
- MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 14 A I don't know. I think the survey itself,
- 15 which in this case would be what we're calling for
- our purposes today the final survey, is supposed to
- 17 be nonpartisan.
- 18 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 19 Q So a survey distributed by, I don't know,
- 20 Hebda for President, running under a political
- 21 party, do you think that would be a partisan survey?
- MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 23 A I suppose it could be if I -- if I were
- 24 running as a candidate of one party or another -- my
- 25 brother-in-law, that Hebda, whichever Hebda you're

- 1 talking about.
- 2 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 3 Q Yeah. So you think it would violate the
- 4 statute if a political party campaign distributed
- 5 the intellectual freedom and viewpoint diversity
- 6 viewpoint statute?
- 7 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 8 A I don't know. This really seems to
- 9 pertain to the survey itself, so I don't know
- 10 whether its distribution is considered by objective
- 11 nonpartisan and statistically valid.
- 12 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 13 O Understood.
- 14 If a political campaign drafted the
- 15 questions that were distributed by the Florida
- 16 colleges, would that violate the nonpartisan
- 17 requirement?
- 18 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 19 A I think it pertains to the final survey.
- 20 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 21 Q If -- if a political campaign drafted or
- 22 contributed to the final survey, do you think that
- 23 would violate the nonpartisan requirement?
- MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 25 A I'm not sure. I think it might depend on

Page 215 1 what the survey said. 2. BY MR. HANCOCK: 3 0 Is there a situation where a political 4 party could draft a survey such that it was nonpartisan? 5 6 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 7 I suppose that's true. Α 8 BY MR. HANCOCK: 9 And to assess that, you would look at the 10 content of the questions? 11 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 12 Α It says the survey itself is supposed to be objective, nonpartisan, and statistically valid, 13 so I think it's talking about the survey itself. 14 15 MR. HANCOCK: Can you please read the 16 question back. 17 (The requested portion was read.) BY MR. HANCOCK: 18 19 So to assess whether a survey was 20 nonpartisan, would you look at the content of the 21 final survey? Object to form. 2.2 MR. MOORE: 23 I think you would look at the content. Α 24 BY MR. HANCOCK:

And if that content was created by a

25

0

Page 216 political party, would that be consistent with the 1 2 requirement that it be nonpartisan? MR. MOORE: Object to form. I think it would depend on what the 4 Α 5 content was. BY MR. HANCOCK: 7 Q Do you think that the survey that was used 8 in 2022 satisfies the requirement that it be 9 nonpartisan? 10 Α I do. What steps did the board take to ensure 11 0 12 that the final survey would be nonpartisan? We looked carefully at the questions, the 13 Α same process we used for -- to meet the rest of the 14 15 I think the type of the questions that we asked, the content of the questions we asked; we 16 17 looked at the instructions. 18 And what kind of things were you looking 19 for to confirm it was nonpartisan? 20 Anything that might be -- might appear to 21 someone to be from a political point of view which 2.2 could vary by person. 23 And what did the board try to do with content that could seem like it was a particular 24 political view? 25

Page 217 Object to form. 1 MR. MOORE: 2 Α I think it's fair to say that our -- our 3 creation and review process tried to keep out content that would seem like it was from one 4 political point of view or another. 5 BY MR. HANCOCK: 6 7 Q During the process, do you remember any 8 content that was removed or changed to achieve the nonpartisan obligation? 9 10 I'm going to say there was one -- one or Α 11 more questions that were on the -- maybe it was the -- the initial draft that we saw, because we 12 didn't see all the drafts that FSU had created for 13 the Board of Governors, but one draft that -- that 14 we saw had -- I think had a question or two about --15 on the student survey -- about political parties or 16 something like that that was not in the final 17 version. 18 19 Was there anything else that was changed 20 to achieve a nonpartisan survey? 21 Α I don't recall specifically. That's the 2.2 only one that comes to mind right now. 23 And what does the board understand is 0 required for a statistically valid survey? 24 25 In this instance, statistically valid I Α

Page 218 think in our view would -- would consider the -- how 1 2 the survey was put together but also how the 3 information is reported. What requirements do you think are imposed 4 5 on how the survey is constructed? Object to form. MR. MOORE: 6 7 Α In both keeping with the objective and 8 nonpartisan, we attempted to ask questions that 9 wouldn't lead a student or an employee in one 10 direction or another, and would fulfill the requirement of being an assessment of intellectual 11 12 freedom and viewpoint diversity at the institution. BY MR. HANCOCK: 13 What requirements do you think the 14 15 statistically valid term imposes on reporting of the results? 16 17 MR. MOORE: Object to form. In our case, because of what the statute 18 Α 19 requires, which is that we report the assessments, as they're called, which we call "the survey," that 20 21 we -- our plan is to report them as they are, 2.2 meaning not necessarily trying to provide an 23 analysis of what we think it might mean or what the results might mean, just what were the answers to 24

the questions that students or employees provided.

25

Page 219 1 BY MR. HANCOCK: 2 Q And that is the board's intended approach 3 for the report due on September 1? 4 Α That's our intended approach. Does -- and -- and this survey under House 5 0 Bill 233 is to be conducted every year; is that 6 7 right? 8 Α It's annual, yes. That's what it 9 requires. 10 Does the board currently have a timeline 0 for when the next survey will be distributed? 11 We haven't discussed the timeline for next 12 Α 13 year yet. Does House Bill 233 require that the 14 0 15 survey be anonymous? Object to form. 16 MR. MOORE: 17 Α The legislation itself doesn't use the 18 word "anonymous." 19 BY MR. HANCOCK: Does it use another word that would 20 0 21 require that the survey be anonymous? 22 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 23 I don't see one. Α 24 BY MR. HANCOCK:

Does House Bill 233 require that the

25

Q

Page 220 1 survey be voluntary? 2 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 3 I don't see that it does. BY MR. HANCOCK: 4 Does House Bill 233 protect the results of 5 Q the survey from public records requests? 6 7 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 8 Α I don't believe that it does. BY MR. HANCOCK: 9 10 As we discussed earlier, the Board of 0 Education proposed an amendment to House Bill 233 to 11 12 add expressed rule-making authority for this survey provision; is that right? 13 14 That was in the e-mail from Bethany 15 Swonson. 16 And that expressed authorization did make Q 17 it into the final House Bill 233, right? You said it did? 18 Α 19 Yeah, it did. Q The -- the rule-making authority that was 20 21 added to the bill is permission to adopt rules, so 2.2 it says the State Board of Education may adopt 23 rules. 24 Right. So they are expressly granted the 0 25 authority to adopt a rule; is that right?

August 18, 2022 Page 221 1 They are granted permission to adopt Α 2 rules, but they're not required to adopt rules. 3 Sometimes we have rule-making authority that says the State Board of Education shall adopt rules, and 4 sometimes we are granted authority where we're 5 granted permission to adopt rules. 6 This is permission. So we are not 7 8 required to adopt a rule, but we may. Did the board considering adopting a rule 9 10 to implement the survey provision? 11 There probably was an internal discussion Α 12 about that at some point. Do you recall who that discussion would 13 0 have been between? 14 15 I don't, I don't know exactly. As I said, Α probably. I don't recall a specific discussion, but 16 17 it seems likely that at some point someone in 18 leadership would have discussed whether we would do 19 a rule. 20 And it was decided not to promulgate a 0 21 rule; is that right? 22 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 23 There -- there was a decision along the Α way not to do a rule. Exactly when the decision was 24

made, I don't remember.

25

August 18, 2022 Page 222 1 BY MR. HANCOCK: Do you know who would have made that 2 Q decision? 3 The final decision would be the Α 4 5 commissioners, but ... 6 Have any stakeholders asked the Board of 0 7 Education to craft a rule governing the annual 8 survey? 9 Α I don't recall any. 10 For the 2022 survey, do you think it was 0 11 implemented in a way that was voluntary? 12 Α Yes. But there is nothing that requires the 13 0 board to continue doing that in the future, right? 14 15 Object to form. MR. MOORE: There's nothing in the law that requires 16 Α 17 the survey to be voluntary. BY MR. HANCOCK: 18 19 And does the board -- is it your view that 20 the 2022 survey was conducted in a manner that was 21 anonymous? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 But there is nothing requiring the board

to continue implementing it that way going forward;

24

25

is that right?

```
Page 223
                            Object to form.
 1
               MR. MOORE:
 2
          Α
               The law doesn't require the survey to be
 3
     anonymous.
                (Exhibit 21 was marked for
 4
          identification.)
 5
               MR. HANCOCK:
                              I am going to present the
 6
 7
          witness with what's been marked as Exhibit 21.
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
 8
 9
               Do you recognize this e-mail chain?
10
          Α
                (Examining Document.)
11
               Yes.
12
          0
               This starts with an e-mail from Taylor
     Wolff on September 9, 2021; is that right?
13
14
          Α
               Yes.
15
               And she is inquiring as to the
          0
     implementation of the survey provision; is that
16
17
     right?
18
          Α
               Yes.
               And then in the next e-mail Alexis
19
          0
     provides that update to Taylor Wolff; is that right?
20
21
          Α
               Do you mean the Friday, September 10?
22
          Q
               Yes.
23
          Α
                "Hi, Taylor." Yes.
               And at the bottom, there is a table.
24
          Q
                                                       Do
25
     you see that?
```

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Do you recognize this table?
3	А	It looks like it's an excerpt from a
4	tracking	table.
5	Q	And is that tracking table a document
6	maintaine	ed by the department?
7	А	It looks like it.
8	Q	Do you see the third column of that table
9	is labele	ed "Implementation Type"?
10		MR. MOORE: Object to form.
11	А	I see that here in the in the document,
12	yes.	
13	BY MR. H	ANCOCK:
14	Q	And then if you turn to the next page, you
15	see the 1	last row in the third column, it says "Rule
16	Making"?	
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	As of September 10, did the board intend
19	to implement	ment the survey provision through rule
20	making?	
21	А	I don't know.
22	Q	Under what circumstances would someone put
23	rule mak	ing in the tracker to make an
24	implement	cation-type call?
25		MR. MOORE: Object to form.

Page 225 1 It could be because that was being Α 2 considered. It could also be that someone was writing 3 very quickly and didn't realize it said "may" and 4 5 they said "must" in the tracker. I'm not sure because it looks like this 6 7 was a table that Alexis was either copying from or 8 editing, so I'm not -- I'm not positive exactly who 9 typed that. 10 BY MR. HANCOCK: Do you think the legislature would have 11 0 understood from this e-mail that the board intended 12 13 to implement the survey provision through rule 14 making? 15 Object to form. MR. MOORE: 16 Α I don't know what they would have 17 understood. 18 BY MR. HANCOCK: 19 Let's turn to a prior page, the second 20 page of the document. Do you see towards the bottom 21 an e-mail from Kerrington? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 And do you see in the second line of the e-mail where it says: "I understand the FCS 24 25 institutions may use the survey developed by FSU"?

August 18, 2022 Page 226 1 Α Yes. 2 Q As of September 14, did the board intend 3 to use the survey developed by FSU? That was still the plan at the time. 4 Α Ι don't think we'd actually seen the survey yet, but 5 we were still working with the Board of Governors, 6 7 and that's where I think we were at that point. 8 Q When was that plan made? I don't know the exact date. I know there 9 10 was conversation between Chancellor Criser and me 11 with regard to implementation, because we were both 12 required to do the survey, and how we might go about doing it together, and if that was -- you know, the 13 pros and cons of doing that. 14 Did -- do you know whether the folks at 15 Florida State understood that their survey would be 16 17 used by both the State University System and the 18 Florida College System? 19 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 20 I know that by February, at the meeting 21 that some of my team members participated in on the delivery of the survey, that they understood it at 2.2 23 that point because they were in the same meeting When they understood it and how soon 24 with my team.

they understood it, I don't know.

25

Page 227 1 BY MR. HANCOCK: 2 Q And you're referring to a meeting in 3 February of 2022? 4 Α Yes. And prior to that meeting, did you have 5 Q any direct communication with anyone from Florida 6 7 State about this? No. 8 Α 9 Did anyone on your team have communication 10 with anyone from Florida State about this? 11 Α No. 12 At the top of this page, do you see the 0 e-mail from Bethany Swonson? 13 14 Α Yes. And do you see where she says at the end: 15 Q "Let's get an update on this specifically from Kathy 16 and team"? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 I assume that's a reference to you, right? Q 20 Probably, yes. Α 21 Do you know what Bethany means by "team"? Q 2.2 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 23 It could mean my division. It could mean Α any person in my division. 24 25

1	DV MD III	Page 228
	BY MR. HA	
2	Q	Then if we go back to the first page, do
3	you see t	the e-mail you wrote on September 15?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	And you recount having spoken to Criser in
6	the last	week?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	And your understanding was that Criser was
9	going to	communicate that the FSU survey would
10	likely be	e used by both the State University System
11	and the I	Florida College System?
12	А	That was my understanding.
13		(Exhibit 22 was marked for
14	ider	ntification.)
15		MR. HANCOCK: I'm going to present the
16	witr	ness with what's been marked as Exhibit 22.
17	BY MR. HA	ANCOCK:
18	Q	Do you recognize this e-mail?
19	А	(Examining Document.)
20		Yes.
21	Q	And who is Hayley Spencer?
22	А	Hayley Spencer was an employee in my
23	division	at the time, and she was director of data
24	and analy	ytics.
25	Q	But Hayley is no longer in your division?

	•	
1	7	Page 229
1		That's correct.
2	Q	When did she leave?
3	A	Not too long ago. It was sometime during
4	the implem	mentation of the survey, though.
5	Q	So roughly spring 2022?
6	A	Spring, yes, or summer.
7	Q	Do you recognize this document that she's
8	attached t	to the e-mail?
9	A	Only vaguely. I was trying to piece
10	together w	hy this was an attachment to Hayley's
11	e-mail, bu	ıt
12	Q	Do you see these bullets at the bottom
13	that are n	numbered?
14	A	The bottom of the first page of the
15	attached d	locument?
16	Q	Yeah.
17	A	Under "next steps"?
18	Q	Yeah.
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Do you see the 1(b) about who to work
21	with?	
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	And the first sub-point says: "The BOG to
24	determine	if we use the same survey," right?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q And the second part says "ARM particularly
2	for creating." Do you see that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do you know what ARM is referring to?
5	A I do. There's a division in the
6	department called accountability, research,
7	measurement.
8	Q And who is the head of that?
9	A Juan Copa.
10	THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry?
11	THE WITNESS: Juan Copa. He's deputy
12	commissioner.
13	BY MR. HANCOCK:
14	Q And did you end up involving Juan in the
15	survey creation process?
16	A I don't know that we involved Juan
17	specifically. I think our outreach to ARM at the
18	time at this point in time was still trying to
19	figure out how would we administer the survey; and
20	so when it says creating, it could have involved
21	their knowledge of other surveys, it could have
22	involved their because they are in reports data;
23	and so it would have been probably consulting with
24	them on the types of data they had; did they have
25	e-mail addresses for college students or anything

Page 231 else in the information that's collected from 1 2. institutions to think about how would we -- how we 3 would put it together. This correspondence is from 2021, right? 4 Α Yes. Did you consult with ARM at all in 2022 6 7 about the survey? I don't recall in 2022 doing that. 8 Α There could be an e-mail to that effect somewhere, but 9 10 this was -- this was early on, all the kinds of questions and decisions that needed to be made. 11 12 0 If you'd turn to the next page, do you see at the top the section labeled "Questions"? 13 14 Α Yes. 15 And the first question is, "If DOE 0 distributing in this manner, how do we account for 16 duplication?" 17 18 Α Yes. 19 Do you understand that to be using 0 20 SurveyMonkey? 21 MR. MOORE: Object to form. I don't know if we had decided or 2.2 Α 23 considered SurveyMonkey yet. Oh, yeah, it says "SMM," so this would have been at the point where we 24 25 were looking at SurveyMonkey as an option.

- 1 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- Q Was there a concern that using
- 3 SurveyMonkey would create duplication problems?
- 4 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 5 A I don't recall that we thought
- 6 SurveyMonkey would create duplication. It just
- 7 looks like duplication was a question that we needed
- 8 to deal with, depending on all the other decisions
- 9 we had to make on anonymity and all of that.
- 10 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 11 Q And do you see the Sub-point 2, "SM can
- 12 allow for single responses by device, " and then in
- 13 parenthesis "ways to get around this"?
- 14 A I do.
- 15 Q You understand that SurveyMonkey can
- 16 create a survey that only allows a single response
- 17 per device?
- 18 A It would appear that's the case. I can't
- 19 promise you that I am an expert on everything
- 20 SurveyMonkey can do or can't do.
- 21 Q Are you -- do you know whether that --
- 22 whether the final survey as it was distributed only
- 23 allowed a single response by a device?
- 24 A I don't believe that it did.
- 25 Q So --

	Page 233
1	A But I don't know.
2	Q your understanding okay.
3	Is it your understanding
4	A Ask the question again, because I'm not
5	sure if I should have said "did" or "didn't," how
6	many negatives there were.
7	Q In terms of the final survey, do you know
8	whether it was distributed by SurveyMonkey such that
9	only a single response was allowed per device?
10	A I don't know whether that's true or not.
11	Q If that setting was turned on, do you know
12	if there are ways to get around that restriction?
13	A I don't know. Are you asking me what ways
14	to get around, in parenthesis, means?
15	Q I'm asking generally if you're aware of
16	whether there are ways to get around restriction for
17	single response per device?
18	A I'm not aware technically.
19	Q If people were submitting multiple
20	responses to the survey, would that raise concerns
21	about the statistical validity of the survey?
22	MR. MOORE: Object to form.
23	A I don't know what would raise questions.
24	BY MR. HANCOCK:
25	Q Do you think the board was obligated to

Page 234 1 try to limit one response per survey respondent? 2 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 3 Α There isn't anything in the law that 4 requires us to limit the responses to one per 5 individual. BY MR. HANCOCK: 7 Q Do you think a survey would be 8 statistically valid if respondents could submit multiple survey responses? 9 10 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 11 Α I think it depends on to the degree. BY MR. HANCOCK: 12 In what sense? 13 0 Meaning if you, for example, received 14 15 several hundred thousand responses to a survey but two of the responses were submitted by the same 16 person from the same device, that's like an 17 18 egregious example of something that would not affect 19 the statistical validity of the responses. 20 So I think to the degree that you might 21 have duplication, it might affect the statistical 2.2 validity or it might not. 23 Would it be fair to say it would depend on 24 the quantity of responses that were duplicates? 25 MR. MOORE: Object to form.

	1	Page 235 A It might.
	2	(Exhibit 23 was marked for
	3	identification.)
	4	MR. HANCOCK: I present the witness with
	5	what's being marked as Exhibit 23.
	6	BY MR. HANCOCK:
	7	Q On the second page at the bottom, do you
	8	see an e-mail from you on June 24, 2021?
	9	A Yes.
	10	Q And that's addressed to Alex Kelly,
	11	Bethany Swonson, and Eric Hall?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q And in in the first line, you say:
	14	"Alex, thanks for your call." Is that right?
	15	A Yes.
	16	Q Do you remember having a call with Alex
	17	Kelly?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q And what did you discuss on that call?
	20	A Other than the survey, I don't recall.
	21	Q But you did discuss the survey?
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q And this was a call around or before
	24	June 24?
	25	A Let me clarify. When I say "this survey,"
-		

Page 236 I mean the one in the e-mail, the North Carolina 1 2 survey. 3 0 Your memory is that your conversation with Alex Kelly was about the North Carolina survey? 4 Yes. It did include that. What else we 5 Α talked about, I don't remember. What was the context for your conversation 7 Q 8 about the North Carolina survey? I recall him asking whether we had 9 10 information about it. 11 And do you know why he was interested in 0 12 information about the North Carolina survey? 13 Object to form. MR. MOORE: I don't know if I know or if I know why, 14 15 meaning I know he was interested in -- in this 16 survey, but I am not sure of all the scope of 17 reasons he might have been interested in the survey 18 for. 19 BY MR. HANCOCK: 20 0 Sure. 21 Did you also discuss the survey that would 22 be implemented pursuant to House Bill 233? 23 Α I don't know. Do you know when House Bill 233 was signed 24 0 25 by the governor?

1	Page 237 A I don't recall the exact date. I could be
2	reminded if I saw.
3	Q Yeah. If I represented to you it was
4	June 22, 2021, does that sound right?
5	A It could be.
6	Q Did you have did you discuss
7	implementing the survey requirement with Alex Kelly
8	after House Bill 233 was enacted?
9	A Yes. At some point, yes.
10	Q Do you think that could have been this
11	call?
12	A It certainly could have been.
13	Q In in your discussions with Alex Kelly
14	regarding implementation of the survey, what did you
15	discuss with him?
16	A You mean at any time, not just this phone
17	call? Or just this phone call?
18	Q Let's start with do you remember any
19	discussions the the summer of 2021?
20	A I don't recall specific discussions. It
21	seems likely they occurred, though, because the bill
22	had passed.
23	Q Sure. What what discussions generally
24	at any time do you remember having with Alex Kelly
25	about implementing the survey?

Page 238 I didn't talk to Alex a lot directly 1 2 myself at this point. So some of the information 3 might have gone through Eric or through Bethany. So my discussions directly with Alex would have been 4 limited in number. 5 Was there a point where you spoke to Alex 6 7 more frequently? 8 Α When he was chief of staff in the agency. 9 But before he left the Department of 0 10 Education? 11 That would have been different. Α Yes. 12 0 And then do you see on the first page an e-mail forwarding survey information from Carrie 13 Henderson to Hayley Spencer? 14 15 Α Yes. And that attaches a document about the 16 0 17 University of Colorado social climate survey. 18 you see that? 19 Δ ob T 20 0 Is this something you reviewed around that 21 time? 2.2 Α Likely, since it's in the attached e-mail. 23 Since the statute said we could select a survey, we 24 were looking to see what surveys were out there already that might be applicable or portions of 25

- 1 which might be applicable.
- 2 Q Was the board considering selecting some
- 3 or all the questions from the University of Colorado
- 4 survey?
- 5 A I don't know if we were considering that
- 6 survey specifically. It -- it looks like we were
- 7 still looking for like the -- sort of the scope of
- 8 what was available.
- 9 Q Were there any discussions about possibly
- 10 adopting the Colorado survey?
- 11 A I don't recall discussions specifically
- 12 about adopting that survey.
- 13 Q Were there any discussions about possibly
- 14 adopting the North Carolina survey?
- 15 A There was -- there was more discussion
- 16 about the North Carolina survey because they had
- 17 been doing it for a while, but I think ultimately it
- 18 was decided that it was too broad because our
- 19 statute was really specific.
- 20 Q And did the board look to the surveys in
- 21 Colorado and North Carolina and elsewhere in -- to
- 22 guide them in creating their own survey?
- 23 A What do you mean we looked at them to
- 24 quide?
- 25 O Did the board seek to emulate or model

- 1 anything that was done by the Colorado or
- 2 North Carolina surveys?
- 3 A I don't know if there was a specific
- 4 decision to implement or emulate any other specific
- 5 survey. I think we gathered ideas from the
- 6 different surveys.
- 7 Q Yeah. Do you know whether the University
- 8 of Colorado survey hired an outside entity to
- 9 distribute the survey?
- 10 A I don't remember, but I can certainly find
- 11 out; or if there is something here that says -- if I
- 12 read it and it says it was done by a third party,
- 13 then I suppose that would be true.
- 14 O Do you know whether --
- 15 A Refresh my memory.
- 16 Q Do you know whether the University of
- 17 Colorado released their survey before it was
- 18 distributed to solicit feedback?
- 19 A I don't. But I've just seen on I think
- 20 the third page of the Colorado document that it said
- 21 they selected -- there is a section on selection of
- 22 McLaughlin & Associates/Frederick Polls, so it looks
- 23 like they published in here who they worked with.
- 24 O Did the board consider bringing in an
- 25 outside entity to draft or distribute the survey?

Page 241 That was part of the discussion, yes. 1 Α 2 Q And was a decision made to not do that? 3 Α The decision initially was made to -because the Board of Governors was also considering 4 the same thing, and then determined that they were 5 going to work with FSU. And so then we asked of 6 them whether we could partner with them since they 7 made the selection with FSU. 8 And they considered FSU to function like 9 10 an -- an outside party being brought in to do the 11 poll? 12 Α It was the -- it was the -- I am not going to get the name right -- but it's the center for --13 center for politics or something like that at FSU. 14 They have -- FSU has a center attached to it that 15 16 had done surveys before. 17 Uh-huh. Q 18 Α So it wasn't FSU proper; it was the center 19 that's attached to them. Did the Board of Education ever enter into 20 21 a contract with the -- I believe it's called the FSU Institute of Politics? 2.2 23 Α No. Did the Board of Education ever enter into 24 0 25 a formal agreement to share the survey that the

1 :	Board	of	Governors	was	creating?
-----	-------	----	-----------	-----	-----------

- 2 A No.
- 3 Q And what kinds of updates did the board
- 4 receive from the Board of Governors on the survey
- 5 drafting process?
- 6 A I received several updates from
- 7 Chancellor Criser as to the status of the progress
- 8 that FSU was making on the survey draft. But it --
- 9 it wasn't until later in 2022 when we actually saw a
- 10 survey, a draft. Whenever that -- the e-mail that
- 11 has that survey attached to it.
- 12 Q So when was the first time you saw a draft
- 13 of what the FSU folks were working on?
- 14 A Around January of '22, may have been
- 15 December '21.
- 16 Q And the FSU folks drafted a student
- 17 survey, a faculty survey, and the staff survey; is
- 18 that right?
- 19 A If I recall correctly, they had three
- 20 surveys they were drafting.
- 21 Q And in the final survey, there are only
- 22 two, right?
- 23 A Yes. The student survey and employee
- 24 survey.
- 25 Q Why was the decision made to only have a

August 18, 2022 Page 243 1 unified employee survey? 2 We -- we found a way -- there is so many -- there are a number of individuals in 3 institutions who are -- have an administrative 4 function but also may teach a class. And -- and so 5 it -- it just seemed more efficient, and it seemed 6 7 to us at the time a better direction to have one 8 employee survey that would then allow a person to 9 identify as primarily an instructor or an 10 administrator, and then allow them to answer some --11 most of the same questions and then some unique sets 12 of questions if they were instructors. 13 (Exhibit 24 was marked for 14 identification.) 15 I will present the witness a MR. HANCOCK: document marked Exhibit 24. 16 17 MR. MOORE: I must have had a typo. 18 the other one as 24. 19 BY MR. HANCOCK: 20 Do you recognize this document? Q 21 Α Yes. And this is an e-mail Marshall Criser sent 22 Q 23 to you on February 28, 2022; is that right?

And this is not the survey that was

24

25

Α

Q

Yes.

Page 244 drafted by the Florida State folks; is that right? 1 2 Α Correct. This is not the one drafted by 3 Florida State. It's not the one that I saw drafted by Florida State. 4 Q Understood. 5 Do you know who drafted this document? 6 7 Α It's from Marshall, but I don't know 8 everybody who might have had a hand in drafting it. And -- and this e-mail is just the text of 9 10 the draft in the body of the e-mail; is that right? 11 Α Yes. 12 0 There is no other discussion or context, 13 right? Object to form. 14 MR. MOORE: It -- it looks like it's the draft of 15 Α the -- of the survey with an opening message that 16 17 would go -- draft of an opening message that would 18 go with the survey, and I don't see anything else in 19 here besides that. 20 BY MR. HANCOCK: 21 0 Did you and Criser have a meeting or a call around this time to discuss the survey draft? 22 23 Α I'm certain we did. Exactly what day, I But we had been meeting about this. 24 don't know. And I say "we," not only Marshall and I had been 25

Page 245 1 meeting, but I think our teams were already talking 2. about it at this time and working together on the 3 survey. Let's also look at Exhibit 5. 4 So if you 0 turn to what's page 3 in the top right, this is a 5 portion of your declaration? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 Q And do you see in 4 where it says: "I am 9 personally familiar with the surveys developed pursuant to HB 233"? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 0 Is that accurate? 13 Α Yes. 14 And then in paragraph 5, do you see the last sentence that says: "The institute's initial 15 draft surveys were not well suited for the state 16 17 college system"? 18 Α I do. 19 Why were the initial draft surveys not 0 20 well suited for the state college system? 21 Α In some instances, what I recall is they 2.2 focused more on university and -- and sometimes the 23 language wasn't -- wouldn't exactly represent the Florida College System institutions, and so that --24 25 that was the first thing that I can think of that --

- 1 as to why they weren't well suited.
- 2 Q Can you give me an example of what kinds
- 3 of language would be too university focused?
- 4 A I think they had something in there --
- 5 without looking at the actual copy right now, but
- 6 they had something in there about being a freshman
- 7 or a sophomore or junior or senior or something like
- 8 that, and a lot of our students aren't classified
- 9 that way necessarily because they might be enrolled
- 10 in a certificate program, which is not a degree
- 11 program.
- 12 So that's an example of something that
- didn't seem to encompass our students and how they
- 14 might be represented.
- 15 Q Are there any other issues with the prior
- 16 draft that were not well suited for the state
- 17 college system?
- 18 A I can't remember any specifically or
- 19 specific questions without maybe looking at it
- 20 again, but that's -- that's the thing I remember, is
- 21 it just seemed focused more on university students
- 22 and their experience and, as I said, like the way
- 23 they would talk about themselves or think about
- themselves.
- 25 Q And then in paragraph 6, you say, then,

```
Page 247
 1
     "February 22, Marshall Criser shared alternative
 2
     draft surveys."
 3
               Do you see that?
          Α
 4
               Yes.
               Why did Marshall Criser share an
 5
          0
     alternative draft?
 6
 7
               MR. MOORE:
                            Object to form.
 8
          Α
               I'm not sure what you mean by why.
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
 9
10
               Well, there was an initial draft, right?
          0
11
               You mean the FSU draft?
          Α
12
          0
               Yes.
13
          Α
               Yes.
          Q
               So why was there created an alternative
14
     draft?
15
                            Object to form.
16
               MR. MOORE:
17
               Because we continued to work on the
          Α
18
              As I -- as I noted, our review of the
19
     institute's additional survey -- initial survey --
20
     if I can just get the word out -- wasn't well suited
21
     to the college system, and so we provided feedback.
22
               And Chancellor Criser provided us with an
23
     alternative survey and asked us for feedback on
     that, which I think is the one in the e-mail.
24
25
     might -- yes, that corresponds to February.
```

- 1 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 2 Q What was the feedback that you provided to
- 3 Marshall Criser?
- 4 A At which time?
- 5 Q I guess the feedback that was provided
- 6 prior to this alternative draft.
- 7 A We provided some feedback to
- 8 Chancellor Mack with regard to the survey, the
- 9 initial FSU survey that we saw, and expressed the
- 10 things that we discussed, like it doesn't suit the
- 11 colleges the way some of the questions are worded
- 12 and things like that.
- 13 Q Did you propose edits to the initial draft
- 14 surveys?
- 15 A I think we didn't edit it question by
- 16 question. We provided feedback, I think, in
- 17 general.
- 18 Q And, for example, would one item be that
- 19 not all Florida college institution students fit
- 20 into categories like freshman, sophomore, junior?
- 21 A That would definitely be an example, yes.
- 22 Q And what is your understanding of who
- 23 drafted this alternative draft?
- 24 A I don't -- I don't know who all was
- 25 involved completely in the -- in this draft, but I

- 1 do know that feedback from our agency was
- 2 incorporated.
- 3 Q Do you have an understanding as to who
- 4 wrote this draft?
- 5 A Like a single person who wrote the draft
- 6 or who might be involved?
- 8 draft?
- 9 A I don't know that a single person wrote
- 10 this draft. My understanding is that our feedback
- 11 was -- was taken -- Henry Mack had feedback, and
- 12 then there was some feedback from Alex, I think, in
- 13 an e-mail about the survey. And then there was this
- 14 draft from Chancellor Criser.
- 15 O Did Chancellor Criser tell you how this
- 16 draft was created?
- 17 A I think what he told me about it was that
- 18 he had -- I think he had reviewed it with his board
- 19 chair, might have been what he said.
- 20 0 Who's his board chair?
- 21 A Brian Lamb.
- 22 Q When -- did you provide the feedback
- 23 directly to Criser or did that go through Henry
- 24 Mack?
- 25 A Through Henry Mack.

Page 250 1 And how did you provide that feedback to 0 2 Henry Mack? I think we -- I know we discussed it with 3 him for sure. So there was a face-to-face 4 5 discussion, you know, with a hard copy. We might 6 have also provided some written feedback. 7 Q But you do remember a meeting to discuss 8 it? 9 I don't know if it was a meeting, just a Α 10 discussion with him in his office, not like a -schedule a meeting at 4 o'clock to talk about the 11 12 survey, but just a discussion with him about it. 13 And then how was the communica- -- how was 0 the feedback from Henry Mack communicated to 14 Marshall Criser? 15 I think our feedback went to the 16 Α Governor's Office, if I recall. 17 So Henry Mack took the feedback and his 18 0 19 views and brought those to the Governor's Office? 20 I think so. I don't think he provided it Α 21 directly to Marshall Criser. 22 Q Do you know who in the Governor's Office he would have communicated that to? 23 Probably in response to Alex's e-mail 24 Α 25 request.

1	Page 251 Q And then who provided the feedback
2	ultimately to Marshall Criser?
3	A I don't know.
4	Q But nobody from the Board of Education did
5	that?
6	A Not for this first one, no.
7	Q In paragraph 8 of your declaration
8	A Yes.
9	Q this is Exhibit 6 sorry. This is
10	Exhibit 5.
11	A Okay.
12	Q Do you see the last line at the bottom of
13	paragraph 8 that says: "The survey settings were
14	selected so that the survey responses are voluntary
15	and anonymous"?
16	A Yes.
17	Q What settings are those?
18	A So in SurveyMonkey you can choose to
19	require that someone responding to a survey use
20	their e-mail address to to document who they are,
21	and we didn't require that.
22	Q Are there any other settings that this
23	refers to?
24	A That's the main setting. The voluntary
25	part also includes the way the survey was designed

Page 252 1 so that you didn't have to answer every question; 2 you could choose to answer only the questions you 3 wanted to answer; or even if you started the survey, 4 you could choose to not answer any questions ultimately. 5 And so with that function, if a respondent 6 0 7 wanted to answer some questions and then not answer 8 one question, how would they go about doing that? They would just skip that question. 9 Α 10 They would just click "next" without 0 11 putting in a response? 12 Α That's right. 13 Does the survey advertise that function? 0 14 Α The instructions did, yes. 15 And those are available on the first page? Q 16 That's right. Α 17 Q After you started the survey, is there a 18 way to return to the first page? 19 Δ I don't know. Perhaps there is, but I 20 just am not aware of that right this very second. 21 But that -- those instructions are not Q 22 included on each page of the survey; is that right? 23 Α I don't believe that they were. And it was a multiple choice response on 24 25 the final survey?

Page 253 1 Α Yes. 2 Q Did the board consider including a "prefer not to respond" option as one of the choices? 3 Some questions did have that option. 4 Α Is there a reason why every question did 5 0 not have that option? 6 7 Α Perhaps some questions didn't need that 8 option. I'm not sure why. Do you recall which questions did have 9 10 that option? 11 Α Some of them were the demographic 12 questions. There could have been others, too. And in taking the survey, a respondent can 13 0 just click past the demographic responses, right? 14 15 They could, yes. Α 16 Then why was a "prefer not to respond" 0 17 option included if they had the option to skip 18 already? 19 I think our discussion maybe revolved 20 around the -- I'm not sure, actually, now that you 21 asked the question, other than we wanted them to have -- feel like they could. But the instructions 2.2 23 did already say that, so I suppose we could have done it differently. 24 25 Did the board ever consider a qualitative Q

Page 254 1 or short answer question? 2. Α I think we did discuss that early on. Ι 3 don't remember the complete discussion, but I know 4 it came up at some point. But it was decided not to include one, 5 Q right? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 Q Turn to what is page 6 in the top-right 9 corner of your declaration. 10 So do you see paragraph 14 reads: "In addition to the importance of the timeline for 11 12 compliance, the information gleaned from the surveys furthers other critically important state 13 interests." 14 15 Do you see that? 16 Α Yes. 17 0 And the state interest identified is, 18 "Namely ensuring that the values of viewpoint 19 diversity and academic freedom are preserved rather than eroded"? 20 21 Α Yes. Do you think that the values of academic 22 Q 23 freedom are currently strong in the Florida College 24 System? 25 Α I think they are.

Page 255 1 Is there a --0 2 Α But at the same time, I don't know. I'd 3 like to believe that they are, and so the survey is conducted to get a baseline of where institutions 4 5 are. Do you have a concern that those values 6 0 7 are going to erode in the future? I think the board's concern is that we 8 Α 9 want to make sure they don't. 10 And what is the board doing to make sure 0 11 that they don't? 12 Α Well, one thing is to implement the survey to find out and provide institutions with 13 information about how students are feeling about 14 15 free speech, employees are feeling about free speech and intellectual diversity on the campuses. 16 How would that information further that 17 0 18 interest? It could be useful to institutions to --19 20 to see if there is something they want to look into 21 further to ensure that -- that those values are --2.2 are being implemented. 23 And what steps could they take to ensure 0 that those values are being implemented? 24 25 For one, they could conduct an additional Α

Page 256 1 They could review their policies to ensure survey. 2 that those things are being promoted and -- and 3 implemented on their campuses. Could they promote viewpoint diversity and 4 Q academic freedom without the survey data? 5 Α That's possible. 6 7 Q Are you familiar with the civil discourse initiative? 8 9 Can you give me more information? Α 10 It -- it's a -- it's a program that the 0 Board of Governors is administering. 11 12 Α Oh. It's a project of theirs. 13 0 I've heard of it. 14 Α Do you know if the Florida College System 15 or the Board of Education is doing a similar project 16 17 for the colleges? 18 Α I can't tell you that we have a similar 19 project because I don't know everything about theirs. Certainly, there is an initiative through 20 21 the Florida Education Foundation for civic literacy 2.2 in debate, so that is going on in K-12. 23 But is there any sort of viewpoint diversity or academic freedom initiatives at the 24 25 Florida college institutions at the moment?

Page 257 There is nothing like the civil discourse 1 Α 2 specific project like the Board of Governors is doing. 3 Understood. 4 Q Can you turn to page -- actually, let's do 5 page 15 in the top right of your declaration. 6 7 you see in the bottom right this has the Bates stamp 8 Defendants 007727? 9 Α Yes. 10 And is this the final version of the 0 11 student survey? 12 Α It appears to be, yes. If you could put this next to -- what are 13 0 we -- Exhibit 24. Is it fair to say that this 14 e-mail from Marshall Criser is an initial draft of 15 what became the final survey? 16 17 Α It does look very similar. There are 18 clearly differences, but it might be. 19 If we start with the instructions in Marshall Criser's e-mail, the opening message, do 20 21 you see the last sentence of the first paragraph 22 reads: "This survey intends to discover the extent to which all viewpoints, both conservative and 23 liberal, are both welcomed and provided equal 24 25 attention on your campus and in your classroom."

1	Do	Page 258 you see that?
2		in the first paragraph?
3	_	
	~	ah, that last line.
4	A Ye	
5	Q An	d then in the final version, do you see
6	it says: "T	nis survey attempts to discover the
7	extent to wh	ich all viewpoints, conservative,
8	liberal, and otherwise, are welcomed and provided	
9	appropriate attention on your campus and in the	
10	classroom."	
11	Do	you see that?
12	A Ye	5.
13	Q Wh	y was at the point where it refers to
14	conservative and liberal views, why was "and	
15	otherwise" a	dded to this introduction?
16	A Be	cause there are views that individuals
17	might not co	nsider conservative or liberal; they
18	could consid	er it something else.
19	Q An	d is the survey attempting to discover
20	whether thos	e viewpoints are shared on campus?
21	A It	is attempting to see that all
22	individuals'	viewpoints are how they feel how
23	welcome they	feel in providing their viewpoints on
24	campus, whet	ner they are something someone would
25	define as co	nservative, something someone would

August 18, 2022 Page 259 1 define as liberal or -- or some other name. 2 Q But the board determined there were 3 viewpoints that would not fit into the categories of conservative and liberal, right? 4 Α Correct. And then later on in the last line in 6 7 Marshall's draft, he refers to equal attention on 8 your campus, and in the final draft it refers to 9 appropriate attention on your campus. 10 Do you see that? 11 Α Yes. 12 0 What is the difference between equal attention and appropriate attention? 13 I think equal attention sounds like you 14 15 are going to measure exactly how much attention in 16 some way. And we weren't trying to measure an 17 amount, and so we thought "appropriate" seemed to be 18 a better -- better way to phrase it. 19 So what kind of attention were you trying 0 20 to measure? 21 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 2.2 Α I think the most important part was that 23 "welcomed." 24 BY MR. HANCOCK:

25

0

Did the board determine that differing

Page 260

- 1 viewpoints were not necessarily entitled to equal
- 2 attention?
- 3 A I don't think that was the case. I think
- 4 it was the use of the word "equal" that seemed to be
- 5 something more specific than what we were trying to
- 6 get at in the survey because we weren't attempting
- 7 to measure the amount of time or anything else.
- 8 What we could determine would be one thing equal to
- 9 another.
- 10 Q Did the survey ask students to assess how
- 11 much time is spent on different viewpoints?
- 12 A Not specific time. But there are
- 13 questions about whether those viewpoints are -- they
- 14 hear them, I think. And there are a variety of
- 15 questions about the settings of those viewpoints.
- 16 O At the end of Question 1 in Criser's
- 17 draft, do you see where it says "without fear of
- 18 reprisal"?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And in the final draft, do you see at the
- 21 end of Question 1 where it says "without fear of
- 22 negative consequences"?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Why was "reprisal" swapped out for "fear
- 25 of negative consequences"?

Page 261 1 That was mostly because negative 2 consequences seem to be clearer, like we felt that 3 most students would understand the negative 4 consequence and may not necessarily understand 5 reprisal. What do you think reprisal suggests to 6 0 7 students? 8 Α I don't know. 9 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 10 BY MR. HANCOCK: 11 What do you think negative consequences Q suggests to students? 12 13 MR. MOORE: Object to form. I don't know what any specific student 14 15 might think of as a negative consequence, but the language seemed more user-friendly, if you will, 16 17 than reprisal. 18 BY MR. HANCOCK: 19 Do you think students might consider 20 disagreement from their classmates to be a negative 21 consequence? 2.2 Object to form. MR. MOORE: 23 Α I don't know what any individual student thinks, but it's certainly a possibility. 24 25

Page 262

- 1 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 2 Q Do you think students might think
- 3 criticism from their classmates might be considered
- 4 a negative consequence?
- 5 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 6 A I don't know what any individual student
- 7 thinks, but that's certainly a possibility.
- 8 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 9 Q Do you think a professor correcting an
- 10 inaccuracy in a student's statement might be seen by
- 11 a student as a negative consequence?
- MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 13 A I don't know what any individual student
- 14 thinks or feels, but it's a possibility.
- 15 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 16 Q Did the board consider providing further
- 17 definition for the kinds of negative consequences
- 18 they were interested in?
- 19 A No.
- 21 MR. MOORE: Counsel, whenever you get to
- an appropriate break, hopefully the next five
- 23 minutes, we can swap out personnel. And we can
- 24 all can keep our seats, but Ms. -- Ms. Gay has
- 25 some childcare responsibilities to -- to get

```
Page 263
 1
          to.
 2.
               MR. HANCOCK: Yeah. I'm -- I'm content to
 3
          do that now.
 4
               MR. MOORE:
                            Okay.
               MR. HANCOCK: Of course.
 5
 6
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off
 7
          record at 16:38 p.m.
 8
                (A recess took place from 4:38 p.m. to
 9
          5:01 p.m. and Counsel Gay was replaced by
10
          Counsel Braun.)
11
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going back
12
          on record at 17:01 p.m.
13
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
               Good afternoon again.
14
          0
15
               Good afternoon.
          Α
16
               Did you speak with your counsel during the
          0
     break?
17
               No.
18
          Α
19
               All right.
          Q
               Waiting all day for that question.
20
          Α
               Before we took the break, we were looking
21
          Q
22
     at Exhibits 5 and 24, I believe.
23
               Do you have them ready?
24
          Α
               Yes.
25
               And in particular, I am looking at the
          Q
```

Page 264

- 1 page 16 of Exhibit 5 and the second page of
- 2 Exhibit 24. In -- in the draft from Criser,
- 3 Question 5, do you see where it says: "My
- 4 professors or course instructors use class time to
- 5 express their own social or political beliefs"?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And in Exhibit 5, Question 5, reads: "My
- 8 professors or course instructors use class time to
- 9 express their own social or political beliefs
- 10 without objectively discussing opposing social or
- 11 political beliefs."
- 12 Do you see that?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Why was that last clause about objectively
- 15 discussing opposing beliefs inserted?
- 16 A Part of our discussion was around just the
- 17 sort of trying to think about the situation where
- 18 students would be in a class where a professor would
- 19 share a social or political belief, again, thinking
- 20 about the intellectual diversity and -- and
- 21 viewpoints being welcomed.
- 22 But just asking that question without any
- 23 other context around it seemed like you would get a
- 24 better answer or more precise answer, one that was
- 25 more attuned to whether there was -- were opposing

August 18, 2022 Page 265 1 viewpoints shared or presented if we added the 2 phrase that you just spoke about in Number 5. So whether or not someone shared their own 3 social or political belief didn't seem to be as 4 5 important unless it were done without objectively 6 talking about an opposing one. 7 Q And so what's meant by objectively discussing an opposing belief? 8 In this case, we would think about it as 9 10 without trying to promote one or the other, so I think a generally objective and generally accepted 11 12 definition, if you will, of objective would be without trying to promote one view or another but 13 providing both -- or if it's factual that they exist 14 15 and here they are and the student could decide for themselves. 16 17 0 Do you think a professor can espouse their 18 own personal view while also objectively discussing 19 opposing views? 20 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 21 Α Sounds like it's possible. BY MR. HANCOCK: 2.2 23 If a professor inserts their own personal view of an issue, do you think that discussion can 24

25

remain objective?

Page 266 1 Object to form. MR. MOORE: 2. Α I think it would depend on the 3 circumstances. BY MR. HANCOCK: 4 And how are student respondents to 5 0 determine what their professors' or course 6 7 instructors' personal beliefs are? 8 Α It would only be to their knowledge if the 9 student knew one way or another. 10 This -- let's turn to the next page of 0 11 each of these. In Exhibit 5, do you see prior to 12 Question 13 the statement: "For the following survey items, select the option that best describes 13 your perception of your professors, course 14 instructors, college, or university with respect to 15 political beliefs"? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 What basis are respondents supposed to use 0 19 for their perception? 20 Α I'm not sure I understand the question; 21 the basis of their perception? 22 Q So for Question 5, we talked about 23 students' knowledge about their professors' political beliefs, and you mentioned that they could 24 base it off their personal knowledge. 25

Page 267 1 Is that also supposed to be the basis for 2 these responses here? 3 On the draft survey or -- that's the draft survey, right? 4 On the final survey. 5 Q Α On the final survey. Sorry. Okay. 6 7 I imagine there are a number of ways a 8 student could gain a perception of something, and that's what it asks for, is the student's 9 10 perception. So in terms of getting to this final 11 0 12 draft, we talked earlier about the work on refining the questions. 13 14 Α Yes. Is it this draft in Exhibit 24 that you 15 0 all worked on refining? 16 17 Α Once Chancellor Criser presented this 18 draft, this became the working draft, as I recall 19 it, and then we worked on -- on refinements to this 20 draft. 21 0 And this May 20 -- or sorry. This February 28 e-mail, this is a draft of the student 22 survey, right? 23 I believe so, yes. 24 I don't think it included the employee. No, this is just the student 25

August 18, 2022 Page 268 1 survey draft. 2 0 So if we turn back to -- in Exhibit 5, 3 let's turn back to page 9. 4 MR. MOORE: Counsel, for the record, you mean page 9 of 25? 5 MR. HANCOCK: 6 Yes. 7 Α Okay. 8 BY MR. HANCOCK: 9 Is this the final draft of the employee 0 10 survey? I believe so. That's what's attached 11 Α 12 here. 13 And how did this survey come about? 0 If I remember the basis was the students, 14 and we used the student survey to then craft the 15 16 employee survey. 17 Q And do you know --That's what I remember. 18 Α 19 Do you know, would that have occurred 0 after this February 28 e-mail from Marshall Criser? 20 21 Α It might have. I don't remember the exact date we started working on this version of the 2.2 23 employee survey. It could have been at that time. Is your recollection that the work started 24

on the student survey and then turned to the

25

Page 269

- 1 employee survey?
- 2 A For us, yes. I don't know what FSU might
- 3 have done, but that's where we started.
- 4 Q And is it correct that the student survey
- 5 was the starting point for drafting the employee
- 6 survey?
- 7 A I believe that's correct, but I can't tell
- 8 you exactly what date we started on the employee
- 9 survey.
- 10 Q And what -- who took the pen on taking
- 11 things from the student survey to the employee
- 12 survey?
- 13 A I don't remember. I don't recall exactly.
- 14 Q Do you recall reviewing drafts of the
- 15 employee survey?
- 16 A Yes.
- 18 from?
- 19 A I don't remember. I don't remember
- 20 exactly if they were generated by the -- our board
- 21 or Board of Governors' staff. I'd have to look at
- 22 something to refresh my memory.
- 23 Q If it was within the Board of Education,
- 24 who would it have been?
- 25 A Likely, if it were within us, it would

Page 270 have been -- the three of us that worked on it were 1 2 Carrie Henderson, myself, and Henry Mack. 3 Q Do you recall reviewing an employee survey 4 yourself? Α Reviewing like a draft survey before the 5 final? 6 7 Q Yeah. 8 Α Yes. 9 But you don't recall who that came from? 0 10 Α I don't. It seems likely it was from 11 Henry, but I don't know exactly. 12 Can we turn back to Exhibit 2? Could you Q 13 turn to page 7? 14 Α Page 7? Yes. 15 And then do you see Topic 3 there? Q 16 Α Yes. And do you see it reads: "Your policies, 17 0 18 documents, and communications related to the survey 19 provision in the survey, including the implementation, anticipated effects, or actual 20 21 effects of the survey provision, the creation, 22 drafting, and implementation of the survey," et cetera? 23 24 Α Yes. 25 Have you previously reviewed this topic? Q

Page 271 1 Α Yes. 2 Q What did you do to prepare for testimony 3 regarding this topic? I reviewed the e-mail correspondence from 4 the agency around it. I looked at previous draft 5 surveys that we might have retained. I had 6 7 discussion, as I mentioned earlier, with Carrie Henderson, Henry Mack, certain -- certainly with our 8 9 attorneys, and the one discussion I had with Jeremy 10 Katz. And when did -- do you recall when you 11 Q 12 reviewed the agency e-mails regarding this survey drafting process? 13 14 Some of them as recently as yesterday, but 15 some of them may be earlier than that. Well, some of them definitely earlier than that. 16 17 Q And do you recall when you would have 18 reviewed prior drafts of the surveys? 19 I know that I looked at -- prior drafts? What is today? Thursday. So earlier this week, I 20 21 looked at prior drafts. 22 Q About how much time did you spend speaking with Carrie Henderson, Henry Mack, and -- is it 23 24 Jeremy Katz?

25

Α

Jeremy.

Page 272 My correspondence -- my discussion with 1 2 Jeremy was pretty short. It was pertaining to 3 specifically where he is -- the part he participated in, which was looking at the public information on 4 the IP addresses and the data. 5 My discussions with Carrie have been 6 7 several over time, so throughout the process, I've discussed things off and on with Carrie Henderson, 8 including, I think -- I don't think I spoke with her 9 10 about it yesterday because we had state board. 11 might have spoken to her yesterday or the day 12 before. So I've spoken to her as recently as this week for sure. 13 And I talked to Henry Mack on several 14 occasions throughout the process as well. 15 And most recently would have been -- for any length of time 16 17 would have been last Friday, I think. 18 Q Gotcha. 19 So sitting here today, what can you tell me about the transition from the student survey into 20 21 the final employee survey? 22 Α The draft student survey? Yeah. And how it became the final 23 0 24 employee survey. 25 I don't have a day-by-day recollection of Α

August 18, 2022 Page 273 exactly which came -- what things happened in what 1 2 order on what specific day. But as surveys -- as 3 the surveys were worked on, I do know that we began with the student survey. That was the first survey. 4 And then from that drafted an employee survey. 5 Whether Henry Mack was the first person to 7 take a crack at it or whether Carrie and I were, I 8 don't honestly remember the order of events, but I know all three of us looked at drafts and provided 9 10 feedback on drafts of an employee survey that was 11 based on the student survey. 12 0 Do you know whether the Board of Governors weighed in on the conversion of the student survey 13 14 to the employee survey? 15 Yes, because we met with them several different times throughout the process, and we would 16 17 have discussed -- discussed that. 18 And also, there were some edits to the 19 employee survey and the student survey that were 20 made right towards the end, once -- so there's a 21 drafting of the actual words in a Word document, but then that information has to be loaded into 2.2 23 SurveyMonkey. 24 And so once it gets into SurveyMonkey,

then there could be some things that you notice.

25

Page 274

- 1 Like I remember one of the things that we -- you
- 2 know, you draft a survey thinking that the questions
- 3 will go in a certain order and then when you get in
- 4 SurveyMonkey, you realize you've got to make a --
- for example, on the employee, you know, once you
- 6 identify as primarily -- or the person identifies
- 7 themselves primarily as faculty, then how do you do
- 8 the skip logic to get them to go to the faculty or
- 9 instructor questions.
- 10 And so some edits were made just to make
- 11 that possible, because I think one of the original
- 12 drafts had some instructions that wouldn't -- it
- 13 would make sense if you were filling out something
- 14 hard copy in a Word document but didn't quite make
- 15 sense when you put it in the electronic
- 16 SurveyMonkey. So some of those kinds of edits were
- 17 made once it got into SurveyMonkey.
- 18 And I think we also noticed something like
- 19 the State University System wasn't -- we didn't use
- 20 the terminology correctly and had to make that kind
- 21 of fix right in SurveyMonkey.
- 22 So -- so there were some edits that were
- 23 made even after it was loaded into SurveyMonkey.
- Q Would it be fair to say that the edits
- 25 made after it was loaded into SurveyMonkey were

Page 275 either instrumental or technical corrections? 1 2. Α Primarily, yes. 3 0 Do you know when the employee survey was loaded into SurveyMonkey for the first time? 4 It would have been on or about the same 5 Α date as the student survey. 6 7 Q And do you know if anyone from the 8 Governor's Office weighed in on -- when were you 9 drafting the student survey into the employee 10 survey? 11 Α What do you mean weighed in on whether --12 you say weigh in one whether to draft? 13 Or -- all right; provide any feedback or 0 edits or drafting? 14 15 Α Probably. 16 Are you specifically aware of any 0 involvement from the Governor's Office on that 17 process? 18 19 I believe our drafts, both surveys, 20 student and employee, were shared with the 21 Governor's Office. 22 Q And did they provided feedback or thoughts or edits? 23 I'd have to look at the e-mail again 24 Α 25 whether the feedback we got from Alex with his

Page 276 other -- the other folks that he e-mailed was 1 2 specifically on the student or on both surveys. 3 I think it's likely we got feedback on both. And I know you mentioned there were a lot 4 of meetings in this February to March time period. 5 Would anyone from the Governor's Office 6 7 attend those meetings? 8 MR. MOORE: Object to form. Object to 9 form. 10 If they were with the Governor's Office, I Α 11 don't know. But as far as we were concerned, there 12 were some times when even Carrie Henderson and -and maybe Gene Kovacs, because they were ones 13 14 inputting the data into the SurveyMonkey, they would 15 sometimes just get together to make sure they 16 matched because they were -- Gene was responsible 17 for making sure that the SurveyMonkey survey 18 instrument was the one that was going to be sent to 19 the SUS. Carrie was the one inputting for FCS, and 20 so sometimes they would also just talk between the 21 two of them for technical reasons. BY MR. HANCOCK: 2.2 23 Did you personally attend any meetings 24 regarding survey drafting in February or March of 25 2022?

```
Page 277
 1
               I -- I know as I presented or participated
 2.
     in meetings with the Board of Governors team.
 3
          Q
               Do you recall whether anyone from the
 4
     Governor's Office was present for those meetings?
 5
          Α
               Not for those meetings.
               MR. HANCOCK:
                              I will present the --
 6
 7
          actually, I am going to premark this and hold
          off for a moment.
 8
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
 9
10
               Let's look back at Exhibit 5. We are on
          0
11
     page 9 of 25 in the top right.
12
          Α
               Okay.
               And this is the -- the final employee
13
          0
     survey, right?
14
15
          Α
               Yes.
               And Question 1 asks: "My institution
16
          Q
17
     provides an environment for free expression of
18
     ideas, opinions, and beliefs."
19
               Do you see that?
20
          Α
               Yes.
21
               What is an environment for free expression
          Q
     of ideas, opinions, and beliefs?
22
23
               Environment in this sentence is
          Α
     considering the -- the entire environment of the
24
     institution or the -- in our case, the college.
25
                                                        So
```

Page 278 1 it's meant as a general -- a general term. 2 Q If we look back at Exhibit 24, which is 3 the draft of the student survey --Α 4 Sorry. Yes. The first question for students asks 5 Q whether they feel it is important to be able to 6 7 express political viewpoints without fear of 8 reprisal, right? 9 Α Yes. 10 0 Why weren't professors also asked whether 11 they are able to express political viewpoints 12 without fear of reprisal? I don't know specifically. 13 Α Looking at the employee survey, do you see 14 0 how Question 3 is: "Students at my institution are 15 encouraged to consider a wide variety of 16 viewpoints"? 17 18 Α On the employee survey? 19 Q Yes. 20 Α Yes. 21 And then the fourth question, the next one Q 22 reads: "Students at my institution are not shielded 23 from ideas and opinions they find unwelcomed or disagreeable or even deeply offensive." 24 25 Do you see that?

Page 279 1 Α Yes. 2 Q Was there any concern about transitioning from the affirmative "students at my institution are 3 encouraged" to the negative "students at my 4 institution are not shielded" in immediate 5 succession? 6 7 Do you mean because Number 4 includes the 8 word "not"? 9 Yeah. Was there a concern that the 10 transition to that "not" would confuse employees? 11 And I -- I think in Number 4, not Α No. 12 shielded would be considered a positive, even though the word not is there. But I don't think we 13 expected employees to be confused by that. 14 The -- the choice to use a negative to 15 0 express a positive you did not think would confuse 16 17 employees? We didn't believe so. 18 Α 19 Turning to the next page, do you see Q 20 Ouestion 8? 21 Α On the employee survey? 2.2 Q Yes. 23 Α Yes. And that reads: "My institution is 24 Q equally tolerant and welcoming of both liberal and 25

Page 280 conservative ideas and beliefs." 1 2 Is that right? 3 Α Yes. Why was the board interested in whether 4 0 5 liberal or conservative beliefs were equally tolerated? 6 7 Α The legislation I think originally talks 8 about intellectual diversity and includes it for purposes of the statute, the definition. And if I 9 10 can go back to the law -- in Subsection -- in page 1 of Exhibit 1 where the law is printed -- the bill is 11 12 printed, I should say, it says: "For purposes of this subsection, the term" --13 14 THE STENOGRAPHER: Can you speak up? 15 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 16 Α "For purposes of this section, the term 17 'intellectual freedom and viewpoint diversity' means 18 the exposure of students, faculty, and staff to, and 19 the encouragement of their exploration of, a variety 20 of ideological and political perspectives." 21 So I think it -- I think that question is 2.2 one of the questions that tries to get at their 23 perceptions of -- of how -- what they perceive to be the environment on their campus. 24 25

Page 281 1 BY MR. HANCOCK: 2 Q Understood. 3 Can we turn to page 14 of that Exhibit 5. 4 Are these the demographic questions for the employee 5 survey? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q And Question 21 reads: "Please identify 8 your race." Right? 9 Α Yes. And the last option is "Prefer not to 10 0 11 respond." Right? 12 Α Yes. 13 And Question 23 just reads: "Gender:" Q Right? 14 15 Α Yes. And the last option is "Prefer not to 16 Q 17 respond." Right? 18 Α Yes. 19 And then Question 24 is: "Where would you Q place yourself on the following scale: " And the 20 21 options are conservative, liberal, moderate, or none 22 of the above, right? 23 Α Yes. 24 0 There's not a "prefer not to respond" 25 option for Question 24, right?

Page 282 1 No, there is not an option that you can 2 select that says "prefer not to respond," but the --3 the person taking the survey could still choose not 4 to respond. Did the board consider adding a "prefer 5 0 not to respond" choice to Question 24? 6 7 Α I don't remember if we discussed that or 8 not specifically for Question 24. Do you think Question 24 inquires into the 9 10 political views of employees in the Florida College 11 System? 12 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 13 What do you mean "inquires into the Α political views"? 14 BY MR. HANCOCK: 15 Does it ask them to disclose their 16 Q 17 political views? 18 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 19 It asks them to place themselves on the Α following scale, if they -- they chose to. 20 21 BY MR. HANCOCK: Do you think that scale is a disclosure of 22 Q political views? 23 24 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 25 It could be. Α

Page 283 1 BY MR. HANCOCK: 2 Q What do you mean "could be"? 3 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 4 Α A person taking the survey could think of it that way except that the survey was anonymous. 5 So -- so I quess it -- it could -- it would pertain 6 7 to the person taking the survey, but we don't know 8 who that person is. 9 So to the extent that person's own views 10 are actually disclosed to us, we -- we don't know 11 who they are. We would just know that someone who took the survey identified in one of those ways. 12 13 I'm going to -- I apologize. MR. HANCOCK: 14 I'm going to skip Exhibit 25 and present Exhibit 26 to the witness. 15 (Exhibit 26 was marked for 16 17 identification.) 18 Α Do I need to keep all these other ones 19 open? BY MR. HANCOCK: 20 21 Q No. I think they can be set aside. 22 Do you recognize this e-mail chain? 23 Α Yes. And at the bottom is an e-mail from Henry 24 0 25 Mack dated January 28, right?

Page 284 1 Α Yes. 2 Q And that e-mail reads: "Attached, draft 3 of the intellectual freedom and viewpoint diversity survey to share with Senator Rodrigues." Right? 4 Α Yes. 5 And do you understand that to be a 6 0 7 reference to Senator Ray Rodrigues? 8 Α I do . And then the next line says: "We will 9 10 compile his feedback together with feedback from the Division of Florida Colleges." 11 12 Do you see that? 13 Α Yes. Do you know whether this -- a draft of the 14 survey was ever actually shared with Senator 15 Rodrigues? 16 17 I don't know. Α 18 Q If Henry Mack sent an e-mail like this, do 19 you expect it would have been shared with Senator 20 Rodrigues? 21 MR. MOORE: Object to form. It could have been. 2.2 Α 23 BY MR. HANCOCK: Did the board have an intention to share 24 drafts with legislators? 25

Page 285 It's not unusual for the board to work 1 Α 2 with a sponsor of a bill as to implementation of the bill. 3 Are you aware of any other legislators 4 Q 5 besides Senator Rodrigues who saw a draft copy of the survey? 6 7 Α I am not aware of any others. 8 Q And earlier you mentioned that Henry Mack 9 was compiling feedback from a variety of sources. 10 Right? 11 Uh-huh. Α If he did receive feedback from Senator 12 0 Rodrigues, would you expect him to incorporate that 13 in his feedback communicated to Marshall Criser? 14 15 I would. Α 16 Are you aware of Henry Mack soliciting 0 17 feedback from any other legislators? 18 Α I am not. 19 Do you know whether Senator Rodrigues is a Democrat or Republican? 20 21 Α I do. 22 Q And do you know in which party would he 23 be? 24 Α Republican. 25 Did the board consult any democratic Q

Page 286 1 legislators for feedback on draft surveys? 2. Α Not that I'm aware. Do you think consulting legislators from 3 0 only one political party is consistent with the 4 5 requirement that the survey be nonpartisan? Object to form. 6 MR. MOORE: 7 Α I don't think it's related. 8 BY MR. HANCOCK: It's not related, how? 9 10 Same objection. MR. MOORE: In this case, it was shared with the bill 11 Α 12 sponsor. BY MR. HANCOCK: 13 And your understanding is that the 14 requirement to be nonpartisan wouldn't apply to a 15 bill sponsor? 16 17 MR. MOORE: Object to form. I don't think it's related. I think I --18 Α 19 I think one of the things we discussed earlier was 20 that a survey that might have been created or 21 generated from a political party didn't mean that it 2.2 was partisan but that it could be. 23 So I think you asked me questions about that already. So in this case, it looks like it was 24 25 shared with the person who sponsored the bill for

Page 287

- 1 his input.
- 2 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 3 Q So would determining if Senator Rodrigues'
- 4 feedback was partisan depend on the content of his
- 5 feedback?
- 6 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 7 A I think we took all of the -- all the
- 8 questions and certainly the feedback -- I'm not sure
- 9 exactly what process the Board of Governors went
- 10 through internally. But on our end, for the board,
- 11 we -- we would look -- we looked at all the
- 12 questions; so before the survey was finally
- 13 completed, we would have looked at the survey in
- 14 total with an eye towards it being nonpartisan and
- 15 objective and statistically valid. But in this
- 16 case, the question was about partisan, I think, that
- 17 you asked me.
- 18 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 19 Q And so the board's understanding is that
- 20 they can consult partisan actors in developing a
- 21 survey as long as the content of the final survey is
- 22 nonpartisan?
- MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 24 A Can you ask me that question again? I'm
- 25 sorry.

Page 288

- 1 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 2 Q Sure. Is it the board's view that the
- 3 board can consult partisan actors so long as the
- 4 final content of the survey is nonpartisan?
- 5 MR. MOORE: Same objection.
- 6 A And what is a partisan actor in this case?
- 7 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 8 Q For example, Senator Rodrigues.
- 9 A Is that because he's an elected official?
- 10 Q Yes.
- 11 A It's the board's view that sharing it with
- the bill sponsor for feedback on how the legislation
- 13 he sponsored was being implemented did not
- 14 ultimately affect whether the survey was or was not
- 15 partisan, we -- we still strove for a nonpartisan
- 16 survey.
- 17 Q Would it be appropriate for the board to
- 18 solicit feedback from the Florida Republican party?
- 19 MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 20 A The Republican party wasn't the sponsor of
- 21 the legislation, so I don't see any reason why we
- 22 would solicit feedback from the Republican party.
- 23 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 24 Q But if the board did, would you understand
- 25 that to violate the requirement that the survey be

```
Page 289
 1
     nonpartisan?
 2
               MR. MOORE:
                            Object to form.
 3
          Α
               It's a hypothetical question.
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
 4
               But based on that hypothetical, you don't
 5
          0
     know if it would violate the statute?
 6
                            Same objection.
 7
               MR. MOORE:
 8
          Α
               The statute doesn't specifically talk
 9
     about who -- who can have input on the survey.
10
               MR. HANCOCK: I'd like to present the
11
          witness with what's been marked as Exhibit 27.
               (Exhibit 27 was marked for
12
13
          identification.)
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
14
15
               If you'd turn to the last page, do you see
     this e-mail from Jon Rogers to Timothy Chapin on
16
17
     February 28?
18
               MR. MOORE:
                            Object to form.
19
               The last page, you said?
          Α
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
20
21
          Q
               Yeah.
2.2
          Α
               Yes.
23
               And then if you turn to the prior page, do
     you see Timothy Chapin forwarded this conversation
24
     to T. Howard Stone and Lonna Atkeson?
25
```

Page 290 That's what the document states. 1 Α 2 Q And then after that, T. Howard Stone provides a response. Do you see that? 3 Object to form. 4 MR. MOORE: That's what the document shows. 5 Α BY MR. HANCOCK: 6 7 And on the second page of the document, as Q 8 part of T. Howard Stone's response, do you see in 9 the second paragraph where he says: "If plan has 10 been revised and will now include students under the age of 18, then study may not be considered exempt 11 12 from IRB review as we had earlier planned." 13 Do you see that? Object to form. 14 MR. MOORE: 15 Α I see that paragraph. 16 BY MR. HANCOCK: Did the Florida -- did the Board of 17 Q 18 Education intend to design the survey so it would be 19 exempt from IRB review? 20 Object to form. MR. MOORE: IR- -- IRB review wasn't -- wasn't 21 Α No. 2.2 part of what the statute requires or doesn't 23 require. 24 BY MR. HANCOCK: Were there discussions within the board 25 Q

Page 291 about whether or not the survey should achieve IRB 1 2 review? I remember discussing -- Marshall bringing 3 Α this question up as something they were talking 4 about, about the students under 18; not specifically 5 whether that would mean IRB review or not, which it 6 7 looks like that was part of their discussion. But the fact that there are students in 8 9 the Florida College System and in the State 10 University System that are under 18, and since the -- the end result of the discussion was that 11 12 since the survey is voluntary and a person could choose not to answer and it's only their perception, 13 the student's opinion, that it -- there wouldn't be 14 15 any concern about students under 18 taking the 16 survey. When did Marshall Criser communicate this 17 0 issue about students under 18? 18 19 Sometime during the development. I don't 20 remember exactly. 21 0 Do you remember --2.2 Α We talked about it on the phone. Ι 23 haven't seen this e-mail before, so ... Do you remember if it was 2021 or 2022? 24 0 25 I want to say it was 2021, but I am not Α

1	Page 292 sure. If I recall correctly, it was earlier on in
2	the process.
3	(Exhibit 28 was marked for
4	identification.)
5	MR. HANCOCK: I'm going to present the
6	witness with Exhibit 28.
7	BY MR. HANCOCK:
8	Q This exhibit is an e-mail chain that
9	starts on the second-to-the-last page. And there's
10	an e-mail from Crystal Moyer to Christina Pushaw on
11	June 23, 2021; is that right?
12	A That's what it says.
13	Q Do you know who Christina Pushaw is?
14	A I think she was in the governor's
15	communications office.
16	Q And do you know who Crystal Moyer is?
17	A I mean, I can read down here what her
18	signature says, but I don't know her.
19	Q Sure. And then in the next e-mail, do you
20	see Christina Pushaw forwards this conversation to
21	Alex Kelly, Taryn Fenske, and Cheryl Etters?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Who is Cheryl Etters?
24	A Cheryl Etters used to be an employee with
25	the department of education in our communications
1	

Page 293 office. 1 2 Q And who holds Cheryl's position now? 3 Α It might be Will Patrick. And I say it might be only because I think they might have 4 5 changed some of the titles recently. 6 And then if you turn to the prior page, at 7 the bottom, you see that Cheryl forwards this 8 conversation to you and some other DOE folks? 9 Yes, I see that. 10 And then if we go back to the first page, 0 do you see an e-mail on June 24 from Alexis? 11 12 Α On the previous page, you said, or the 13 front page? 14 On the front page. 0 15 And what was the question? Α I'm sorry. Do you understand this e-mail to be a 16 0 17 draft response to the media inquiry? 18 Α Yes. 19 Do you know if this response was ever sent Q 20 out? 21 Α I don't know. 22 Q And actually, this response was initially 23 drafted by Bethany Swonson in the prior e-mail. 24 Do you see that? 25 Α Yes. Bethany sent it to our

Page 294

- 1 communications team plus me and Caleb.
- 2 Q If you look at the last sentence of the
- 3 second paragraph, do you see where it says: "In
- 4 fact, it would be a violation of a student's First
- 5 Amendment rights to force or compel them to complete
- 6 the survey"?
- 7 A I do.
- 8 Q Is it the board's view that if the
- 9 intellectual freedom and viewpoint diversity survey
- 10 were nonvoluntary, it would violate a student's
- 11 First Amendment rights?
- MR. MOORE: Object to form.
- 13 A I don't know if that's the board's view
- 14 now. I see that as a draft in this e-mail.
- 15 BY MR. HANCOCK:
- 16 Q And Bethany Swonson in June of 2021 would
- 17 have been chief of staff for the commissioner,
- 18 right?
- 19 A I think she was chief of staff then.
- 20 Q Would her views generally represent the
- 21 board's views?
- 22 A If they were official statements, yes, but
- 23 this is a draft, so I don't know that this was the
- 24 final statement.
- 25 Q Are you aware of the board forming a view

Page 295 on whether it would violate the First Amendment to 1 2 compel students to complete the survey? I'm not aware of that statement or an 3 Α opinion or position. I think you said position? 4 Yeah. 5 Q Α 6 Okav. 7 Q Is it the board's view that they have the 8 authority to create a nonvoluntary survey? 9 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 10 Α The statute doesn't talk about the survey 11 being voluntary or nonvoluntary, at least the 12 legislation didn't. At the same time, there is no -- there is nothing in the statute that says we 13 14 can -- that we can compel a student to complete the 15 survey. So we don't -- we' don't have any authority to compel a student to complete the survey. 16 17 BY MR. HANCOCK: The Board of Education did distribute this 18 19 survey through the Florida colleges, right? 20 Α Yes. We sent it to the colleges, gave 21 them individual links, one for the student, one for 2.2 the employee survey, and then the colleges 23 distributed it to their employees and students. And that was open for student responses 24

and employee responses for one week, right?

25

1	Page 296 A Yes.
2	Q And the board did receive responses from
3	that?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Where are those responses stored?
6	A They were first stored they come back
7	through SurveyMonkey. And then from that, from
8	SurveyMonkey you can take the data you receive from
9	the survey and put it in Excel or probably
10	another other variety of formats to review it and
11	decide what you are going to do with it next.
12	Q Does that are those responses going to
13	stay on SurveyMonkey long term?
14	A No.
15	Q Does the board have a plan to pull them
16	down and store them elsewhere?
17	A We already have.
18	Q And where are they stored now?
19	A They currently reside for us with our
20	division.
21	Q Is there a particular database they are
22	stored in, or is it just Excel files within the
23	division's files?
24	A I don't know about a particular database.
25	I do know the data are stored in Excel, but I don't

August 18, 2022 Page 297 1 have a name of a database that they're in. 2 Q And so is the data no longer on 3 SurveyMonkey? I don't believe it is. 4 Who would know how the data is currently 5 stored within the division? 6 7 Α Carrie Henderson would know. I could 8 easily find out. 9 And who has access to the responses that 10 are stored by the division? 11 Α Meaning to view them right now? Yeah. 12 0 13 Α Depending on the answer to your question 14 on which database they are on, then certainly Dr. Henderson would, I would, but it -- it would --15 but when you think about access to data stored in 16 the Department of Education, if data is stored in a 17 certain database and it's -- and it doesn't include 18 19 any publicly identifiable information -- and these don't -- then even if it's not on a database where 20 21 someone like a Henry Mack has ready access to that 2.2 database, he could -- he could easily ask for the 23 information.

access, then there is a process to see information,

24

25

So if that's -- if that's included in

1	Page 298
1	even if it's not stored on his personal computer, if
2	that makes any sense.
3	Q What is that process like?
4	A In this case, he would he would just
5	request it.
6	Q Like an e-mail?
7	A He could do verbally; he could do it in an
8	e-mail.
9	Q Can Florida colleges request the response
10	data?
11	A They could.
12	Q Are you aware that some or all of the data
13	has been provided to plaintiffs as part of
14	discovery?
15	A I think it has. I think we provided what
16	we had already.
17	Q And has that response data been provided
18	to anybody else outside the Board of Education?
19	A Do you mean just the raw data?
20	Q Yeah.
21	A I don't think we provided the raw data to
22	anybody else.
23	Q Has the raw data been provided to the
24	Governor's Office?
25	A I don't - I don't think we have given the

Page 299 raw data to the Governor's Office. I think we gave 1 2 them summary information. 3 0 And how was that given to them? A draft report. Α 4 Is that the only way that results have 5 0 been communicated to the Governor's Office so far? 6 7 Α That's the method that I'm aware of. 8 Q Have -- have any of the response data been 9 provided to legislators? 10 We shared a draft report with Α Yes. 11 Senator Rodrigues. And when was that shared? 12 0 Earlier this summer. I don't have an 13 Α 14 exact date, though I could probably check my 15 calendar. And has the board received any public 16 Q 17 records requests asking for the response data? I believe we have. I don't know if those 18 Α 19 public records requests actually asked for the data I think what we were -- what I recall being 20 21 asked is how many responses were there. 2.2 Q If somebody filed a public records request 23 seeking the response data, would the board provide 24 it? 25 We would respond to the public records Α

August 18, 2022 Page 300 1 request. 2 Are you aware of any reason the board 3 could withhold the response data if it were requested? 4 MR. MOORE: Object to form. 5 The only reason I could think of would be 6 7 if there was something as part of this legal 8 proceeding. But the data aren't specifically 9 protected by the statute with any public records 10 request exemption. 11 BY MR. HANCOCK: 12 O Has the board formed any kind of policy about how this data can be shared? 13 What do you mean by a "policy"? 14 Do they have any kind of plan for sharing 15 0 the data with the public or other institutions? 16 17 Α We are working on the draft report that's 18 required by the -- the statute. (Exhibit 29 was marked for 19 20 identification.) 21 MR. HANCOCK: Let's -- I am going to 2.2 present the witness with Exhibit 29. 23 BY MR. HANCOCK: And this is an e-mail from Carrie 24

Henderson to you and Henry Mack on April 29, 2022;

25

That's correct.

25

Α

Page 302 1 And why is there a column for the student 2 response rate less SF and Broward? Santa Fe -- that's what SF means in this 3 Α case -- Santa Fe College and Broward College ended 4 up sending their student -- the student survey link 5 to students who may no longer be associated with the 6 7 institution; in other words, not currently enrolled. 8 0 In terms of these responses, do you know which ones come from students who are full-time and 9 10 students who are part-time? 11 Only if the student identifies as such. Α 12 0 And they would do that through the survey 13 questions? I believe there is a survey question 14 15 that asks about full-time or part-time status. think there is one about primarily online. I have 16 17 to go back and double-check to make sure that's the 18 case in the final survey. 19 Yes, Question 15 on the student survey --20 THE STENOGRAPHER: I'm sorry? 21 Α Question 15 on the student survey says: "Please identify the enrollment status," and the 2.2 23 options are full-time or part-time. 24 MR. HANCOCK: And then I would like to

present the witness with Exhibit 30.

25

```
Page 303
                (Exhibit 30 was marked for
 1
 2.
          identification.)
     BY MR. HANCOCK:
 3
                Is this a -- a draft of the report that
 4
          0
 5
     the DOE prepared?
 6
          Α
               Yes.
 7
          Q
               And if you turn to the fourth page, it
 8
     should end with Bates 671.
 9
          Α
               Yes.
10
          0
               This is a table that breaks out the
11
     response rate by each Florida college institution,
12
     right?
13
          Α
               Yes.
               And does the board intend to include a
14
     table like this in the final -- or the final report?
15
16
          Α
                I believe we are.
17
          Q
               And if you turn to the next page -- well,
18
     does -- how does this report treat survey responses
19
     that answered some but not all questions?
                The -- the answers to -- this is -- this
20
21
     report is broken out by question, so it shows all
2.2
     the answers that we received to that question in the
23
     table below.
24
          0
               Understood.
25
               And so in this Figure 1 paragraph, that N
```

Page 304 1 equals 7,187, is that the number of responses to 2 that question? 3 Α To that question. And then Figure 2 where N equals 7,162, 4 0 it's a different -- it's a lower number because 5 fewer people answered that question? 6 7 Α 7,162 responses were received to that 8 question. And in this draft, these tables don't 9 10 report a margin of error, right? 11 Α No. 12 0 Does the board intend to report a margin of error as part of the results? 13 This report is only going to provide the 14 15 responses to each question, so I don't know -- I don't understand the question about a margin of 16 17 error. 18 0 Do you understand what a margin of error 19 would mean for, say, the question in Figure 1? Do you mind asking me again? 20 Α 21 Sure. Do you understand what a margin of Q error would mean for like Figure 1? 22 My understanding of the margin of error is 23 Α that you would use it if you were trying to 24 25 extrapolate the information to a larger population.

Page 305 1 And we are -- we are not analyzing the data; we are 2 just reporting the responses that we were -- that 3 were received. Understood. 4 0 So this report is not intended to 5 extrapolate these responses to the larger population 6 7 of these colleges? 8 Α No. 9 It just means that of the roughly 7,000 10 who answered this, say, 55 percent strongly agreed with that proposition? 11 12 Α Correct. 13 0 Understood. Let's turn back to Exhibit 6. 14 15 Actually -- sorry -- one final question about the draft report. Does the board intend to 16 17 provide -- to break out responses to individual 18 questions based on the demographics that were 19 provided? I don't think that we are. I have to look 20 Α 21 at a more recent -- more recent draft. I think we 2.2 do intend to report the demographics as a whole --23 0 Uh-huh. -- but I don't know whether we've broken 24 Α 25 them -- each question out by demographics. And we

		Page 306
1		re our final report yet.
2	Q	Understood.
3	А	So
4	Q	But turning back to Exhibit 6 actually,
5	we can do	that in a moment.
6		MR. HANCOCK: Do we want to just take a
7	shor	t break?
8		MR. MOORE: Sure. And that's fine with
9	me.	And just by my count, we have we have
10	9 mi	nutes left on the record.
11		MS. FROST: I have 10 minutes and
12	10 s	econds.
13		MR. MOORE: Call it 10. Never say I don't
14	comp	promise.
15		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We are now
16	goin	g off record at 18:07 p.m.
17		(A recess took place from 6:07 p.m. to
18	6:14	p.m.)
19		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going back
20	on r	ecord at 18:14 p.m.
21	BY MR. HA	NCOCK:
22	Q	Good evening.
23	A	Good evening.
24	Q	Did you speak with your counsel during the
25	break?	

1	7\	Page 307		
1	A	No.		
2	Q	Let's turn to Exhibit 6. These are		
3	amended ar	nswers to interrogatories, right?		
4	A	Yes. Seconded amended answer.		
5	Q	If you turn to page 6, do you see this		
6	paragraph	that starts "Anomalies occurred"?		
7	A	Yes.		
8	Q	It describes that the Board of Governors		
9	found anor	malies in their responses, right?		
10	A	Yes.		
11	Q	And the Board of Governors identified		
12	certain IP addresses that they thought were			
13	problemat:	ic?		
14	А	Yes.		
15	Q	Did the Board of Education receive any		
16	responses	from those IP addresses?		
17	А	Two of them, yes.		
18	Q	Do you know which two?		
19	А	I think we say specifically. Yes. On the		
20	bottom of	page 7, that last paragraph lists the two		
21	IP addresses			
22	Q	I see.		
23	А	that were similar to the Board of		
24	Governors	or same as the Board of Governors.		
25	Q	And then the Board of Education identified		

August 18, 2022 Page 308 1 one more IP address that they considered 2 problematic? Α Yes. And what was the sort of problem that 4 these IP addresses were generating? 5 They were -- the Board of Governors first 6 7 identified, and then we -- we looked at our data as 8 well. There were a large number of responses from That in and of itself is not 9 the same IP address. 10 an issue because you can have a situation where students in a dormitory, for example, all their 11 12 systems could come from one IP address; that's 13 possible. 14 But there were a large number, as you can 15 see the numbers reported here, of student responses from similar IP --16 17 (Brief interruption) -- addresses that had other 18 Α 19 characteristics that made us -- gave us -- made us 20 be concerned that they might be generated by a 21 computer, a bot, if you will. And did -- so it sounds like Dr. Spencer 2.2 0 23 and Henderson conducted this analysis on behalf of 24 the board?

Yes, that's correct.

25

Α

Page 309 1 Did they also analyze the IP addresses 0 2 identified by the Board of Governors? 3 Α They did, but only two were the same where we identified issues. And then we had, as you can 4 see, one other one that wasn't identified by the 5 Board of Governors that -- that we -- we decided had 6 7 enough evidence that it was likely computer 8 generated and not an actual student responding to 9 the survey. 10 And it says at the top that Doctors 0 Spencer and Henderson reviewed the date/time stamp 11 12 associated with each survey response. 13 Α Yes. Was the -- the date and time that somebody 14 responded to the survey part of the data that was 15 collected? 16 17 Yes. That's part of the data you can look 18 at in SurveyMonkey. 19 And the IP address of the survey 20 respondent is another piece of data that's collected 21 on survey respondents, right? 2.2 Α Yes. 23 Does it collect the provider of the IP 0 address? 24 25 Α SurveyMonkey does not. SurveyMonkey does

Page 310 1 provide you the IP address, but there are places on, 2 as you can see, on public -- publicly accessible information on -- on the internet that you can --3 you can put in an IP address and it will tell you 4 where it's from. It doesn't tell you a person or a 5 phone number or any of those kinds of things, but it 6 7 will tell you where it's from. 8 Q And then turning to the next page, you see the paragraph that starts with "Second, certain 9 10 institutions..."? 11 Α Yes. And this is what we discussed earlier 12 0 about Broward and Santa Fe College sending the links 13 to folks who may still not have been at those 14 colleges? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 0 Did the board consider rerunning the 18 survey at those colleges? 19 Α We did not. 20 Did the board reopen the survey at any of 0 21 its colleges? 22 Α No. 23 Those are all the questions MR. HANCOCK: 24 I want to ask at this time. 25 MR. MOORE: All right. I have no

Page 311

- 1 questions. Thank you for your time.
- 2 MR. HANCOCK: So I will put on the record
- 3 that there were improper instructions and
- 4 preparation issues, so we are going to leave
- 5 the deposition open.
- 6 MR. MOORE: Do what you want. I do not
- 7 agree to leaving it open.
- 8 MR. HANCOCK: Understood.
- 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Before we go off
- 10 record, I do need to refer to counsel.
- 11 Would either one of you be ordering a
- 12 video today?
- MS. FROST: We will be, yes.
- 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Do you want that
- 15 synced?
- MS. FROST: So remind me what "synced"
- means.
- 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: So it just means it's
- 19 synced to the transcript, kind of like
- 20 subtitles.
- MS. FROST: Yes.
- 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And for you?
- MR. MOORE: We will not need a video.
- 24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. And then
- 25 transcript orders?

```
Page 312
                             We'll need a rough as
 1
          MS. FROST:
                      Yes.
 2
     fast as possible, and then I think we are going
 3
     to expedite it. Okay?
          THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The video as well or
 4
 5
     just the transcript?
 6
          MS. FROST:
                      Just the transcript.
          THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Perfect. If we all
 7
 8
     agree, I can go ahead and conclude. All right.
 9
          This concludes today's deposition of
10
     Kathryn Hebda, a corporate representative of
11
     the Florida Board of Education. We are now
12
     going off record at 18:20 p.m.
13
          (Proceedings concluded at 6:21 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

1	Page 313 CERTIFICATE OF OATH
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF LEON)
5	I, the undersigned authority, certify that
6	KATHRYN SCHNEIDER HEBDA personally appeared before
7	me on August 18, 2022, and was duly sworn.
8	
9	
10	SIGNED AND SEALED on August 20th, 2022.
11	
12	Sanded Very
13	Danked Wayy
14	SANDRA L. NARGIZ
15	RPR, RMR, CRR, CRC, CCR-GA snargiz@comcast.net
16	Commission #HH239213 EXPIRES: APRIL 18TH, 2026
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Page 314 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	I, SANDRA L. NARGIZ, Registered
5	Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized
6	to and did stenographically report the deposition of
7	KATHRYN SCHNEIDER HEBDA, as representative person
8	designated by the Florida Board of Education; that a
9	review of the transcript was requested, and that the
10	foregoing transcript, pages 1 through 312, is a true
11	record of my stenographic notes.
12	I further certify that I am not a
13	relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of
14	the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any
15	of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with
16	the action, nor am I financially interested in the
17	action.
18	DATED on August 20th, 2022.
19	
20	0 21/
21	Sanded Weiging
22	SANDRA L. NARGIZ
23	RPR, RMR, CRR, CRC, CCR-GA Notary Public in Florida
24	snargiz@comcast.net
25	

```
Page 315
1
     August 20th, 2022
 2.
     KATHRYN SCHNEIDER HEBDA, as representative person
     designated by the Florida Board of Education
 3
         J. TIM MOORE, ESQUIRE
 4
          tim.moore@gray-robinson.com
 5
     RE:
          Link vs. Diaz, et al.
 6
          Case No. 4:21cv271-MW/MAF
     Dear Ms. Hebda:
 7
     Please take notice that on August 18, 2022, you gave
8
     your deposition in the above cause. At that time
9
     you did not waive your signature.
10
     The above-addressed attorney has ordered a copy of
     the transcript and will make arrangements with you
11
     to read their copy. Please execute the Errata
     Sheet, which can be found at the back of the
12
     transcript, and have it returned to us at
     production@phippsreporting.com for distribution to
13
     all parties.
14
     If you do not read and sign the transcript within
     thirty (30) days, the original, which has already
15
     been forwarded to the ordering attorney, may be
     filed with the Clerk of the Court.
16
     If you wish to waive your signature now, please sign
     your name to the blank at the bottom of this letter
17
     and return to the address listed below.
18
     Very truly yours,
19
20
     Sandra L. Nargiz, RPR, RMR, CRR, CRC, CCR-GA
     Phipps Reporting, Inc.
21
     1551 Forum Place, Suite 200-E
     West Palm Beach, Florida
22
     I do hereby waive my signature.
23
24
     KATHRYN SCHNEIDER HEBDA
     Job No.
             263783
25
```

1	Page 316 ERRATA SHEET
2	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES HERE
3	In Re: Link vs. Diaz, et al.
4	Case No.: 4:21cv271-MW/MAF KATHRYN SCHNEIDER HEBDA, as
5	representative person designated by the Florida Board of Education
6	August 18, 2022
7	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing transcript of the above
21	proceeding and I hereby swear that my testimony therein was true at the time it was given and is now
22	true and correct, including any corrections and/or amendments listed above.
23	Signature of Witness:
24	Dated thisday of, 2022. email to: production@phippsreporting.com
25	Job No. 263783

79:14 10:5,6,13 139:2 176:19 4 (3) 79:12 142:7 126 17:01 148:13 260:16,21 125:23 263:12 (3) (a) 260:16,21 177:6 49:118:17 (3) (g) 280:10,11 177:6 49:118:17 (3) (g) 303:25 139:2,8,9 290:11 291:5 166:20 188:25 304:19,22 139:2,8,9 290:11 291:5 189:1 193:7 1(b) 13 18:07 (4) (a) 229:20 14:5 91:11,12 18:07 58:3 193:3 1.36 195:10 266:12 306:16 (4) (a) 301:11 13:36 18:14 170:22 171:15 10 139:12 306:20 182:5,18 77:20,21 49.74,7 100:17 312:12 183:6 184:6, 25 185:23 123:10 125:7, 26:2 254:10 312:12 19.21 180:5,8 224:18 200:19 223:21 14:57 1985 180:5,8 224:18 203:23 19:0:16,19 192:23 193:5 100 109:3,6 228:3 30:23,24 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 20:17 1985 (c) 170:6 184:16, 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, 23:21, 23:21 1:36 (f) 1001.03 <		084317	11	16:38
1	(109:16	85:18,21	263:7
1	(2)(2)		12	17
(2)(b) 79:14 (3) 79:14 (3) 148:13 (3)(a) 279:12 142:7 207:9 219:3 149:3 151:7 (3)(g) 166:20 188:25 189:1 193:7 (4) 229:20 14:5 182:5 185:23 187:11 182:5 185:23 187:11 182:5 185:23 187:11 182:5 185:23 187:11 (4)(a) 170:22 171:15 182:5,18 183:6 184:6, 25 185:23 187:11 (4)(a) 25 185:23 187:11 (4)(b) 180:5,8 (4)(b) 180:5,8 (4)(b) 180:5,8 (4)(b) 180:5,8 (4)(c) 192:23 193:5 (c) 142:9 150:00.05 170:6 184:16, 142:9 189:23 189:23 189:23 189:21 1008.32 189:23 189:21 1008.32 189:23 189:21 1008.32 189:23 189:23 10:21 166:20 188:8,11 125:21 127:5 139:2 1276:19 146:12,22 188 17:01 125:23 1263:12 17:01 125:23 1263:12 177:6 189:23 180:5,8,11 125:23 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,8,9 139:2,1,1,1,1,2 139:2,0 139:2,0 139:2,0 139:2,0 14:57 139:2,0 14:57 226:2 254:10 199:3,6 228:3 30:20,24 1:36 139:10 2 189:23 189:23 189:23 189:23 189:23 189:23 189:23 189:23 189:23 189:23 180:5,8,20 11:5,6,20 15:18 232:1:		1	15:19 34:8	15:24 46:4
79:14 (3) 148:13 (207:9 219:3 126 139:2 176:19 146 13 (3)(a) 260:16,21 277:16 177:6 180:20 188:25 189:1 193:7 (4) 29:20 166:20 188:25 189:1 193:7 (4) 29:20 1136 139:12 120 14:5 91:11,12 18:07 306:16 17:01 19:4 169:1, 10.15,18 18:07 10.15,18 18:14 10.15,18 18:20 18:20 18:20 18:20 18:20 18:20 18:20 18:20 18:20 18:20 18:20 19:21 10:21 10:21 16:11:13 20:21 11:5,6,20 15:18 23:21:1			60:7 88:8,11	95:4,13
(3) 79:12 142:7 139:2 176:19 4 148:13 260:16,21 125:23 263:12 (3)(a) 277:16 176:21,22 18 149:3 151:7 280:10,11 177:6 4:9 118:17 (3)(g) 303:25 12:20 119:4 169:1 166:20 188:25 304:19,22 139:2,8,9 290:11 291:2 189:1 193:7 1(b) 13 18:07 (4) 229:20 14:5 91:11,12 18:07 58:3 193:3 1.36 13:36 18:14 170:22 171:15 10 13:36 18:14 170:22 171:15 10 139:12 306:20 183:6 184:6, 80:17 109:9 97:4,7 100:17 312:12 187:11 8,12 164:16 281:3 190:16,19 (4)(a)3 200:19 223:21 14:57 1985 180:5,8 224:18 203:23 190:16,19 (4)(b) 306:11,12,13 15 1987 186:17 100 109:3,6 228:3 30:23,24 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19 1992 192:23 193:5 1000.05 131:23 132:1 136 (b) 130:21 1557 131:23 132:1 136 (c) <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>125:21 127:5</td><td>102:20 135:1,</td></td<>			125:21 127:5	102:20 135:1,
(3)(a) 207:9 219:3 126 17:01 (3)(a) 260:16,21 125:23 176:21,22 149:3 151:7 280:10,11 177:6 4:9 118:17 (3)(g) 303:25 139:2,8,9 290:11 291:5 189:1 193:7 1(b) 13 10,15,18 (4) 229:20 14:5 91:11,12 18:07 58:3 193:3 1.36 195:10 266:12 306:16 (4)(a) 301:11 13:36 18:14 170:22 171:15 10 139:12 306:20 182:5,18 77:20,21 14 18:20 183:6 184:6, 25 185:23 123:10 125:7, 226:2 254:10 312:12 187:11 8,12 164:16 281:3 190:16,19 (4)(a)3 200:19 223:21 14:57 203:23 20:17 186:17 100 109:3,6 228:3 20:17 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 20 1992 192:23 193:5 1000.05 21 30:23,24 (b) 170:6 184:16, 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, 18 136 (b) 170:6 184:16, 23 23:13 13:36 139:10 (c) 170:6 184:16, 23 23:13 23:33:14,18, 23:14,18, 23:14,18, 23:14,18, 23:14,18, 23:14,18, 23:14,18, 23:14,18, 23:14,18, 23:14,18, 23:14,18, 23:14,18, 23:14,18			139:2 176:19	4
(3) (a)			126	17:01
(3) (a) 277:16 176:21,22 18 (3) (g) 303:25 12:20 119:4 169:1 166:20 188:25 304:19,22 139:2,8,9 290:11 291:5 189:1 193:7 1(b) 13 10,15,18 (4) 229:20 14:5 91:11,12 18:07 58:3 193:3 1.36 13:36 18:14 (4) (a) 301:11 139:12 306:16 170:22 171:15 10 139:12 306:20 183:6 184:6, 77:20,21 14 18:20 25 185:23 123:10 125:7, 226:2 254:10 19 180:5,8 224:18 203:23 190:16,19 186:17 100 109:3,6 228:3 20:17 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 1985 (c) 170:6 184:16, 257:6 302:19, 1992 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 1992 (c) 170:6 184:16, 23 133:14,18, 139:10 (f) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 139:10 143:7 1008.32 15:13 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 63:20,21 16 15:58,232:12			125:23	263:12
(3) (g) 280:10,11 303:25 12:20 119:4 169:11 166:20 188:25 304:19,22 139:2,8,9 290:11 291:5 189:1 193:7 1(b) 13 10,15,18 (4) 229:20 14:5 91:11,12 10,15,18 (4) (a) 301:11 13:36 18:14 170:22 171:15 10 13:36 18:14 182:5,18 10 13:36 18:20 183:6 184:6, 25 185:23 123:10 125:7, 226:2 254:10 312:12 187:11 8,12 164:16 281:3 190:16,19 (4) (a)3 200:19 223:21 14:57 1985 180:5, 8 224:18 203:23 19:16,19 (4) (b) 306:11,12,13 15 1985 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 20:17 1992 (c) 170:6 184:16, 22 200:19 21 30:20,24 (f) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 23 139:10 (g) 1008.32 15:13 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:13		277:16		18
166:20 188:25 304:19,22 139:2,8,9 29:11 291:9 189:1 193:7 1(b) 13 10,15,18 (4) 229:20 14:5 91:11,12 18:07 58:3 193:3 1.36 195:10 266:12 306:16 (4)(a) 301:11 13:36 18:14 170:22 171:15 10 139:12 366:20 182:5,18 77:20,21 97:4,7 100:17 312:12 183:6 184:6, 25 185:23 123:10 125:7, 26:2 254:10 281:3 190:16,19 180:5,8 224:18 200:19 223:21 14:57 1985 (4)(b) 306:11,12,13 15 1987 186:17 100 109:3,6 228:3 20:17 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 20:19 1992 192:23 193:5 1000.05 21 30:20,24 (c) 170:6 184:16, 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, 23 132:1, 23 132:1, 23 132:1, 23 133:14,18, 23 134:1,7, 18,20 139:10 (f) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 23 134:1,7, 18,20 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:13		280:10,11	177:6	4:9 118:17
189:1 193:7 1(b) 13 10,15,18 (4) 229:20 14:5 91:11,12 306:16 58:3 193:3 1.36 195:10 266:12 306:16 (4) (a) 301:11 13:36 18:14 170:22 171:15 10 139:12 306:20 182:5,18 77:20,21 14 18:20 183:6 184:6, 80:17 109:9 97:4,7 100:17 312:12 25 185:23 123:10 125:7, 226:2 254:10 19 187:11 8,12 164:16 281:3 190:16,19 (4) (a)3 200:19 223:21 14:57 1985 180:5,8 224:18 203:23 20:17 (4) (b) 306:11,12,13 15 1987 186:17 100 109:3,6 228:3 30:23,24 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 1992 192:23 193:5 1000.05 21 30:20,24 (c) 170:6 184:16, 23 133:14,18, 139:10 143:7 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 139:10 143:7 1008.32 15:13 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:11		303:25		119:4 169:1,4
(4) 229:20 14:5 91:11,12 195:10 266:12 18:07 58:3 193:3 1.36 195:10 266:12 306:16 (4) (a) 301:11 13:36 18:14 170:22 171:15 10 139:12 306:20 182:5,18 77:20,21 14 18:20 183:6 184:6, 25 185:23 123:10 125:7, 226:2 254:10 312:12 187:11 8,12 164:16 281:3 190:16,19 (4) (a)3 200:19 223:21 14:57 1985 180:5,8 224:18 203:23 20:17 (4) (b) 306:11,12,13 15 1987 186:17 100 184:16 257:6 302:19, 20:17 1992 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 20:17 1992 30:20,24 (b) 184:16 1557 131:23 132:1, 20:20,24 1:36 139:10 (c) 170:6 184:16, 22 200:19 131:23 133:14,18, 23 134:1,7, 23 134:1,7, 23 134:1,7, 23 134:1,7, 23 134:1,7, 23 134:1,7, 23 134:1,7, 23 134:1,7, 23 134:1,7, 23 134:1,7, 24 200:20 15:18 232:11 0 10:21 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:11 15:18 232:11 <td></td> <td>304:19,22</td> <td>139:2,8,9</td> <td>290:11 291:5,</td>		304:19,22	139:2,8,9	290:11 291:5,
58:3 193:3 1.36 195:10 266:12 306:16 (4)(a) 301:11 13:36 18:14 170:22 171:15 10 139:12 306:20 182:5,18 77:20,21 14 18:20 183:6 184:6, 25 185:23 80:17 109:9 97:4,7 100:17 312:12 25 185:23 123:10 125:7, 8,12 164:16 281:3 190:16,19 (4)(a)3 200:19 223:21 14:57 1985 180:5,8 224:18 203:23 1985 (4)(b) 306:11,12,13 15 1987 186:17 100 109:3,6 228:3 30:23,24 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 21 1992 192:23 193:5 1000.05 21 30:20,24 (c) 170:6 184:16, 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, 23 132:1, 23 133:14,18, 23 133:14,18, 23 134:1,7, 23 134:1,7, 18,20 23 134:1,7, 18,20 (g) 1008.32 15:13 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:12		1(b)	13	10,15,18
(4)(a) 1.36 301:11 13:36 18:14 170:22 171:15 10 139:12 306:20 183:6 184:6, 77:20,21 14 18:20 185:23 187:11 80:17 109:9 97:4,7 100:17 312:12 180:5,8 20:19 223:21 14:57 1985 186:17 200:19 223:21 14:57 1985 (4)(b) 306:11,12,13 15 1987 186:17 100 109:3,6 228:3 30:23,24 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 1992 192:23 193:5 1000.05 21 30:20,24 (c) 170:6 184:16, 1557 1:36 142:9 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, 1:36 143:7 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 139:10 143:7 1008.32 15:13 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:12		229:20		
170:22 171:15 10 139:12 306:20 182:5,18 77:20,21 97:4,7 100:17 312:12 25 185:23 123:10 125:7, 8,12 164:16 281:3 190:16,19 180:5,8 200:19 223:21 14:57 1985 (4) (b) 306:11,12,13 15 1987 186:17 100 109:3,6 228:3 30:23,24 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 257:6 302:19, 21 1992 192:23 193:5 1000.05 21 30:20,24 (c) 170:6 184:16, 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, 23 132:1, 23 132:1, 23 133:14,18, 23 134:1,7, 18,20 139:10 (f) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 23 134:1,7, 18,20 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:11		1.36	195:10 266:12	306:16
182:5,18 10 77:20,21 14 18:20 183:6 184:6, 25 185:23 123:10 125:7, 226:2 254:10 19 187:11 8,12 164:16 281:3 190:16,19 (4) (a) 3 200:19 223:21 14:57 1985 186:17 224:18 203:23 20:17 186:17 100 109:3,6 228:3 30:23,24 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 1992 192:23 193:5 1000.05 21 1992 (c) 170:6 184:16, 1557 1:36 142:9 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, 1:36 (f) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 139:10 143:7 137:4 23 134:1,7, 2 (g) 1008.32 15:13 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:11		301:11		
183:6 184:6, 77:20,21 97:4,7 100:17 312:12 25 185:23 123:10 125:7, 226:2 254:10 19 187:11 8,12 164:16 281:3 190:16,19 (4)(a)3 200:19 223:21 14:57 1985 186:17 203:23 20:17 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 1987 192:23 193:5 1000.05 21 1992 (c) 170:6 184:16, 257:6 302:19, 1992 (c) 170:6 184:16, 23 133:14,18, 139:10 (f) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 139:10 143:7 108.32 18,20 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:11		10	139:12	306:20
25 185:23 187:11 (4)(a)3 180:5,8 (4)(b) 186:17 100 192:23 193:5 (c) 142:9 16) 16) 143:7 (g) 189:23 180:17 109:9 123:10 125:7, 8,12 164:16 200:19 223:21 24:18 200:19 223:21 14:57 203:23 15 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		77:20,21	14	18:20
187:11 123:10 125:7, 8,12 164:16 281:3 190:16,19 (4)(a)3 200:19 223:21 14:57 1985 180:5,8 224:18 203:23 20:17 (4)(b) 306:11,12,13 15 1987 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 21 30:23,24 (c) 170:6 184:16, 22 200:19 21 30:20,24 (f) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 23 139:10 (f) 1008.32 18,20 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:12		80:17 109:9		312:12
(4)(a)3 8,12 164:16 281:3 190:16,19 180:5,8 20:19 223:21 14:57 1985 (4)(b) 306:11,12,13 15 1987 186:17 100 109:3,6 228:3 30:23,24 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 1992 192:23 193:5 1000.05 21 1992 (c) 170:6 184:16, 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, (f) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 139:10 143:7 1008.32 23 134:1,7, 2 (g) 1008.32 15:13 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:11		123:10 125:7,		19
180:5,8 224:18 203:23 1985 (4) (b) 306:11,12,13 15 1987 186:17 100 109:3,6 228:3 30:23,24 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 1992 192:23 193:5 1000.05 21 1992 (c) 170:6 184:16, 1557 131:23 132:1, 136 142:9 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, 139:10 (f) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 139:10 143:7 1008.32 18,20 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 204:2 11:5,6,20 15:18 232:13 15:18 232:13			281:3	190:16,19
(4)(b) 306:11,12,13 15 1987 186:17 100 109:3,6 228:3 30:23,24 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 1992 192:23 193:5 1000.05 21 1992 (c) 170:6 184:16, 1557 131:23 132:1, 1:36 142:9 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, 1:36 139:10 (f) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 23 134:1,7, 2 (g) 1008.32 18,20 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 204:2 11:5,6,20 15:18 232:11 15:18 232:11				1985
186:17 100 109:3,6 228:3 30:23,24 (b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 1992 192:23 193:5 1000.05 21 30:20,24 (c) 170:6 184:16, 1557 131:23 132:1, 1:36 142:9 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, 139:10 (f) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 139:10 143:7 137:4 23 134:1,7, 2 (g) 1008.32 15:13 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 204:2 11:5,6,20 15:18 232:11 15:18 232:11			203:23	20:17
(b) 184:16 257:6 302:19, 1992 (c) 170:6 184:16, 21 1992 (d) 142:9 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, 136 (e) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 139:10 (f) 1001.03 23 134:1,7, 23 134:1,7, (g) 1008.32 18,20 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 204:2 11:5,6,20 15:18 232:11 15:18 232:11				1987
192:23 193:5 1000.05 21 30:20,24 (c) 170:6 184:16, 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, 23 132:1, 23 133:14,18, 23 134:1,7, 18,20 139:10 (f) 1001.03 23 134:1,7, 18,20 2 (g) 1008.32 15:13 2 189:23 56:21 204:2 11:5,6,20 15:18 232:11 15:18 232:11				30:23,24
(c) 170:6 184:16, 1557 142:9 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, (f) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 143:7 137:4 23 134:1,7, (g) 1008.32 15:13 189:23 56:21 204:2 10:21 204:2 11:5,6,20 63:20,21 16				1992
142:9 22 200:19 131:23 132:1, 139:10 (f) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 139:10 143:7 137:4 23 134:1,7, 2 (g) 1008.32 18,20 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 204:2 11:5,6,20 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:11				30:20,24
(f) 1001.03 23 133:14,18, 143:7 137:4 23 134:1,7, (g) 1008.32 15:13 2 10:21 204:2 11:5,6,20 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:11		•		1:36
143:7 137:4 23 134:1,7, (g) 1008.32 2 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 204:2 11:5,6,20 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:11				139:10
(g) 1008.32 18,20 189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 204:2 11:5,6,20 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:11				
189:23 56:21 15:13 2 10:21 204:2 11:5,6,20 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:11				2
10:21 204:2 11:5,6,20 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:11				
0 63:20,21 16 15:18 232:11	189:23			_
03.20,21				
88:16 91:20 270:12 304:4				270:12 304:4
0.5	0.5			
301:7,9,21 63:22,24 264:1 46:7 49:11	301:7,9,21	63:22,24		

	1		
170:11	119:20 123:20	237:8 245:10	14,17,21
194:18,21	124:6 131:22	24	162:16 188:24
267:21	206:24 209:6	235:8,24	245:5 270:15
200,000s	216:8 222:10,	243:13,16,18	278:15
40:23	20 227:3	257:14 263:22	30
2004	229:5 231:6,8	264:2 267:15	30:5 302:25
32:22	242:9 243:23	278:2 281:19,	303:1
2006	276:25 291:24	25 282:6,8,9	30,000
32:23	300:25	293:11	41:3
	2023	25	31
2014	105:1	78:16 86:2	15:4
31:14,16	21	191:14 268:5	
2015	77:6 223:4,7	277:11 283:14	37
6:9,11	242:15 281:7	26	117:4
2018	22	87:21 123:3,	3:13
28:2	78:2 103:4	12 283:15,16	203:25
2019	228:13,16	264	
22:20	237:4 242:14	75:7 77:13	4
2020	247:1	88:3 96:18	4
77:6 100:17	23	97:17 98:21	13:17,20
102:20	49:22 111:18	101:12 105:16	193:3 245:8
2021	113:5 235:2,5	27	250:11 279:7,
9:10 10:2	281:13 292:11		11
46:4,7 78:2,	233	119:20	
16 86:2 87:21		289:11,12	4:38
88:16 91:20	10:11 75:2 76:7,23 77:2	28	263:8
92:1 95:4,13	78:20 96:13	25:12 38:8	
97:13 101:8	108:19 111:14	243:23 267:22	5
103:4 109:9	118:21 122:25	268:20 283:25	5
123:3,25	140:15 148:6	289:17 292:3,	14:10,11 49:8
161:5 191:14	161:19,22	6	50:3 170:21
195:6 223:13	162:9 164:4	29	245:4,14
231:4 235:8	188:15,18	300:19,22,25	251:10 263:22
237:4,19	190:13 192:14	2:57	264:1,3,7
291:24,25	194:8,12,15	203:24	265:2 266:11,
292:11 294:16	195:13,21		22 268:2
2022	198:10 200:5,	3	277:10 281:3
4:9 14:5	16,25 202:19		5-01233-22
15:4,24 49:22	219:6,14,25	3	135:21
104:23 118:17	220:5,11,17	12:11,13,25	
	236:22,24	15:19 71:5,	55

305:10	304:4,7	ability	accurately
5:01	7,187	44:11 45:12	301:3
263:9	304:1	55:15 81:23	ACFS
		117:7,11,22	110:1 111:3
6	8	absence	112:7,16,22,
		157:17	24
6	8	academic	achieve
15:1,10,11,19	48:16,18 50:5	53:7 107:14	217:8,20
164:18 185:22	56:13 109:16	160:25	291:1
246:25 251:9	251:7,13	161:11,12	acronym
254:8 305:14	279:20	199:25	46:10,12,13
306:4 307:2,5	80	254:19,22	109:25 110:9
615,000	103:18	256:5,24	acronyms
40:12	83	accept	92:4
671	103:18	32:12	
303:8	84316	accepted	act 44:14 137:3
6:07	109:18	32:8 265:11	190:1
306:17		access	
6:14	9	93:24 151:19	acted
306:18		152:5,7,12,23	185:19
6:21	9	153:6 162:4	acting
312:13	56:10,12	297:9,16,21,	50:10 82:23
312 13	125:7 131:3	25	83:6,24 144:3
7	223:13 268:3,	accessible	147:15,19
	5 277:11	310:2	150:25
7	306:10		action
11:12 32:23	9:10	accordance	91:22 141:18
45:16,18	4:1,3	116:9	162:17,20,24
124:7,10	9:19	account	163:1,2,9
126:11 127:10	12:17,19	231:16	185:15,16
128:6,21	9:21	accountability	192:23 193:5,
129:4,15,24	12:20,22	26:14 230:6	10
130:3,6,15,23		accreditation	actions
131:15 164:18		43:25 158:10	44:23 45:2
169:7,10		accrediting	58:4,14,18
270:13,14	a.m.	43:13,14	142:13
307:20	4:1,3 12:17,	accurate	activities
7,000	19,20,22	108:18,22	24:12 87:7
205.0	63:20,21,22,	245:12	108:12 143:5
305:9		1 145:17	

192:2	24 310:1,4	22,25 221:1,	5:12
activity	addressed	2,4,6,8	afternoon
149:1	183:12 235:10	adopted	139:14 204:4,
actor	addresses	43:12 44:2	5 263:14,15
288:6	143:21 146:4,	54:5 65:9,17	age
actors	23,24 168:9	79:3 80:9	132:21 133:2
287:20 288:3	230:25 272:5	153:5	290:11
	307:12,16,21	adopting	agencies
actual 40:16 78:24	308:5,18	221:9 239:10,	43:13,14,25
101:1 103:9	309:1	12,14	56:8 124:18,
109:25 110:1	adequacy	adoption	22 126:16
246:5 270:20	6:6 31:11,22	43:7,8 66:7	140:17 141:12
273:21 309:8	32:4	advances	agency
add	adhere	171:3 173:17,	6:20,23 24:21
220:12	198:16	20 187:12,17	25:1,2,20,25
	adjustment	advertise	26:13 36:8,9,
added	198:18	252:13	10,11 52:8
79:5,8 127:15 220:21 258:15	administer	advise	53:24 54:17,
265:1	230:19	189:20	24 69:5 70:10
			82:23,24
adding	administering	Advisory	83:6,7 97:13
282:5	256:11	110:10	99:23 100:11
addition	administration	affairs	126:16
11:21 65:6	22:1	94:11 110:3	134:10,22
66:7 112:7	administrative	135:15 160:25	141:19 185:15
168:9 254:11	32:15,17 52:7	161:11,12	210:20 238:8
additional	54:1 243:4	affect	249:1 271:5,
21:18 100:12	administrator	8:12 96:25	12
247:19 255:25	147:14 148:3,	234:18,21	agency's
Additionally	7 243:10	288:14	100:5
103:21	administrator's	affected	agenda
additions	147:24	105:6,16	65:9,13,14,
127:18	administrators	affirm	17,22 66:8
address	143:23 151:8,	5:2	agree
7:24 78:9,12	9	affirmative	311:7 312:8
112:13 144:19	adopt	279:3	agreed
146:3 167:2	43:5,16 65:13	affirmatively	305:10
199:6,8	80:3 158:9	73:8 152:6	agreement
251:20 308:1,	166:5 195:15	affirmed	241:25
9,12 309:19,	201:1 220:21,	gitimed	

agreements	Amanda	96:23 97:13,	answers
107:15	4:22 80:20	16 98:5,10,	7:18 13:4,24
ahead	95:13	12,20 99:10,	15:18 218:24
164:1 312:8	amend	15 100:9,16	303:20,22
alert	148:13	101:8,11	307:3
91:22 92:1	amended	106:22 107:22	anticipated
93:1	13:24 15:16,	108:6 113:15	270:20
	18 53:19	130:13 134:1	Apologies
Alex	307:3,4	190:23 191:1	53:15 79:18
10:23 20:6	•	193:12,18,23	
47:16,21	amending	218:23 308:23	apologize
211:19	137:3	analyst	46:24 66:20
235:10,14,16	amendment	18:14 30:12	77:14 84:13
236:4 237:7,	42:21 67:23	94:10 100:12	97:22 132:10
13,24 238:1,	68:2 76:16	analytics	133:8 135:18 163:25 283:13
4,6 249:12 275:25 292:21	78:24 80:8	228:24	
	93:8 96:22,25	analyze	301:12,21
Alex's	106:19 140:20	309:1	appearances
250:24	141:14		4:14
Alexis	148:14,21	analyzed	appears
119:19 120:22	149:5,15	98:8	78:10 95:19
223:19 225:7	151:19 152:23	analyzing	169:11 257:12
293:11	162:4 165:3	305:1	applicable
allegations	167:23 220:11	Anastasios	238:25 239:1
57:21	294:5,11	50:19,22	applies
alleging	295:1	announce	45:6 124:22
144:22 145:5	amendments	4:13	127:11,19
allowed	67:11,19	annual	128:3 129:10
33:21 168:21	78:19 79:3	164:23 210:6	170:16 182:20
188:16 232:23	80:25 81:5	219:8 222:7	apply
233:9	92:17 96:10,		129:5 132:19
	23 130:6	anomalies	151:10 169:24
alter	133:22 164:5	307:6,9	170:2 171:15
42:9 67:1	amount	anonymity	203:6 286:15
128:6,9	259:17 260:7	232:9	
altered	analyses	anonymous	appoint
126:21	66:12 75:19,	219:15,18,21	36:13
alternative	23 92:24	222:21 223:3	appointed
105:1 247:1,	99:24 100:4	251:15 283:5	24:23 33:5
6,14,23	analysis	answering	34:17 35:4
248:6,23	76:1,4,5	134:9 180:10	36:10 38:19
	, , -		

appoints	ASAP	assume	attendees
36:7	96:1	227:19	211:5
approach	asks	Assuming	attending
76:13 219:2,4	267:9 277:16	203:5	87:20
appropriated	278:5 282:19	Atkeson	attends
54:21	302:15	289:25	72:13
appropriations	assess	attached	attention
55:10	215:9,19	78:24 97:12	8:12 257:25
approval	260:10	112:2 229:8,	258:9 259:7,
46:2 48:11	assessment	15 238:22	9,13,14,15,1
49:2 68:10,12	108:18 155:8,	241:15,19	260:2
74:19 103:2,6	11,14 164:24	242:11 268:11	attorney
	210:6 218:11	284:2	5:18 85:8
approval's	assessments	attaches	116:5 122:7
51:14	218:19	238:16	190:9
approvals			
47:12 50:13	assigned	attachment	attorney's
102:16	38:11,15	90:15,16	127:6
approve	41:14 72:17	109:14 110:8	attorney-clie
50:25 68:8	172:10,15	112:4 195:25	9:6 205:9
approved	assigning	229:10	attorney/clie
43:12 99:23	167:11,24	attempted	139:23
	assistance	218:8	attorneys
approximately	14:23 24:13	attempting	16:14,16,17
17:12 34:8	47:9 51:10	258:19,21	195:14
approximation	158:22 160:15	260:6	196:11,15
18:4	171:22 174:3,	attempts	271:9
April	12,20 175:1	258:6	
300:25	189:14		attuned
area	assisted	attend	264:25
38:13 189:8	94:14	72:10,21	Aubrey
		87:1,10	95:4,6
areas	associate	123:2,19	audio
23:16 26:11	161:2	127:24 276:7,	189:2,4 193:
29:15 52:25	Associates/	23	198:6
72:6 188:18	frederick	attendance	August
ARM	240:22	86:10 115:2	
230:1,4,17	association	123:14	4:9 15:24
231:6	4:12 46:15,	attended	195:9,10
articles	19,25 73:18	115:6 123:9	author
	,	211:7	112:3

	77.4 104.6	166.16 167.0	101.10 02
authority 42:9 44:11	77:4 124:6	166:16 167:8	181:19,23
	131:17,20,21,	176:20 177:6	182:7,17
45:12,14	22 132:11	179:5 193:12	183:4,17
51:24 52:18,	134:6 142:25	200:18 204:1	203:6 266:18,
19,22 59:11	151:4,13,16,	211:10 215:16	21 267:1
60:10,15,22	17 158:16	228:2 263:11	268:14
61:4 79:8	161:19 162:2,	268:2,3	Bates
157:14,18	8,13 163:8,	270:12 277:10	257:7 303:8
159:21 164:6,	11,12 165:11,	278:2 280:10	bears
22 165:2,7,12	24 166:6	293:10 296:6	15:21
166:1 184:12	174:11 176:2,	302:17 305:14	
189:8 197:24	7,8,10,12	306:4,19	began
199:17,24	177:17,22	background	4:1 17:9 21:5
200:4,12,25	178:3,6,9	82:19	119:16 273:3
201:10,19	181:14,18,20		beginning
220:12,20,25	190:11,15	backside	17:2 65:22
221:3,5	194:7,9,10,	135:20 136:24	79:17 96:23
295:8,15	11,14,16	ban	109:15
authority's	233:15,18	168:22	begins
45:14	252:20 275:16	bargaining	79:14 81:22
	285:4,7,16	107:15	100:25 101:1
authorization	286:2 294:25	base	107:24 109:17
87:16 165:15,	295:3 298:12	266:25	170:22
21 220:16	299:7 300:2		
authorize		based	behalf
57:20 58:3		107:3 118:24	4:17,19,21
authorized	В	145:13 146:6	7:1,4,7 11:2,
45:9 53:9	bachelor's	155:7,10,13	25 12:8 42:12
58:15 157:16,	20:21	165:6 178:24	47:1 52:20
20 198:10	back	182:3 273:11	55:11 72:14
	6:21 10:13	289:5 301:15	87:2,13 94:3
authorizes	12:21 27:11	305:18	95:6 98:18
52:25		baseline	109:23 122:19
automatically	33:15 39:10	255:4	134:9 144:3,
98:7	42:6 46:24	basis	17 147:15,20
aware	48:23 49:8	25:1 35:23	148:4 150:25
8:21 10:15	63:23 78:15	89:19 126:2	308:23
59:10 60:14,	80:17 95:23,		behavior
21,23 62:25	25 105:3,4	162:12 170:9,	199:8
66:22,25 67:1	109:13 111:19	25 176:3,13	
70:24 71:2	119:18 124:3	177:18,23	behavioral
75:2 76:7	131:5 139:11	178:10,16,24	198:16
75-2 70-7	142:7 149:17	179:10	

belief	93:2,10,16	184:14	18:18 22:11
264:19 265:4,	94:1,13 95:10	188:15,18	24:18,20,22
8	96:22 97:13,	190:13,22	25:6 28:19
	16,22 98:1,5,	191:1 192:14,	31:19 33:2,17
beliefs	10,12,21	20 193:12,18,	34:4,12,14,17
128:1 264:5,	99:10,15,24	23 194:8,12,	35:1,2,6,13
9,11,15	100:4,9	15 195:1,5,	36:3,7,13
266:7,16,24	101:12 102:4,	12,13 196:10,	37:6,16,18,
277:18,22	5 104:4	23,24 197:2,	19,22,24,25
280:1,5	105:16,17	7,17,23 198:1	39:3,5,9,20,
believes	106:3,15	200:16 202:19	22,24 40:7
180:20,21	107:13,22	219:6,14,25	41:20 42:3,8,
believing	107:13,22	220:5,11,17,	13,16,19,20,
127:25	111:14 113:15	21 236:22,24	24 43:2,4,7,
128:11,21	114:15,16	237:8,21	11,15,22,23
Bethany	115:17,20	280:11 285:2,	44:2,10,14,20
50:14 78:4	116:3,12,13	3 286:11,16,	45:13 51:18,
81:10 86:20	118:1 122:25	25 288:12	23 52:1,3
88:6 99:1	124:7,10,12,		53:3,11,13,16
103:2 220:14	15,16,19,23	bill-filing	54:1,6,20
227:13,21	126:11,21	101:22	55:12,13
235:11 238:3	127:10 128:6,	bills	56:4,25 57:7,
293:23,25	21 129:4,15,	67:11,14,18	17,25 58:4,9,
294:16	24 130:3,6,	69:8 70:2	15,25 59:11
biased	13,15,23	75:11,14,15	60:9,15 61:4,
208:24	131:15,23	76:12,17	14,19,21,25
	132:1,5,9,12,	86:14,22	62:2,7,16,17
biggest	15,18,23	92:23,24,25	63:3,4,8,13,
55:7	133:7,14,16,	98:8 101:15	14,15 64:12,
bill	18,23 134:1,	102:1,10	15,16,18,22,
10:1,11	7,18 136:6,	106:22 113:21	24 65:2,5,8,
66:12,16	11,13 137:2	136:8 137:11	15 66:9,15,23
69:21 71:4,8,	141:23 142:2,	160:14	67:7,19,22
14,17,21	3,8 143:21	blank	69:8,21 70:2,
74:10,13,23	144:13	132:10	21 72:10,14,
75:2,3,19,23,	146:13,23	blindness	21 73:2,12,
24 76:1,2,5,	148:6,12	186:2	16,20 74:22
6,7,8,23	154:13 158:2,	board	75:14,19,22
77:2,5,9,13	4 161:19,22	4:5 5:11 7:1,	76:11,13,17,
78:20 79:6,8,	162:9 164:4	4,7 9:23	22 77:2 78:19
10 80:12,16	165:2 169:7,	11:2,25 12:8	79:20 80:2,23
88:3 91:22	10 182:10	13:3,23 15:16	81:4 83:22

		1	ı
84:10,23	22 195:19	300:2,12	118:25 229:23
87:2,13 88:2	196:4,24	301:4 303:14	bonuses
98:18 104:19,	197:16 198:24	304:12 305:16	71:10
22,25 105:15,	199:9,21,22	307:8,11,15,	book
25 107:4	201:8,12	23,24,25	
110:6 111:10,	206:3,11,20,	308:6,24	167:12,22,25
13,20 112:19,	24 207:5	309:2,6	books
25 113:16	209:5,21,23	310:17,20	168:22
117:10 118:5	210:10,23	312:11	bot
120:10 121:5,	211:2,13,15,	board's	308:21
22 129:22	21,24,25	35:19 65:14	bottom
130:1,5,14	212:4,7,14	74:12 79:2	13:9 102:15
133:17,21,25	216:11,23	81:11 107:11,	103:1 162:16
142:10,14,18	217:14,23	17 125:16	195:11 202:2
143:1,15,16	219:10	146:8 153:25	223:24 225:20
144:9,22	220:10,22	172:4,22	229:12,14
145:4,17,23	221:4,9	173:6,11,16,	235:7 251:12
146:14,15,19,	222:6,14,19,	22 175:15,21	257:7 283:24
25 147:3,18	23 224:18	185:15 187:15	293:7 307:20
149:11,12	225:12 226:2,	198:9,21	box
150:10,18,23	6 233:25	207:16 212:18	47:11 51:14
151:2,14,17,	239:2,20,25	219:2 255:8	
18 154:2,4,8,	240:24 241:4,	287:19 288:2,	branch
10,16,20	20,24 242:1,	11 294:8,13,	36:4,16
155:21,25	3,4 249:18,20	21 295:7	Braun
157:10,16,22	251:4 253:2,	boards	263:10
158:9,17,20	25 255:10	38:10,11,17	break
159:1,2,13,22	256:11,16	39:11,23 40:5	7:22,24 63:18
162:2 164:5,	257:2 259:2,	41:17,21	64:3 138:25
20,21 165:6,	25 262:16	52:19 61:6,7	139:18 140:7
12,14,20	269:20,21,23	159:19	203:17 204:7,
166:4 167:5	272:10 273:12		21 205:1
171:19 174:4	277:2 280:4	Bocanegra	262:22
176:23 177:2,	282:5 284:24	94:7	263:17,21
5,9 180:11	285:1,25	body	305:17 306:7,
181:22 182:1	287:9,10	244:10	25
184:11,17,24	288:3,17,24	BOE	breaks
185:8,13,20	290:17,25	113:12 122:19	7:21 303:10
186:1,6,11	294:25 295:18	196:17,20	Brian
187:2 189:12,	296:2,15	206:15	249:21
16 190:22,25	298:18	BOG	<u> </u>
191:9 193:13,	299:16,23		

briefed 91:6 briefing 103:10 bring 162:20,24 163:2 193:4 bringing 163:9 240:24 291:3 Brittany 112:3,8,23 Brittany's 112:14 broad	82:19 103:17 165:1 bullets 82:18 229:12 bureau 29:18 30:10, 14 32:20 bureaus 29:14 ————————————————————————————————————	calls 34:19 36:18 44:15 53:21 58:11 59:5 82:8 83:9 84:2 85:15 114:5 115:10, 14 128:16 129:7 132:3 139:22 166:12 campaign 213:1,6 214:4,14,21 campus 53:5 111:4 188:16 200:5	4,8,12 239:14,16,21 240:2 Carrie 18:13 19:12 24:5 89:1,2 111:25 210:19 238:13 270:2 271:7,23 272:6,8 273:7 276:12,19 297:7 300:24 carried 25:7 case 6:20,21 148:2
129:20 239:18 broader 108:23 broken 303:21 305:24 brother-in-law	17 100:12 195:2,6,12 294:1 calendar 87:25 299:15	201:13 257:25 258:9,20,24 259:8,9 280:24 campuses 201:6 255:16	213:15 218:18 232:18 260:3 265:9 277:25 286:11,24 287:16 288:6 298:4 302:4,
213:25 brought 31:18,19 41:16 163:4 241:10 250:19 Broward 302:2,4 310:13	5:22 45:23 75:10 114:24 115:11,12 119:23 120:24 121:2 158:22 218:20 224:24 235:14,16,19, 23 237:11,17	256:3 candidate 213:7,24 cap 33:20,23 capacity 6:13,14 9:24 34:2 48:6	18 categories 248:20 259:3 causing 141:17 Cecil 70:14 center
budget 54:25 55:3,5, 14,25 56:5 65:6 70:8,13 99:4 building 19:10 bullet 81:22,25	244:22 306:13 called 38:10 41:7 92:7 102:15 107:24 201:11,12 218:20 230:6 241:21 calling 213:15	81:12 112:9 114:17,19 131:18 144:1 career 21:24 27:9 30:2 carefully 216:13 Carolina 20:13 236:1,	241:13,14,15, 18 CEO 24:22 certificate 21:18 246:10 certification 29:18 30:14 certified

5:13 22:10	changed	childcare	circumstantial
cetera	127:10 217:8,	262:25	128:25
169:19,20	19 293:5	children	citizen
270:23	Chapin	133:2	54:16 180:14
chain	289:16,24	chilling	citizens
77:24 85:23	character	191:21 192:8	105:6
91:15,19	175:10	193:15,19	civic
95:18,22	characteristics	194:2	256:21
118:13,16	308:19	choice	civil
223:9 283:22	charge	252:24 279:15	256:7 257:1
292:8	70:12	282:6	
chair		choices	claim
249:19,20	charged 201:8	253:3	31:21
challenge		choose	clarification
32:16	chart	43:14 98:8	91:9
challenging	23:14	251:18 252:2,	clarified
10:1	chat	4 282:3	205:23
chancellor	160:16	291:13	clarifies
6:20 16:20	check	choral	187:16,21
22:15 23:14,	27:11 29:24	20:19	clarify
16,18 24:9	123:11 130:8		86:13 91:2
26:22 29:8,14	198:2 299:14	chose	94:22 205:24
30:6 37:14	checking	282:20	235:25
38:3 48:3	123:17	chosen	clarifying
51:16 60:14	Cheryl	38:4 39:2	79:7 81:22
89:18 90:7,10	292:21,23,24	Christina	164:21
111:24 125:14	293:7	292:10,13,20	class
131:17 134:9,	Cheryl's	CIA	113:10 114:3
10 139:14	293:2	110:3 112:4,9	117:9,12
211:6 226:10	chief	circumstance	144:24 145:6
242:7 247:22	6:22 26:23,24	166:11 183:10	150:2 166:9
248:8 249:14,	27:1,6 29:7	circumstances	189:2,4,5,13,
15 267:17	30:6,10 32:20	61:3 65:4	17 190:12
chancellors	47:19 50:14,	83:19 108:3,5	198:6 199:12
23:15,19	17 51:16	150:8 151:25	201:23 202:2,
68:16 73:24	68:15 72:23	165:11 167:15	8 203:9 243:5
89:1 90:5,13	73:23 81:8,12	168:16 183:21	264:4,8,18
change	87:1,4,5	192:15,17	classes
37:17 185:8,	99:18 238:8	224:22 266:3	111:5 194:8
14	294:17,19		

classified	206:17 238:17	39:15 40:11	26:3,5 35:25
246:8	clock	41:1,4,8 45:4	38:8 41:13
classmates	139:3	46:14,20,22	43:14,22 47:6
261:20 262:3		47:5,10	54:22 55:4,15
	closely	52:17,20	71:12 73:18
classroom	36:12 87:6	55:10 57:1,	82:1,7 88:23
128:7,14,22	209:23	11,16,22	89:25 92:7,12
145:19,25	Cobb	58:5,19 73:15	115:25 159:17
147:19	31:4	79:21 92:10	161:10 200:25
171:15,20	coerce	110:19 114:1	201:3 214:16
172:5,7	128:21	117:2,15,19	248:11 256:17
182:16,24	coerced	137:5 140:18	284:11
183:3,15	106:18 127:25	143:10,14	295:19,20,22
192:1,2,9,24		149:12	298:9 305:7
193:7,16,20	coercion	150:20,24	310:15,18,21
194:1,3	128:11	151:20 152:4,	color
198:16 257:25	collaboration	10,21 153:4	45:24 170:10,
258:10	43:21	156:9,10	25 175:12
classrooms	collect	158:18 160:4	186:2
117:1 128:10	26:17 162:11	161:20 162:3,	
129:5,11,14	309:23	6,23 163:9,	Colorado
clause	collected	16,22 167:10,	238:17 239:3,
110:22 117:21	231:1 309:16,	23 168:20	10,21 240:1,
264:14	20	169:24 170:2,	8,17,20
clauses	collection	17 189:9	column
107:14	47:5	195:17,20	50:6 224:8,15
clear		196:12 198:5	302:1
59:13 91:8	collective	199:14,16	comment
125:10 126:5	107:15 196:15	200:11 209:9	50:6 86:22,23
164:21 165:14	collects	226:18 228:11	87:12
205:19	26:16	230:25	commentary
	college	245:17,20,24	148:16
clearer	18:22 20:9,	246:17 247:21	commissioner
261:2	12,24 22:15	248:19 254:23	9:23,24 22:22
cler-	24:14 25:10,	256:15,25	23:13,20
52:8	12,13,14,21	266:15 277:25	24:21,25
clerk	26:1,6,11,19	282:10 291:9	26:25 27:2,7,
52:8 53:24	28:23 31:5	302:4 303:11	14,22,24,25
click	36:1 37:12,	310:13	29:1,3,7 30:7
252:10 253:14	15,16,20,21,	colleges	34:25 35:4,7,
climate	23 38:1,7,9,	22:16 24:10,	10,21,24
CIIIIGUE	11,15,25	14 25:13,19	. ,

37:3,4,9 38:4	communication	completes	232:2 255:6,8
44:5,8,12,13,	9:6 92:9	52:9	279:2,9
19,25 45:11	93:18 227:6,9	compliance	291:15
47:19 49:3	communications	138:19 154:14	concerned
50:18 51:1,5	51:17,19	158:14 254:12	113:12 276:11
57:20,24	125:15 137:14	complicated	308:20
61:9,12 66:2	138:1 139:23	36:21	concerns
67:25 68:7,10	204:9 270:18		114:6,10,18,
69:17 73:10,	292:15,25	comply	21 115:4,9
23 81:14,17	294:1	58:6,20 61:21	233:20
87:16 89:11,	companion	62:4 137:6	
14,19,20	75:3,13,14,	158:18 185:7	conclude 312:8
90:1,4,12,24	15,23 76:8,12	206:22	
91:3,4,6 95:1		complying	concluded
98:15 103:10,	company 207:23 208:4	159:24 160:4	312:13
11 185:17		161:15	concludes
230:12 294:17	compatible	components	312:9
commissioner's	188:6	201:9	conclusion
35:18 45:10	compel	compromise	34:19 36:18
68:1 72:23	294:5 295:2,	306:14	44:16 53:22
74:18,24	14,16	computer	58:11 59:6
89:23 99:20	compels	298:1 308:21	82:9 83:10,16
commissioners	171:3 187:12,	309:7	84:2 85:15
222:5	18		128:16 129:8
committee	compile	computer- generated	132:3
122:9,11,12,	284:10	19:22 301:10,	condition
15 169:6	compiling	20,24	126:18 169:19
common	285:9		conditioning
80:23 81:1		concept	138:18
121:4,7	complaint	183:16 185:23	
195:15	145:9 166:12, 25	concepts	conduct 61:9 79:22
		171:5,10	129:14,19
communica-	complete	172:21,24	164:23 199:24
250:13	72:3 254:3	173:4 182:13	255:25
communicate	294:5 295:2,	186:20,24	
228:9 291:17	14,16	188:1	conducted
communicated	completed	concern	63:8 98:7
250:14,23	40:3 287:13	104:5 113:18	219:6 222:20
285:14 299:6	completely	114:1,8,13	255:4 308:23
communicating	248:25 301:12	116:7 117:10,	conducting
93:20		13 145:10	20:19 22:4
<u> </u>	'		

160:13	considered	constitution	128:4 236:7
conference	34:25 36:3,8,	31:23 37:18	244:12 264:2
40:22	11,16 37:11	constitutional	continue
confirm	38:12 52:1,3	37:17	34:1 222:14
60:2 81:16	82:21 84:22	constitutionali	24
87:23 216:19	85:12 92:9	ty	continued
confirmation	94:12 126:18	10:1	247:17
23:3 33:8	129:14 144:16	constructed	continuing
35:8 38:21	150:19,25	218:5	41:7,11,16,
	154:25 156:16		19,22,25 42
conflict	157:10 172:16	construed	112:6
107:13 208:4,	184:24 185:1	186:20	112.0
8	189:12,16	consult	contract
confuse	213:3 214:10	70:20 81:14	41:7,11,16,
279:10,16	225:2 231:23	85:5 190:9	18,19,22,25
confused	241:9 262:3	231:6 285:25	241:21
279:14	279:12 290:11	287:20 288:3	contracts
conjunction	308:1	consulted	42:10 73:18
154:6 159:11	considers	76:23,25	contributed
	146:20	94:24 129:22	214:22
connection	consistent	133:18	conversation
166:25	197:20 198:21	consulting	19:4 64:10
cons	216:1 286:4	85:8 230:23	116:3,9,24
226:14	constituent	286:3	117:24 119:6
consent	54:16		120:20 178:1
192:3,20		contact	181:20 195:1
consented	constituents	26:2 93:14	5 204:14
202:7	54:11	128:8	
202•1	constitute	contacts	226:10 236:3 7 289:24
consequence	142:5,14	93:24 145:9	
261:4,15,21	151:21	content	292:20 293:8
262:4,11	152:13,24	108:15 204:13	conversations
consequences	156:5 167:12,	215:10,20,23,	16:25 17:19
260:22,25	25 170:24	25 216:5,16,	114:5 115:24
261:2,11	172:22 173:2	24 217:4,8	conversion
262:17	182:6,18	263:2 287:4,	273:13
conservative	183:5,18	21 288:4	convert
257:23 258:7,	constituted		72:8
14,17,25	38:18 167:18	174:20 205:19	
259:4 280:1,5		174:20 205:18	Cook 24:5
281:21	constitutes	context	∠4• 5
	182:10 184:6	78:10 126:23	

I		1	1
coordinate	176:25 177:3	204:6,10,14,	cover
36:12	195:4 204:18	21 205:12,18	46:16
coordinated	205:17 207:10	262:21 263:9,	covered
210:9	229:1 244:2	10,16 268:4	142:2,3
coordinating	259:5 269:4,7	306:24 311:10	crack
195:18,19	301:25 305:12	counsel's	273:7
	308:25	9:2 49:1 51:6	
COP	correcting	85:6 103:14	craft
112:7	262:9	126:7 138:11,	222:7 268:15
Copa		15	create
230:9,11	correction		52:25 75:22
copied	66:16	Counselor	193:9 199:2
111:23	corrections	79:16 97:8	202:19 206:25
	66:10,23	125:3 177:10	207:1,6
copies	67:11 275:1	202:10	232:3,6,16
211:20	correctly	count	295:8
сору	242:19 274:20	40:13 306:9	created
9:3 56:20	292:1	counterparts	43:24 206:11
109:11 169:10	correspondence	161:10	207:3,12
246:5 250:5	231:4 271:4	counterproducti	209:5 215:25
274:14 285:5	272:1	ve	217:13 247:14
copying		113:8	249:16 286:20
225:7	corresponds		
Corcoran	247:25	counties	creating
22:23,25	Cory	38:12	206:3,5,9
28:9,12,15,25	68:20,24	county	208:5 230:2,
	cost	31:2,4 38:14	20 239:22
cordon	89:10 104:15,	couple	242:1
203:7	20	46:4 79:17	creation
corner		89:10 92:15	217:3 230:15
254:9	council		270:21
corporate	73:17 110:2,	courses	Criser
4:5 312:10	10,23 115:1,5	21:17,20,23	211:6 226:10
	counsel	151:10 194:12	228:5,8 242:7
correct	4:13 7:11	court	243:22 244:21
0.2 10 12.6		4.15 5.16	
8:3,18 13:6	17:16 50:11	4:15 7:16	247.1 5 22
28:11 33:10	17:16 50:11 51:9,11,22	4:15 /:16 8:2,6 31:8,12	247:1,5,22
28:11 33:10 47:20 62:25			248:3 249:14,
28:11 33:10 47:20 62:25 86:16,25 92:2	51:9,11,22	8:2,6 31:8,12	248:3 249:14, 15,23 250:15,
28:11 33:10 47:20 62:25 86:16,25 92:2 93:6 97:18	51:9,11,22 52:11 64:2	8:2,6 31:8,12 32:8 62:21	248:3 249:14, 15,23 250:15, 21 251:2
28:11 33:10 47:20 62:25 86:16,25 92:2 93:6 97:18 98:3 100:15	51:9,11,22 52:11 64:2 92:13 109:10	8:2,6 31:8,12 32:8 62:21 63:7,10,12 166:15	248:3 249:14, 15,23 250:15, 21 251:2 257:15 264:2
28:11 33:10 47:20 62:25 86:16,25 92:2 93:6 97:18	51:9,11,22 52:11 64:2 92:13 109:10 115:16 139:17	8:2,6 31:8,12 32:8 62:21 63:7,10,12	248:3 249:14, 15,23 250:15, 21 251:2

Criser's 257:20 260:16	309:15,17,20 database	decade 41:15	Defendants_ 007727
criteria	296:21,24	decades	257:8
207:3,13	297:1,14,18,	27:17	define
critically	20,22	December	203:8 258:25
254:13	date	100:17 102:20	259:1
criticism	17:11,20	242:15	defined
262:3	60:24 100:16,	decide	189:13 199:12
	19,21,22	65:15 265:15	defining
Crystal 292:10,16	101:7 102:20,	296:11	201:1
	24 191:14	decided	definition
cull	195:7 226:9	72:22 150:2	125:1 127:15,
148:19	237:1 268:22	221:20 231:22	18 128:3,7
culmination	269:8 275:6	239:18 254:5	129:4 154:2,
31:15	299:14 309:14	309:6	5,18,22
current	date/time	decides	161:25 173:9,
22:13 23:5,8	309:11	72:20 92:20	14,19,25
47:21 48:8	dated		175:18,24
68:18	14:5 15:4,24	decision	186:4,9,14
	49:22 103:4	22:24 58:8	187:6 189:7
D	283:25	65:12 67:22	262:17 265:12
A - 11	dates	72:24 93:7 210:1 221:23,	280:9
daily 35:23	46:4	24 222:3,4	definitions
	day	240:4 241:2,3	124:20
Daniela	26:3 86:5,11	242:25	126:14,21
94:6,13	123:18,23	decisions	127:10 154:8
100:13	244:23 263:20		degree
data	272:11 273:2	42:12,15,19	20:13,14,15,
19:14,21	day-by-day	43:1 44:4,7,9 231:11 232:8	16,20 30:21
26:15,16 47:5	272:25		234:11,20
211:15 228:23		declaration	246:10
230:22,24	day-to-day	14:16 49:9	degrees
256:5 272:5	25:1 99:19	245:6 251:7	21:19
276:14 296:8,	deal	254:9 257:6	
25 297:2,5,	124:16,18	deeply	delegate 44:11 45:12
16,17 298:10,	232:8	278:24	
12,17,19,21,	dealt	defendants	delegated
23 299:1,8,	29:15	4:25 9:21	45:15 72:24
17,19,23	debate	Defendants'	deliberative
300:3,8,13,16 305:1 308:7	256:22	109:16	137:18
303.T 300./			

deliver	depend	design	287:20
209:25	76:19 104:1	290:18	development
delivery	156:18 214:25	DESIGNATED	29:19 30:11
226:22	216:4 234:23	5:10	32:21 104:16
	266:2 287:4		185:17,19
Democrat 285:20	depending	designed 251:25	291:19
	51:3 72:17		device
democratic	103:21 159:17	details	232:12,17,23
285:25	160:22 232:8	190:10	233:9,17
demographic	297:13	determination	234:17
253:11,14	depends	157:6 180:12	
281:4	181:12 234:11	determine	DFC 92:11
demographics	deposed	19:22 58:5	
305:18,22,25	6:1,12,19	112:5 154:14	Diaz
department	177:11	166:23 167:6,	4:7 29:1,3
4:23 6:14		17 168:4	dictated
16:16,22	deposition 4:4,8 6:12	181:2 183:23	158:6
17:9,13,24	7:7 11:21	229:24 259:25	difference
18:9 22:17	16:3,13 17:7	260:8 266:6	24:18 259:12
23:17 24:8,19	18:11,17,19,	determined	differences
25:3,7,11,19	23 19:2,3	39:9 145:17,	257:18
26:9,20 27:21	20:3,6 42:6	24 150:10	differently
28:16 29:9	59:24 64:5	151:18 175:11	180:22 253:24
30:3,8,19	139:20 140:1	179:11,18	differing
35:22 36:15	177:1 205:5,	180:2,18	259:25
44:23 45:7	12 311:5	181:5 241:5	
46:1 48:5	312:9	259:2	direct 5:14 26:1
52:2,5,6,9 63:9 64:24	depositions	determines	35:21 55:20
65:2 66:11,	7:3 10:16,20	166:13,21	110:22 159:18
15,23 93:5	16:10	167:2	227:6
112:20 120:4	deputy	determining	
158:3 159:2	29:8,14 87:3,	155:22 287:3	directed 59:14 160:10
210:21 212:3,	5 230:11	develop	
13 224:6	describe	93:22 105:1	direction
230:6 238:9	101:25 159:4	120:11 195:21	218:10 243:7
292:25 297:17	174:4	developed	directly
departmental	describes	43:20 72:7	23:24 37:1
175:7	266:13 307:8	225:25 226:3	82:22 89:16
departments		245:9	90:1 112:22
54:8	description	developing	151:17 238:1,
31.0	210:16		4 249:23
	I	I	I

	I.	I	I
250:21	124:20 125:1	15 265:8,18	distorted
director	126:14,21	291:3	125:19
24:11 68:13,	127:10,16,18	discussion	distribute
18 72:15,25	128:3,7 129:4	12:18 65:25	196:20 240:9,
73:24 99:22	170:9,16,25	77:4 81:21	25 295:18
161:12 228:23	171:14 172:23	112:6 134:19	distributed
directors	173:2 182:6,	164:17 181:21	45:7 209:8
46:14,20,22	11,18 183:5,	186:20 197:22	213:19 214:4,
	18,24 184:6,	205:18	15 219:11
disability	19	221:11,13,16	232:22 233:8
170:10	discriminatory	239:15 241:1	240:18 295:23
disagreeable	125:20 126:19	244:12 250:5,	
153:23	127:14 129:15	10,12 253:19	distributing
154:21,23	discuss	254:3 264:16	231:16
155:18 156:3,	19:11,17	265:24 271:7,	distribution
25 157:9	64:5,7 117:18	9 272:1	46:2 214:10
278:24	124:20	291:7,11	district
disagreement	139:20,25	discussions	38:10,13 61:6
261:20	140:6 183:1	17:8,24 47:8	districts
disclose	187:23	77:1,12	26:17 41:14,
74:17 122:24	235:19,21	113:15,17	15 45:5 62:18
282:16	236:21 237:6,	117:14 118:4,	diversity
disclosed	15 244:22	24 130:2	137:8 138:20
283:10	250:7 254:2	132:21,24	206:13 210:7
	discussed	133:1 137:20	214:5 218:12
disclosure	19:7 63:14	138:5,17,23	254:19 255:16
74:22 282:22	104:24 105:2	165:25 197:19	256:4,24
disclosures	106:6,11	237:13,19,20,	264:20 280:8,
74:9,12	110:2 116:21	23 238:4	17 284:3
discourse	127:22 128:10	239:9,11,13	294:9
256:7 257:1	141:23 182:23	272:6 290:25	division
discover	200:17 219:12	disruptive	18:14 22:16
257:22 258:6,	220:10 221:18	199:3,6	24:9,11,12
19	248:10 250:3	,	25:19 26:5,
discovery	272:8 273:17	disrupts	14,15 29:9
16:8 20:1,7	282:7 286:19	198:17	30:12 35:25
136:22 298:14	310:12	distinction	43:21,23
		151:4	52:14 55:4,6,
discretionary	discussing	distinguish	7 69:14 72:19
59:2	86:4 116:18	45:24 148:6,	88:22,23
discrimination	164:4 264:10,	19	89:25 92:6,8,
	104.4 204.10,		09.23 92.0,0,
	I	I	I

15,18 89:4,8	e-mail's	248:13 273:18	137:3 142:10,
90:15 91:15,	109:13	274:10,16,22,	14 143:1,16
•			
19 92:3 95:3,	e-mailed	24 275:14,23	145:23
12,21,24 96:5	85:4 276:1	education	146:15,20,25
97:12 98:23	e-mails	4:6,23 5:11	147:18 149:11
99:1 109:8,9,	20:8 95:17	6:15 9:23	150:18,23
15,23 110:6	114:21 164:9	11:2 15:16	157:10,16
111:24,25	271:12 301:18	16:16 20:19,	163:5,7
112:4,6,13,	e.g.	22 21:11,13,	164:22 166:10
14,21,24	106:18	15 22:17	170:11 177:2,
118:13,16		24:18,19,20,	5,9 184:11
119:4,19	earlier	23 25:3,6,8,	185:20 191:1
121:11 135:6,	16:11 19:24	11,20 26:10	195:19 196:5
8 145:15	20:4 23:12	27:17,21	206:11 207:5
159:8 160:10	38:3 68:5	28:16,19	210:22 212:4,
164:12,17	75:18 92:25	29:10 30:4,9,	14 220:11,22
165:18 194:24	122:18 158:11	19 31:19,22	221:4 222:7
195:7,11,25	164:10 182:23	33:2 35:6,14,	238:10
211:23 212:1	220:10 267:12	22 36:3,15	241:20,24
220:14 223:9,	271:7,15,16,	37:7,24 39:5,	251:4 256:16,
12,19 225:12,	20 285:8	21 42:8,21	21 269:23
21,24 227:13	286:19 290:12	43:4 44:24	290:18 292:25
228:3,18	292:1 299:13	51:23 52:2,4,	295:18 297:17
229:8,11	310:12	6 55:14 57:1,	298:18
230:25 231:9	early	7,20 58:4,9,	307:15,25
235:8 236:1	23:18 231:10	15 59:1,11	312:11
238:13,22	254:2	60:9,15 61:4,	Education's
242:10 243:22	easily	9,13,20 62:3,	13:3,23 54:20
244:9,10	297:8,22	7 63:3,5,8,9,	education/
247:24 249:13	ECF	13 64:12,15,	employment
250:24 251:20	125:23	25 65:8 67:8,	122:9
257:15,20	176:21,22	15 70:9,11	educational
267:22 268:20	177:6	72:11 73:12,	82:23 83:24
271:4 275:24		16 74:22	85:13 189:3,
283:22,24	edit	79:21 80:3,23	25
284:2,18	164:21 248:15	82:2,21 83:2,	
289:16 291:23	edited	4,6 84:10	educator
292:8,10,19	90:20	110:6 117:10	29:8,13,18
293:11,16,23	editing	118:5 126:24	30:7,11,14
294:14 298:6,	225:8	129:22 130:1,	32:21
8 300:24	edits	5 131:4	educators'
			29:17

		1	
effect	171:2,4,25	enacting	18,24
113:8,13,19	173:3 182:12	140:24	engaging
140:22 191:21	187:13 209:15	enactment	143:1 146:9
192:8 193:15,	218:9 228:22	161:18 162:9	enrolled
20 194:2	242:23 243:1,	encompass	40:11,16
231:9	8 267:25	246:13	246:9 302:7
effects	268:9,16,23		
118:6 270:20,	269:1,5,8,11,	encompassed	enrollment
21	15 270:3	31:20	302:22
efficient	272:21,24	encompasses	ensure
243:6	273:5,10,14,	95:20	104:17 209:22
	19 274:5	encompassing	210:8,13
effort	275:3,9,20	108:21	216:11
73:1 195:18,	277:13	encouraged	255:21,23
20 196:15	278:14,18	278:16 279:4	256:1
efforts	279:21 281:4		ensuring
120:11	292:24	encouragement	254:18
egregious	295:22,25	280:19	entail
234:18	301:18	end	199:25
	employees	21:7 28:2	199.25
eighth 185:23	34:14 127:13	34:3 81:25	enter
	150:25 169:25	92:16 100:2	241:20,24
elected	211:24 218:25	116:10	entire
288:9	255:15	120:16,23	55:13 124:12,
electronic	279:10,14,17	227:15 230:14	15 175:1
55:1 56:7	282:10 295:23	260:16,21	277:24
93:22 274:15		273:20 287:10	entities
elementary	employment	291:11 303:8	31:20 57:16
27:10	27:18 44:9	ended	111:11 143:17
	53:4 126:18	77:8 302:4	147:9 149:18
Elias	169:13,19		
4:17,19,21	emulate	endorsement	entitled
eligible	239:25 240:4	186:24 188:1,	137:2 260:1
59:4 137:8	enact	7,10	entitlement
Elisabeth	137:12 138:7	ends	111:5 113:8,
4:18	enacted	103:11	13,18 114:2
employed		enforcement	117:8,12,23
18:21 22:22	10:1 66:10,	57:18 71:4,8,	entity
27:5 41:1	16,17,18,24	9,11,23 72:3,	37:8 110:13,
122:11	71:18 124:7	7,9	15 240:8,25
	167:10 188:15		
employee	237:8	engaged	entrust
		144:23 145:5,	56:25

environment	espousing	Excel	6 118:8,11
198:18 200:1	183:8,11	296:9,22,25	135:1,4 142:7
277:17,21,23,	established	exception	164:16 169:1,
24 280:24	100:19	35:15 51:18	4 185:22
equal	establishes	199:3 202:19	190:16,19
257:24 259:7,	207:2		194:18,21
12,14 260:1,		excerpt	207:9 223:4,7
4,8	Estimated	224:3	228:13,16
	191:17	exchange	235:2,5
equally 279:25 280:5	estimating	111:4 192:10	243:13,16
	40:22	executive	245:4 251:9,
equals	Etters	18:12 36:4,	10 257:14
304:1,4	292:21,23,24	11,16 88:22	264:1,2,7
equivalent		89:1 90:4	266:11 267:15
40:17 75:15,	evaluation	122:21 160:24	268:2 270:12
17	40:1,2,5,7	exempt	277:10 278:2
Eric	evening	290:11,19	280:11 281:3
48:3 89:5,6,	92:4 306:22,		283:14,15,16
18,22 90:22	23	exemption	289:11,12
91:4 235:11	events	300:10	292:3,6,8
238:3	273:8	exercises	300:19,22
	evidence	60:10	302:25 303:1
erode	165:23 309:7	exercising	305:14 306:4
255:7	exact	59:11 60:15,	307:2
eroded	17:20 40:22	22 61:4	Exhibits
254:20	41:2 61:15	exhibit	263:22
error	73:22 99:19	10:5,6,13	
304:10,13,17,	226:9 237:1	11:5,6,20	exist
18,22,23	268:21 299:14	12:11,13,25	206:9 265:14
escalated		13:17,20	existing
50:25 51:5	EXAMINATION	14:10,11	42:21,22
	5:14	15:10,11	185:2,4
espouse	examining	45:16,18	exists
172:23 182:6	85:24 91:16	48:14,16,18	206:7,8,10
265:17	98:24 109:20	49:8 50:3,5	expect
espoused	118:14 135:7	56:10,12	87:15 130:19
182:16 183:3,	136:16 166:18	77:20,21	284:19 285:13
16	191:22 223:10	79:12 80:17	
espouses	228:19	85:18,21	expectation
171:3 173:4,7	examples	88:8,11 91:1,	90:23
182:13	174:10	11,12 97:4,7	expectations
187:11,17	1,1,10	102:13 109:3,	198:16
•		±∪∠·±3 ±∪9·3,	1

expected	expressive	factual	51:2 114:24
279:14	108:12	265:14	
-	148:13,20,25		Fairview
<pre>expedite 312:3</pre>		faculties	31:3
	expressly 53:8 199:20		fall
expenditures	220:24	faculty	21:8
104:14		40:25 41:5,7	falls
experience	extent	105:23 106:1,	168:13
246:22	9:5 34:18	7,11,25	familiar
experienced	36:17 44:15	107:12,14	56:23 78:11
180:21	53:21 58:11	110:10,18	195:23 245:9
expert	59:5 84:1	112:15 117:7,	256:7
31:24 32:6,9	85:14 125:14	11,14,17,23	Family
232:19	128:15 129:7	118:1,5	189:25
	131:2 132:3	140:19 141:12	
explained 77:15	137:13,24,25	144:4,6,9,14,	fast 312:2
_	139:22 151:10	16,23 145:5,	
explicitly	176:18 178:6	15,18,24	favor
125:10 158:1	204:9 212:2	146:3,8,12,	122:25
exploration	257:22 258:7	20,24 147:4,	favorably
280:19	283:9	19,25 148:4,	66:2
exposure	external	7,15,20,25	FCS
150:3 280:18	94:11	149:9,24	92:6,11 94:4
express	extrapolate	150:3,10,12 151:6,11,13	96:9,12,17
114:21 264:5,	304:25 305:6	152:11,22	108:11 111:24
9 278:7,11	eye	153:22 168:10	120:24 147:10
279:16	287:14	242:17 274:7,	164:23 195:14
		8 280:18	196:15 225:24
expressed	F		276:19
95:9,16,18		fail	FCS's
96:9,12,17	F&o	208:25	94:20
106:15 113:9	92:13	fails	
114:3 115:9 158:3 164:6	face	137:6	Fe
200:24	104:15	fair	302:3,4
220:12,16	face-to-face	7:19 25:14,18	310:13
248:9	250:4	27:6 35:13,16	fear
		67:10 90:3,12	260:17,21,24
expressing	fact	119:8 187:21	278:7,12
94:20 114:1	112:12 291:8	217:2 234:23	February
expression	294:4	257:14 274:24	88:16 91:20
108:16 200:5	factor	fairly	92:1 95:4,13
277:17,21	155:23		226:20 227:3

243:23 247:1,	12,19,20	93:16	297:8
25 267:22	83:1,14,20	filling	finding
268:20 276:5,	84:10,23	274:13	61:13
24 289:17	85:8,13	final	
federal	103:22 190:6,		findings 57:25
62:21	11 203:1,5,6	49:20,25 99:16 100:2	
feedback	fewer	103:7 122:2	fine
96:4 196:24	34:9 123:24	185:15,19	9:18 137:24
197:1 211:20	304:6	192:13 197:11	209:13 306:8
240:18	fidelity	209:12,14,15	fired
247:21,23	147:8 189:22	212:11 213:16	34:12
248:2,5,7,16		214:19,22	fiscal
249:1,10,11,	field	215:21 216:12	104:11 161:2
12,22 250:1,	73:5 105:12	217:17 220:17	fit
6,14,16,18	116:22 159:7	222:4 232:22	248:19 259:3
251:1 273:10	fields	233:7 242:21	
275:13,22,25	54:14 55:25	252:25	fix
276:3 284:10	figure	257:10,16	274:21
285:9,12,14,	230:19 303:25	258:5 259:8	flip
17 286:1	304:4,19,22	260:20 267:5,	48:20 135:19
287:4,5,8	file	6,11 268:9	Florida
288:12,18,22	66:13 74:9	270:6 272:21,	4:5,9,22 5:10
	123:17	23 277:13	10:9 18:22
feel	filed	287:21 288:4	20:14,24
157:7 204:12 253:22	9:10 12:4	294:24 302:18	21:6,9 22:15,
	66:17,19 67:2	303:15 305:15	16 24:10,14,
258:22,23 278:6	77:9 92:23	306:1	16 25:10,12,
	93:16 101:15	finalized	13,14,19,20
feeling	102:1,6	99:25 197:5	26:1,3,5,6,
179:6 255:14,	114:15 116:19		11,19 27:20
15	299:22	finally	30:22 35:25
feels		287:12	36:1 37:12,
180:21 262:14	files	finance	14,16,20,21,
felt	296:22,23	55:7 92:14	23,25 38:7,8,
66:1 107:12	filing	95:7	12,14,21
261:2	12:5 17:4	find	40:11 41:1,4,
Fenske	53:24 74:22	140:21 153:22	8,13 43:21
292:21	102:9	155:17 156:2,	46:14 52:17,
	filings	6,11 188:21,	23 53:25
FERPA	11:24 12:2,7	22 240:10	54:22 55:4,10
78:23 79:5	filled	255:13 278:23	56:20 57:1,
81:21 82:3,6,			11,16,22
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

F0.F 10 60.00	6	FF.16 F6.1	104.7.00
58:5,19 62:20	focus	55:16 56:1	184:7,20
73:15,18 75:4	70:9	58:10,22	186:3,8,13
78:14 79:21	focused	61:17 64:8	187:4,20
88:23 89:25	29:15 116:4,5	67:13 70:18	188:2,8,20
92:7,10,12	133:10 161:11	76:1,18 81:6	189:6 190:14
100:25 110:19	245:22 246:3,	82:8 87:18	192:16 193:17
113:25	21	90:6 93:20	194:4 196:9
117:15,19	focuses	96:6 101:13	197:10,11
120:17 125:18	132:25	104:2 106:20	198:11,23
137:4,5	folks	107:7 108:20	199:4,19
140:18	17:17 100:13	109:24 111:8	200:7,14
143:10,14	116:21 120:17	119:12 121:19	201:2 202:22
149:12	160:21 176:2	122:13 124:1,	203:10 207:22
150:20,24	212:15 226:15	11 130:16	208:7,14,21
151:20 152:4,	242:13,16	141:1,22	209:2 212:24
10,21 153:4	244:1 276:1	142:16 143:19	213:4,13,22
156:9 158:18	293:8 310:14	144:5,18	214:7,18,24
160:4 161:20		145:1,20	215:6,11,22
162:3,6,23	follow	146:2,11,22	216:3 217:1
163:9,16,22	118:20 120:14	147:21 148:8	218:6,17
167:10,23	121:5 126:7	149:10 150:5,	219:16,22
168:20 169:24	127:4,6 131:8	14 151:23	220:2,7
170:2,16	138:10	152:14 153:8,	221:22 222:15
190:1 195:17,	follow-up	20 155:2,9,16	223:1 224:10,
20 196:11	119:3,9,13,16	156:12,17	25 225:15
199:16	131:19,20	157:1,19,25	226:19 227:22
200:11,17,25	134:6,14,25	160:7 165:8,	231:21 232:4
209:9 212:8,	force	17 167:1,13,	233:22 234:2,
10,15 214:15	294:5	19 168:23	10,25 236:13
226:16,18	forced	169:17 170:1,	244:14 247:7,
227:6,10	106:18	12,18 171:6,	16 259:21
228:11 244:1,		16,21 172:6,	261:9,13,22
3,4 245:24	forgot	12,25 173:8,	262:5,12
248:19 254:23	200:20	13,18 174:11,	265:20 266:1
256:15,21,25	forgotten	13 175:17	276:8,9
282:10 284:11	8:18	176:4 177:19,	282:12,18,24
288:18 290:17	form	24 178:5,11,	283:3 284:21
291:9 295:19	23:2 25:23	18 179:12	286:6,17
298:9 303:11	34:18 36:17	180:3,9,19	287:6,23
312:11	39:16,17 43:8	181:3,25	288:19 289:2,
Florida's	45:23 46:21	182:9,19,25	18 290:4,14,
31:21		183:7,19,25	20 294:12

295:9 300:5	free	fulfill	gay
formal	107:1 111:4	218:10	4:22 80:20
66:7 68:4	143:5 200:4	full	95:13 132:12
199:13 241:25	255:15	5:20 66:7	262:24 263:9
formats	277:17,21	96:22 104:3	GC
296:10	freedom	128:24	92:13
formed	107:14 137:7	full-time	gender
300:12	138:19 206:12	40:10,14,15,	133:6 281:13
	210:6 214:5	17 302:9,15,	Gene
forming	218:12	23	211:6 276:13,
294:25	254:19,23	fully	16
forms	256:5,24	8:9	
160:9	280:17 284:3		general
forward	294:9	function	9:2 42:18
222:24	frequently	241:9 243:5	48:25 50:11
forwarded	158:23 159:4,	252:6,13	51:5,9,11,22 52:11 76:13
195:2,8	14,23 238:7	functions	85:6 89:24
289:24	freshman	24:22	92:13 102:3
forwarding	246:6 248:20	funding	103:14 111:25
91:25 238:13	Friday	54:21 137:9	112:13 115:16
	223:21 272:17	138:19	119:9 124:19,
forwards		funds	23 175:7
292:20 293:7	front	59:2,3 62:8,	178:4,12
found	78:15 100:8	11,14	181:18 184:18
8:24 157:8	104:5 105:4	furthers	191:8 248:17
243:2 307:9	133:8 174:22	254:13	278:1
Foundation	179:6 293:13,	future	
256:21	14	222:14 255:7	generally
fourth	Frost	222.14 255.7	51:12,15 52:13 55:19
278:21 303:7	4:18 306:11		75:15,22,25
Fowler	311:13,16,21	G	76:11 81:11
68:25 69:2	312:1,6	gain	93:18 134:16
91:19,25	FSU	267:8	158:16 163:15
Fowler's	217:13 225:25		201:18 233:15
95:24	226:3 228:9	gap 21:4	237:23 265:11
	241:6,8,9,14,		294:20
frame	15,18,21	gathered	
17:22	242:8,13,16	240:5	generated
Fred	247:11 248:9	gave	269:20 286:21 301:20 308:20
	0.60 - 0	07.0 205.20	3U⊥•∠U 3U8•∠U
88:18,21 89:1	269:2	97:8 295:20 299:1 308:19	309:8

generating 308:5 Georgia 31:4 gestures 7:18 give 5:3 7:18 17:11 38:6 66:14 86:7,14 121:12 154:24 156:15,24 157:13 207:20 246:2 256:9 giving 7:1,4,6 123:12 gleaned 254:12 good 5:16,17 7:25 17:11 18:3 67:20 92:3 110:14 112:2 138:24 139:14,15 203:16 204:4, 5 208:16 263:14,15 306:22,23 Gosh 65:18 Gotcha 272:18 governed	government 68:18 104:12 140:17 141:19 governmental 30:13 68:13 69:12 72:15, 16,25 73:24 87:7 93:21,23 94:11 119:7 120:3 122:2 governor 24:23 33:6 35:5 36:6,7, 10 38:19 71:6 236:25 governor's 19:1 36:13,25 37:5 55:2 70:1,4,15,20 71:7 98:15 99:6 130:2 135:14 210:25 211:17,25 212:5,14 250:17,19,22 275:8,17,21 276:6,10 277:4 292:14 298:24 299:1, 6 Governors 18:19 28:20 37:19 43:22, 24 120:11 121:22 143:16 146:15 147:1 149:12 176:24	211:2,14,16, 21,24,25 212:4,7,14 217:14 226:6 241:4 242:1,4 256:11 257:2 273:12 277:2 287:9 307:8, 11,24 308:6 309:2,6 Governors' 190:22 269:21 GR 92:25 93:14 98:10 99:21 100:4,5,21,22 119:23 120:2 grades 132:20 graduated 21:5,8 grant 59:2 200:24 granted 51:25 176:23 220:24 221:1, 5,6 Grayrobinson 4:24 16:17 17:17 18:2,5, 10 green 95:2 grounds 7:9 grounds 137:17	25:15 112:16 groups 105:7 guess 6:6 17:1 91:23 112:12 168:11 179:4 201:9 248:5 283:6 guests 108:13 guidance 112:7,11,18 154:10,12 157:17,23 158:4,12,17, 21,25 189:17 197:7,12,16 guide 239:22,24 H half 4:25 Hall 47:25 48:3 89:6,18,22 90:22 235:11 Hancock 4:16 5:15,18 9:8 10:4,7 11:7 12:23 13:18 14:9,12 15:8,12 26:4 34:23 36:22 39:19 44:21 45:17,19
governed 38:9	190:25 191:9		45:17,19
governing	193:13 209:24 210:10,23	group 4:17,19,21	46:23 48:17, 19 50:23 54:3

56:3,11,15	153:3,11,17,	11,17,23	hand
58:13,24	24 155:5,12,	209:4 213:2,	5:2 12:10
59:9,17,20	20 156:14,20	10,18 214:2,	160:17 244:8
60:2,8,13,20	157:3,21	12,20 215:2,	happen
63:17 64:1,11	158:15	8,15,18,24	65:9 66:13
67:17 70:19	165:10,19	216:6 217:6	70:23 81:2
76:21 77:19,	166:22 167:4,	218:13 219:1,	89:17 96:7
23 79:18,23	16,21 168:3,	19,24 220:4,9	141:17,20
81:9 82:16	19 169:3,5,22	222:1,18	142:4 150:16
83:13,21	170:4,14,20	223:6,8	191:4
84:6,15	171:7,18	224:13	happened
85:10,20,22	172:3,9,14,20	225:10,18	32:19 69:22
87:19 88:10,	173:5,10,15,	227:1 228:1,	87:24 89:16
12 90:8	21 174:2,15	15,17 230:13	113:23 122:4
91:10,14 96:8	175:20 176:1,	232:1,10	159:16 181:12
97:6,10,11	6,17,22	233:24 234:6,	194:9,17
101:19 104:9	177:1,4,8,14,	12 235:4,6	273:1
106:23 107:10	15,21 178:2,	236:19	
108:24 109:5,	8,14,20	243:15,19	happening
7,12,17,22	179:8,15,23	244:20 247:9	65:19 66:6
110:4 111:9	180:6,15,24	248:1 259:24	70:25 71:2
118:10,12	181:7 182:4,	261:10,18	172:7
119:14 122:5,	14,22 183:2,	262:1,8,15	hard
17 124:5,13	14,22 184:4,	263:2,5,13	250:5 274:14
125:4,7,11,24	9,23 186:5,	265:22 266:4	Hargreaves
126:2,6,25	10,15 187:14,	268:6,8	24:6
127:3 128:19	24 188:5,12,	276:22 277:6,	harmed
129:2,12,21	23 189:11	9 281:1	117:8,12
130:18 131:7	190:18,20	282:15,21	
132:7 135:3,5	192:21 193:8,	283:1,13,20	Hawkes
137:19 138:3,	21 194:6,20,	284:23 286:8,	24:5 94:6
4,24 139:6,	23 196:13	13 287:2,18	195:2
13,24 140:3,9	197:14 198:13	288:1,7,23	Hayley
141:4,24	199:1,10	289:4,10,14,	228:21,22,25
142:19 143:22	200:2,10,22	20 290:6,16,	238:14
144:8,21	201:4 202:12,	24 292:5,7	Hayley's
145:3,16,22	14,18 203:3,	294:15 295:17	229:10
146:7,17	14,16,19	300:11,21,23	нв
147:2 148:5,	204:3,11,19,	302:24 303:3	71:5 96:13
22 149:20	23 205:4,7,	306:6,21	118:21 140:15
150:9,17	10,15,20,23	310:23 311:2,	195:21 198:10
152:2,16	206:1 208:2,	8	
<u> </u>			

200:5,25	24:5 89:2,3	100:20 124:2	
245:10	112:1 210:19	136:23 273:8	I
head	238:14 270:2	House	
24:21 36:8,10	271:8,23	10:11 71:14,	ID
37:11 74:16	272:8 276:12	17,21 75:2,11	136:9
152:20 230:8	297:7,15	76:7,23 77:2	idea
	300:25 308:23	78:20 105:16	137:22
hear	309:11	108:19 111:14	154:11,17,21,
156:21 260:14	Henry	119:24 120:17	24 155:8,11,
heard	16:20 19:4	121:15 122:7,	14 156:15,19,
89:22 134:13,	23:11,15 37:2	25 124:7,10	24
15,16,19	48:4,9 74:4	126:10 127:9	ideas
178:6,12	90:9 210:19	128:6,21	111:4 153:6,
191:11 256:14	249:11,23,25	129:4,15,23	18 155:7
hearing	250:2,14,18	130:2,6,14,23	156:4 169:16
72:21 86:4,8,	270:2,11	131:15,23,25	192:10 240:5
11 87:20 88:3	271:8,23	132:23	277:18,22
123:4,6	272:14 273:6	133:14,18,22	278:23 280:1
156:19	283:24 284:18	134:1,7,18	identical
hearings	285:8,16	148:6 161:18,	76:2,4
72:10,13	297:21 300:25	22 162:9	·
73:3,6 87:2		164:4 169:7,	identifiable
123:3,9,15,19	hereinafter	10 188:15,18	297:19
	5:13	190:13 192:14	identification
Hebda	high-level	194:8,12,15	10:6 11:6
4:4 5:9,21 119:23 178:23	210:16	195:13 200:16	12:13 13:17
119:23 178:23	higher	202:19 219:5,	14:11 15:11
213:20,25	103:13 137:3	14,25 220:5,	45:16 48:16
·	163:5,7	11,17 236:22,	56:10 77:22
312:10	hired	24 237:8	85:19 88:9
held	240:8		91:13 97:5
4:8 30:8	hit	Howard	109:4 118:9
59:15 61:20	34:3	289:25 290:2,	125:19 135:2
86:5 104:7		8	169:2 190:17
179:4	hold	human	194:19 223:5
helped	26:21 277:7	22:5	228:14 235:3
80:20	holds	hundred	243:14 283:17
helpful	88:24 293:2	234:15	289:13 292:4
164:14,15	homework	hypothetical	300:20 303:2
Henderson	172:10,15	150:7 289:3,5	identified
18:13 19:12	honestly		128:22 148:24
10.13 19.12			

307:11,25	131:12,15	improper	125:19 253:2
308:7 309:2,	158:5 223:16	192:24 311:3	270:19 272:9
4,5	224:9 226:11	improperly	inconsistent
identifies	229:4 237:14	193:10 194:8,	197:16
97:19 98:1	270:20,22	12,14	
149:23 202:6,	285:2	inaccuracy	incorporate 285:13
25 274:6	implementation-	262:10	
302:11	type		incorporated
identify	224:24	inbox 111:25	249:2
74:12 202:21	implemented		inculpates
203:8 243:9	24:15 116:8	incidence	171:3 173:23
274:6 281:7	121:6 189:21	113:24	174:1 187:12,
302:22	210:4 222:11	incidents	17
identity	236:22	161:19	independently
133:7	255:22,24	include	44:8,10
ideological	256:3 288:13	24:13 140:18	indicating
280:20	implementing	144:6 171:20	74:23
	25:5 42:3	172:5,10	individual
II	116:6 134:7,	200:4,8 211:5	36:9 38:17
30:16	17,19,22	236:5 254:5	55:14 64:22
imagine	147:11 166:5	290:10 297:18	114:23 117:1
68:16 102:11	222:24 237:7,	303:14	155:3 169:18
201:14 213:8	25	included	201:3 234:5
267:7	implements	29:14 57:14	261:23 262:6,
impact	41:19	111:22	13 295:21
92:17 104:12	implicated	112:13,21	305:17
191:17	103:23	127:16,21	individualize
implement	implied	148:21,24	74:1
80:3 116:23	165:2,7,12	151:11 252:22	individually
147:6,7		253:17 267:25	41:13
159:18 164:22	importance 254:11	297:24	individuals
184:22 197:8		includes	46:18 47:4,6,
221:10 224:19	important	9:22 32:17	10 68:16
225:13 240:4	7:17 254:13	53:4 110:18	72:2,5 108:13
255:12	259:22 265:5	141:16 148:15	243:3 258:16
implementation	278:6	160:24 172:1	individuals'
24:15 25:7	imposed	182:24 188:11	258:22
26:20 51:10	218:4	251:25 279:7	info
114:13 116:14	imposes	280:8	89:9
118:20 119:10	218:15	including	09.9
125:17 130:23		57:11,16	
	I	I	I

inform	initiatives	59:10 60:21	62:4 77:7
39:24 55:18	256:24	65:24 66:22	108:11 114:1
		70:24 142:25	126:12,16
information	injured	162:3,5,8	127:11,19
47:3 56:8	162:19,23,25	163:8 168:8	128:4,8 129:6
77:16 81:15	193:4		131:16 140:18
91:5 94:1	input	194:7,11 245:21	
95:21 100:9	92:16,20		143:15 146:15
121:8 162:11	93:3,15,19,	institute	147:1,10
218:3 231:1	20,25 94:1	165:6 241:22	149:13 152:4
236:10,12	287:1 289:9	institute's	154:12 156:10
238:2,13	inputting	245:15 247:19	158:13 160:4
254:12	276:14,19	institution	161:20 162:3
255:14,17		57:17,22	164:23 168:21
256:9 272:4	inquired	58:5,19 79:22	169:25 170:3,
273:22	151:14,16	82:23,24	17 177:17
297:19,23,25	inquires		189:20 195:20
299:2 304:25	282:9,13	83:24,25	197:8,25
310:3	inquiries	127:23 137:6	199:16 200:11
informed	69:16 89:20	143:11 144:2,	209:9 225:25
69:19 112:15		14,15,17	231:2 243:4
	inquiring	146:4 147:16,	245:24 255:4,
initial	137:14 223:15	20,23,25	13,19 256:25
13:4 121:21	inquiry	148:4 150:20,	300:16 301:16
195:5,11	84:8,21 85:3	24 151:1	310:10
217:12	293:17	152:10,22	instruct
245:15,19	ins	153:5 163:5,	9:4 64:8
247:10,19	85:7	6,10,16,19,22	125:9 127:1
248:9,13	inserted	167:24 210:7	131:6 139:21
257:15	264:15	218:12 248:19	176:19 177:7
initially		277:16,25	204:8 205:6
43:18 212:7,9	inserts	278:15,22	instructed
241:3 293:22	265:23	279:3,5,24	137:23 140:5
initials	inside	302:7 303:11	137:23 140:5
49:16	192:2,8	institution's	
initiate	193:15,20	108:15 162:23	instructing
	194:2	Institutional	59:20 60:3
53:12	instance	22:11	125:11,22
initiating	60:9,14,23		126:4 137:16
53:17	65:24 67:6	institutions	204:23 205:15
initiative	190:11 217:25	25:16 26:16	instruction
256:8,20		45:5 52:18	110:3 125:20
	instances	57:9 60:4	

127:4,7,14	200.0 17	11 05 15.17	200.1 10 22
127:4,7,14	280:8,17 284:3 294:9	11,25 15:17, 19 16:6	309:1,19,23
			310:1,4
138:2,15 140:2,8,11,12	intend	19:15,20,25 307:3	IR-
140:2,8,11,12	86:14 138:10		290:21
171:2,15,17,	224:18 226:2	interruption	IRB
20 172:1,2,5,	290:18 303:14	308:17	290:12,19,21
7,16 173:4	304:12	introduce	291:1,6
174:5,7	305:16,22	10:4	issue
182:12,21,23,	intended	introduced	66:12 117:16,
24 183:9,13,	98:17 141:8	69:21 70:22	18,20,22
16 186:22,23	219:2,4	71:6,15 75:3	157:17 207:24
199:25 204:22	225:12 305:5	76:8,24 77:3,	265:24 291:18
205:14	intends	13 93:11	308:10
	257:22	101:12,15,16	issued
instructions	intent	116:11,21	154:10,18,22
125:18 138:11	108:7	130:3 133:19	159:13
144:19 216:17	intention	introduction	175:18,24
252:14,21	120:13 284:24	129:23 258:15	182:1 186:4,
253:22 257:19 274:12 311:3		investigate	9,14 189:7
	interest	57:21	issues
instructor	108:15 112:5		197:15 246:15
243:9 274:9	208:4,5,9	investigation	309:4 311:4
instructors	254:17 255:18	32:25 61:9	
243:12 264:4,	interested	invoking	issuing
8 266:15	121:9,13	125:24	157:23 158:4
instructors'	197:4 236:11,	involved	item
266:7	15,17 262:18	11:22 62:21	66:1 248:18
instructs	280:4	87:6 230:16,	items
7:12 143:10	interests	20,22 248:25	93:2 169:21
instrument	254:14	249:6	266:13
104:16 276:18	internal	involvement	iterations
	137:14,25	19:21 275:17	210:2
instrumental	175:6 221:11	involves	IV
275:1	internally	52:10 204:9	30:17
intake	211:5,9	involving	33 27
19:14	287:10	230:14	
intellectual	internet		
137:7 138:19	310:3	IP	Jacob
206:12 210:6		272:5 307:12,	23:17,22 37:2
214:5 218:11	interrogatories	16,21 308:1,	74:7
255:16 264:20	12:4,6 13:5,	5,9,12,16	

January	125:10	keeping	Kovacs
78:2,16 86:2	July	218:7	211:6 276:13
87:21 101:3	195:6	Kelly	Krissy
103:4 118:17	June	10:23 47:14,	78:14 80:19
119:4,20	235:8,24	16 211:19	Kulavic
120:13 123:3,	237:4 292:11	235:10,17	78:14
12 191:14	293:11 294:16	236:4 237:7,	70-11
242:14 283:25		13,24 292:21	
Jason	junior	Kelly's	L
211:7,12	246:7 248:20	20:6 47:22	labeled
Jasrasaria	jurisdiction		47:11 97:13
4:20	26:6,10,12	Kerrington	100:9 103:14
	35:14,18,25	225:21	104:11 105:5
Jeremy	Jyoti	kind	107:22 143:4
18:14 19:18	4:20	43:6 101:16	162:17 169:13
271:9,24,25		116:13 127:13	191:17 224:9
272:2	K	136:20 141:17	231:13
Jessica		174:14 175:5	
68:25 69:2	K-12	208:19 216:18	laid
91:19,21,25	23:18 29:9	259:19 274:20	110:10
93:7 95:23	30:12 60:25	300:12,15	Lamb
96:4	124:17 134:12	311:19	249:21
Jim	174:8,12	kinds	language
50:6,9,10	175:1 256:22	42:18 43:1	64:20,23 65:2
job	K-20	45:2 65:4	95:10 104:4
29:6 47:7	37:17	121:8 126:17	245:23 246:3
	Kamoutsas	136:3 153:18	261:16
joint		156:4 168:10	large
120:23 121:2	50:19,22	174:5 201:13	135:18 308:8,
Jon	Kathryn	206:18 231:10	14
10:23 211:6	4:4 5:9,21	242:3 246:2	largely
289:16	312:10	262:17 274:16	122:21,23
Jones	Kathy	310:6	·
211:7,12	5:24,25	knew	larger
Jordan	119:22 178:23	167:22 266:9	55:11 186:21
4:10	180:14 227:16		304:25 305:6
	Katz	knowledge	law
Juan	18:14 19:18	93:2 110:23	4:17,19,21
230:9,11,14,	271:10,24	212:12,17	57:22 58:6,20
16		230:21 266:8,	61:7,22 62:5
Judge	keeper	23,25	71:4,8,9,11,
	139:3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

83:20 108:8 128:1 137:12	23:8 229:2	legislative	Liam 4:16 5:18
128:1 137:12 138:6 147:8	311:4	32:25 54:25 55:14 64:14	
158:2,14	leaving	65:6,22 97:13	liberal
168:9 185:3	311:7	98:14 100:2,	257:24 258:8,
201:16 203:12	lecture	25 101:9,17	14,17 259:1,4
222:16 223:2	189:17 198:7	111:5 123:20	279:25 280:5
234:3 280:10,	199:12 202:2,	135:15 137:17	281:21
11	8 203:9	160:13	limit
	lecturer		37:18 82:12
laws	192:20	legislator	83:14 114:2
57:18	lectures	69:16	144:14 147:23
lawsuit	148:15 166:10	legislators	150:2 152:11,
5:19 6:6	189:2,4,5,13	69:7 73:6	22 153:6
8:22,25 9:9,	201:23	129:23 284:25	234:1,4
14,21,25		285:4,17	limited
10:17,20	left 111:16 135:20	286:1,3 299:9	33:18,19
31:11,13,17		legislature	59:25 151:19
32:4	238:9 306:10	55:20,22 56:2	238:5
lawyer	legal	58:19 62:3	limiting
168:12	33:25 34:19	68:2 69:20	113:9 131:3
lawyers	36:18 44:16	76:13,23 77:2	162:3
16:22 17:9,	53:22 58:11	99:21 102:8	limits
13,24 18:2,6,	59:6 63:6	116:21 119:4,	82:6 144:15
9	82:9 83:9,16	10 121:4	146:13,16
lead	84:2 85:15	124:7 130:22	
100:11 120:8,	103:14 128:16	131:11,14,23	lined
14 161:13	129:8 132:3	133:18 134:7,	146:13
218:9	300:7	17,21 137:15,	lines
	legislation	21 138:18	103:17 111:16
leaders	10:10 16:7	141:7 147:7	211:15
29:16	17:2 20:5	166:19 225:11	link
leadership	64:13 65:5	length	4:6 9:15
68:17 122:22	67:2,7 70:16,	39:13 41:24	301:9 302:5
221:18	21 72:11	272:16	links
leading	80:11 95:22	Leon	295:21 310:13
208:18	97:25 108:4,5	31:2	Lisa
learning	116:6,19	lessons	24:5
23:18 198:17	127:21 173:1	125:20	
199:25	202:23 219:17		list
leave	280:7 288:12,	level	9:22 11:13
16016	21 295:12	112:5	43:12,18,24

44.0 111.00	logia		02.22 02.5
44:2 111:22 112:15 127:20	logic 274:8		82:22 83:5,
			15,23 84:22
151:8 158:10	long	M-U-L-L-O-W-N-	85:12 224:6
171:10,12	17:13 22:18	E-Y	majority
listed	27:1 29:21	115:22	42:23
47:14 93:4	30:1 33:13	Mack	make
102:18 128:1	68:21 69:2	16:20 19:5	25:13 44:8,10
149:2 169:16	229:3 287:21	23:11,15 37:2	52:20 55:19
182:13 185:24	288:3 296:13	48:4,9 74:4	86:10,23
186:21 187:9,	longer	210:20 248:8	180:10 189:21
11	29:24 37:22	249:11,24,25	201:18 209:11
listing	69:5 94:9	250:2,14,18	220:16 224:23
53:2 201:17	228:25 297:2	270:2 271:8,	232:9 255:9,
lists	302:6	23 272:14	10 274:4,10,
57:8 58:14	Lonna	273:6 283:25	13,14,20
169:21 188:10	289:25	284:18 285:8,	276:15 302:17
307:20	looked	16 297:21	makes
literacy	79:9 80:12	300:25	65:12 67:22
256:21	164:9 203:12	Mack's	80:13 298:2
litigation	216:13,17	90:9	making
11:22 13:14	239:23 271:5,		7:16 52:10
	19,21 273:9	Madam 166:15	53:25 54:18
loaded	287:11,13		80:10,16
273:22	308:7	made	116:8 154:6
274:23,25	lot	22:24 42:12	155:22 164:21
275:4	71:12 112:9	44:4 93:7	165:15 184:13
lobbying	113:20 238:1	99:24 137:22	189:19
122:24	246:8 276:4	138:1 163:12	224:16,20,23
lobbyist	lot-	180:11 209:25	225:14 242:8
73:19 74:21	59:3	221:25 222:2	276:17
87:9		226:8 231:11	Management
lobbyists	lots	241:2,3,8	47:2
73:13,16,21,	14:20 76:19	242:25 273:20	mandate
25 122:19	lottery	274:10,17,23, 25 308:19	206:22
Lobbytools	59:3		
91:23	lower	main	mandatory
local	304:5	251:24	111:6 127:14
38:9 39:9	lunch	maintain	manner
41:17 108:11	138:25 139:15	41:23 197:25	108:16 148:18
11-1/ 100-11		maintained	186:23 187:3
			188:1,7

222:20 231:16	Marshall	233:14 280:17	34:12,14,25
Manny	243:22 244:7,	302:3 305:9	63:15 64:23
4:7	25 247:1,5	311:17,18	118:2 144:23
	248:3 250:15,	meant	145:5,15,18,
March	21 251:2	66:19 265:7	24 146:9
15:4 49:22	257:15,20	278:1	150:11 153:22
101:4 109:9	268:20 285:14		211:13
276:5,24	291:3,17	measure	members
margin	Marshall's	259:15,16,20	24:23 33:3,5,
304:10,12,16,	259:7	260:7	17 36:7,14
18,21,23		measurement	39:11 40:25
marital	master's	26:14 230:7	42:16,19,24
170:11	20:14 21:12	media	43:2 62:16,17
marked	matched	51:8,21	66:11 105:23
10:5,6 11:4,6	276:16	106:9,10,15	106:1,7,12,25
12:10,13,25	materials	132:11 293:17	107:12 110:3
13:17,20	125:21	medications	112:5 113:16
14:10,11	matter	8:11	117:15 118:5
15:10,11	4:6 93:2		121:15
45:16,18	191:3	meet	146:20,24
48:16,18		31:22 47:7	147:4,19
56:10,12	Mchugh	89:25 114:25	151:13 160:11
77:20,21	4:10	216:14	211:24 226:21
85:18,21	Mclaughlin	meet all	211.24 220.21
88:8,11	240:22	207:12	memo
91:11,12	meaning	meeting	49:5 68:4
97:4,7 109:3,	42:2 101:17,	43:7,12 61:25	memoranda
6 118:8,11	23 105:4	89:13 114:20	45:4,6
135:1,4	157:23 186:2,	115:6 175:4,	memorandum
136:1,4	7 187:3,16	5,6,7 226:20,	46:1
169:1,4	218:22 234:14	23 227:2,5	memory
190:16,19	236:15 297:11	244:21,24	8:12 123:17
194:18,21	meanings	245:1 250:7,	132:6 134:24
223:4,7	14:20	9,11	174:18 236:3
228:13,16		meetings	240:15 269:22
235:2,5	means	115:2 211:5,8	
243:13,16	75:16 135:23	276:5,7,23	mentioned
283:16	136:7 154:1	277:2,4,5	13:12 16:11
289:11,12	178:16 179:14		19:16,24 20:4
292:3 300:19	180:1,8,12	meets	21:20 23:11
303:1	190:7 207:17	34:4 90:4,13	62:25 75:18
303.1	212:20 227:21	member	92:24 95:15
<u>'</u>		•	

98:5 100:13	306:10,11	60:6,11,17	171:6,16,21
122:18 192:18		64:8 67:13	172:6,12,18,
197:3 266:24	MIS	70:18 76:18	25 173:8,13,
271:7 276:4	46:13 47:2	79:16 81:6	18,24 174:13
285:8	MISATFOR	82:8 83:9,16	175:17,23
	46:13	84:1,12,25	176:4,14,18,
mentions	misread	85:14 87:18	25 177:3,6,
19:21 99:2	301:22	90:6 96:6	10,19,24
merits	model	97:8 101:13	178:5,11,18
81:4	239:25	104:2 106:20	179:1,12,20
message	moderate	107:7 108:20	180:3,9,19
244:16,17	281:21	109:10,15,19,	181:3,25
257:20		24 111:8	182:9,19,25
met	modified	119:12 121:19	183:7,19,25
89:5,21	185:6 200:6,	122:13 124:1,	184:7,20
273:15	16	11 125:2,5,8,	186:3,8,13
method	modifies	13 126:1,4,22	187:4,20
299:7	185:3	127:1 128:15,	188:2,8,20
	modify	23 129:7,16	189:6 190:14
methodological	124:20 187:16	130:16 131:2	192:16 193:17
199:13	modifying	132:2 137:13,	194:4,22
methods	185:5	22 138:21	196:9 197:10
22:8		139:2,21	198:11,23
middle	moment 8:2 12:15	140:2,8	199:4,19
30:25 31:3	81:19 147:13	141:1,22	200:7,14
119:19 142:9	148:10 167:9	142:16 143:19	201:2 202:10,
143:4	256:25 277:8	144:5,18	13,16,22
midway	306:5	145:1,8,20	203:10,21
191:19		146:2,11,22	204:8,15,18,
million	Monday	147:21 148:8	22 205:2,6,9,
301:11	101:2	149:10 150:5,	13,17,21
	month	14 151:23	207:22 208:7,
mind	34:5,6 101:3	152:14,25	14,21 209:2
84:5 134:5	Moore	153:8,14,20	212:24 213:4,
217:22 304:20	4:24 9:4	155:2,9,16	13,22 214:7,
minority	12:14 25:23	156:12,17	18,24 215:6,
67:11,16	34:18 36:17,	157:1,19,25	11,22 216:3
minute	20 39:16	165:8,17	217:1 218:6,
56:13	44:15 46:21	166:15 167:1,	17 219:16,22
minutes	53:21 56:1	13,19 168:1,	220:2,7
262:23	58:10,22	6,23 169:17	221:22 222:15
	59:5,13,18,23	170:1,12,18	223:1 224:10,

25 225:15	music	Newberry	10 273:25
226:19 227:22	20:19,21	20:12,24 21:5	noticed
231:21 232:4	21:11,13	news	274:18
233:22 234:2,		106:2,6,9	number
10,25 236:13	N	nods	15:18,19
243:17 244:14		7:18 74:16	16:23 18:3
247:7,16	Nargiz		26:18 31:15
259:21 261:9,	4:11	noncompliance	40:18,19,23
13,22 262:5,	national	57:21	41:2 44:9
12,21 263:4	170:10,25	nondiscriminato	52:12 56:13
265:20 266:1	175:12	ry	67:14,20
268:4 276:8	nature	125:17	73:22 91:23
282:12,18,24	127:15	nonpartisan	102:10
283:3 284:21	necessarily	207:6,13	135:21,23
286:6,10,17	68:4 85:7	212:19,20	136:6 160:21
287:6,23	94:5,22	213:12,17	169:4,7 210:2
288:5,19	175:11	214:11,16,23	238:5 243:3
289:2,7,18		215:5,13,20	265:2 267:7
290:4,14,20	179:11,18	216:2,9,12,19	279:7,11
294:12 295:9	180:2,18	217:9,20	301:14 304:1,
300:5 306:8,	181:1 201:15	218:8 286:5,	5 308:8,14
13 310:25	218:22 246:9	15 287:14,22	310:6
311:6,23	260:1 261:4	288:4,15	
moral	needed	289:1	numbered
175:9	86:24 231:11	nonvoluntary	111:17 229:13
morning	232:7	294:10 295:8,	numbers
_	negative	11	78:25 136:1,
5:16,17 112:2	92:18 96:13,		4,9 308:15
move	18,20,21 97:1	North	
104:10 205:22	260:22,25	236:1,4,8,12	0
moving	261:1,3,11,	239:14,16,21	
68:15	15,20 262:4,	240:2	oath
Moyer	11,17 279:4,	note	8:6
292:10,16	15	301:10	object
Mullowney	negatives	noted	7:11 25:23
115:17,20	233:6	247:18	34:18 36:17
116:3 118:1		notes	39:16 44:15
195:2 196:10	neutral	76:1	46:21 53:21
	92:18 95:9		56:1 58:10,22
multiple	96:13,18,20,	notice	59:5 64:8
233:19 234:9	21 97:1	59:24 98:10	67:13 70:18
252:24	108:15	125:5 198:6,	

82:8 87:18	194:4 196:9	128:15,23	occasion
90:6 96:6	197:10	129:16 137:23	44:18
101:13 104:2	198:11,23	138:1 145:8	occasional
106:20 107:7	199:4,19	152:25 153:14	21:21
108:20 109:24	200:7,14	168:1,6	occasions
111:8 119:12	201:2 202:22	172:18 173:24	6:3 16:21
121:19 122:13	203:10 207:22	175:23 176:14	18:1 65:8
124:1,11	208:7,14,21	177:11 179:1,	272:15
129:7 130:16	209:2 212:24	20 204:15	
132:2 141:1,	213:4,13,22	205:13,21	occupation
22 142:16	214:7,18,24	286:10 288:5	22:14 23:5
143:19 144:5,	215:6,11,22	289:7	occur
18 145:1,20	216:3 217:1	objective	67:4 69:23
146:2,11,22	218:6,17	125:17 186:23	146:5
147:21 148:8	219:16,22	187:3,6	occurred
149:10 150:5,	220:2,7	188:1,3,7,10	16:25 66:5
14 151:23	221:22 222:15	207:6,13,17,	121:2 134:14
152:14 153:8,	223:1 224:10,	18,21 208:3,	145:14 185:11
20 155:2,9,16	25 225:15	20 209:18,22	237:21 268:19
156:12,17	226:19 227:22	210:4,9,14	307:6
157:1,19,25	231:21 232:4	214:10 215:13	October
165:8,17	233:22 234:2,	218:7 265:11,	30:5,20
167:1,13,19	10,25 236:13	12,25 287:15	offensive
168:23 169:17	244:14 247:7,	objectively	153:23 155:19
170:1,12,18	16 259:21	264:10,14	156:3 157:9
171:6,16,21	261:9,13,22	265:5,7,18	278:24
172:6,12,25	262:5,12	objectivity	
173:8,13,18	265:20 266:1	209:1	offer
174:13 175:17	276:8 282:12,		73:2 158:21,
176:4 177:19,	18,24 283:3	obligate	25
24 178:5,11,	284:21 286:6,	152:4	offered
18 179:12	17 287:6,23	obligated	32:6 73:9
180:3,9,19	288:19 289:2,	233:25	133:22
181:3,25	18 290:4,14,	obligation	offers
182:9,19,25	20 294:12	40:4 217:9	158:17
183:7,19,25	295:9 300:5	observed	office
184:7,20	objecting	107:3,4	9:2 19:1
186:3,8,13	131:5	obtain	36:13,25 37:5
187:4,20	objection	20:16	49:1 51:6,17
188:2,8,20 189:6 190:14	83:9 84:1,12,		52:11 55:2,25
199:16 190:14	25 85:14	obtaining	56:9 69:10,12
127.10 133.1/		53:6	

7(1):1 4 7 8	open	178:1,9,16,24	175:12
70:1,4,7,8, 13,15,20 71:7	283:19 295:24	179:9,17,18	
72:17,23	311:5,7	180:1,17	original
85:4,6 92:25		-	165:2 274:11
	opening	181:1,5,11,	originally
93:14,22	244:16,17	12,19,23	41:14 71:5
98:10,15	257:20	182:2,7,17	280:7
99:4,7 103:14	operate	183:4,17	OTC
118:24 130:2	41:11 128:13	oppression	47:11 50:13
191:8 211:1,	operates	179:4 180:23	51:14
17 212:1,5,15	149:7	option	
250:10,17,19,		231:25 253:3,	outline
22 275:8,17,	operating	4,6,8,10,17	78:22
21 276:6,10	154:4	266:13	outreach
277:4 292:15	operations	281:10,16,25	230:17
293:1 298:24	55:8 92:14	282:1	outs
299:1,6	95:7	options	85:7
officer	opinion	58:25 281:21	oversee
72:9	154:11,17,21,	302:23	57:7 87:6
officers	25 156:16,25		
71:11,23	178:23 180:13	oral	overseeing
official	291:14 295:4	199:13	57:1
86:23 87:11	opinions	order	oversees
139:3 288:9	153:18 156:5	54:5 59:16,25	25:6,20,25
294:22	277:18,22	109:13	oversight
	278:23	125:10,25	57:14,15
officially		176:23 177:5,	125:16
102:6	opponents	9 210:8	
	105.00	9 210.0	
Oliva	105:20	273:2,8 274:3	
Oliva 23:17,22 37:2	105:20 opportunity		P
		273:2,8 274:3	P.m.
23:17,22 37:2	opportunity	273:2,8 274:3 ordering 311:11	
23:17,22 37:2 74:7	opportunity 195:12	273:2,8 274:3 ordering 311:11 orders	p.m.
23:17,22 37:2 74:7 one's	<pre>opportunity 195:12 opposed 105:23 106:1</pre>	273:2,8 274:3 ordering 311:11 orders 311:25	p.m. 139:8,9,10
23:17,22 37:2 74:7 one's 81:23 one-time	opportunity 195:12 opposed	273:2,8 274:3 ordering 311:11 orders 311:25 org	p.m. 139:8,9,10 203:24,25
23:17,22 37:2 74:7 one's 81:23 one-time 104:15,20	<pre>opportunity 195:12 opposed 105:23 106:1 opposes 111:5</pre>	273:2,8 274:3 ordering 311:11 orders 311:25 org 23:13	p.m. 139:8,9,10 203:24,25 204:2 263:7,
23:17,22 37:2 74:7 one's 81:23 one-time 104:15,20 ongoing	<pre>opportunity 195:12 opposed 105:23 106:1 opposes 111:5 opposing</pre>	273:2,8 274:3 ordering 311:11 orders 311:25 org 23:13 organizations	p.m. 139:8,9,10 203:24,25 204:2 263:7, 8,9,12
23:17,22 37:2 74:7 one's 81:23 one-time 104:15,20 ongoing 17:1	<pre>opportunity 195:12 opposed 105:23 106:1 opposes 111:5 opposing 264:10,15,25</pre>	273:2,8 274:3 ordering 311:11 orders 311:25 org 23:13	p.m. 139:8,9,10 203:24,25 204:2 263:7, 8,9,12 306:16,17,18,
23:17,22 37:2 74:7 one's 81:23 one-time 104:15,20 ongoing 17:1 online	<pre>opportunity 195:12 opposed 105:23 106:1 opposes 111:5 opposing 264:10,15,25 265:6,8,19</pre>	273:2,8 274:3 ordering 311:11 orders 311:25 org 23:13 organizations	p.m. 139:8,9,10 203:24,25 204:2 263:7, 8,9,12 306:16,17,18, 20 312:12,13
23:17,22 37:2 74:7 one's 81:23 one-time 104:15,20 ongoing 17:1	<pre>opportunity 195:12 opposed 105:23 106:1 opposes 111:5 opposing 264:10,15,25 265:6,8,19 oppressed</pre>	273:2,8 274:3 ordering 311:11 orders 311:25 org 23:13 organizations 108:13 111:14	p.m. 139:8,9,10 203:24,25 204:2 263:7, 8,9,12 306:16,17,18, 20 312:12,13 Pam
23:17,22 37:2 74:7 one's 81:23 one-time 104:15,20 ongoing 17:1 online	<pre>opportunity 195:12 opposed 105:23 106:1 opposes 111:5 opposing 264:10,15,25 265:6,8,19 oppressed 175:11,22,25</pre>	273:2,8 274:3 ordering 311:11 orders 311:25 org 23:13 organizations 108:13 111:14 organize 23:19	p.m. 139:8,9,10 203:24,25 204:2 263:7, 8,9,12 306:16,17,18, 20 312:12,13 Pam 24:6 26:25 27:9
23:17,22 37:2 74:7 one's 81:23 one-time 104:15,20 ongoing 17:1 online 302:16	<pre>opportunity 195:12 opposed 105:23 106:1 opposes 111:5 opposing 264:10,15,25 265:6,8,19 oppressed 175:11,22,25 176:3,13</pre>	273:2,8 274:3 ordering 311:11 orders 311:25 org 23:13 organizations 108:13 111:14 organize 23:19 origin	p.m. 139:8,9,10 203:24,25 204:2 263:7, 8,9,12 306:16,17,18, 20 312:12,13 Pam 24:6 26:25 27:9 paragraph
23:17,22 37:2 74:7 one's 81:23 one-time 104:15,20 ongoing 17:1 online 302:16 OPB	<pre>opportunity 195:12 opposed 105:23 106:1 opposes 111:5 opposing 264:10,15,25 265:6,8,19 oppressed 175:11,22,25</pre>	273:2,8 274:3 ordering 311:11 orders 311:25 org 23:13 organizations 108:13 111:14 organize 23:19	p.m. 139:8,9,10 203:24,25 204:2 263:7, 8,9,12 306:16,17,18, 20 312:12,13 Pam 24:6 26:25 27:9

05.05.100.03	100.12.020.1	005.00 006.4	100.0 5.16
95:25 108:23	199:13 230:1	285:22 286:4,	182:2,7,16
110:9 111:17	241:1 251:25	21 288:18,20,	183:4,16
113:4 117:4	259:22 264:16	22	233:19 304:6
120:7 148:13	272:3 290:8,	pass	per-
171:24 186:19	22 291:7	89:19	202:20
191:23 193:7	298:13 300:7	passed	perceive
198:14 199:11	301:11 304:13	10:10 45:25	280:23
201:23 202:3,	309:15,17	67:2 69:13	
11,13,15	part-time	91:4 100:3	percent
245:14 246:25	40:24 302:10,	116:20 131:23	301:7,9,21
251:7,13	15,23	134:23 147:6	305:10
254:10 257:21	participant's	154:13 158:21	perception
258:2 290:9,	192:3	160:14,23	266:14,19,21
15 294:3		237:22	267:8,10
303:25 307:6,	participate		291:13
20 310:9	26:19 55:5	past	perceptions
Pardon	127:13 212:5,	10:25 48:20	280:23
17:5 181:15,	11,16	253:14	Perfect
17	participated	Patrick	312:7
naron	161:6 210:17,	293:3	
<pre>paren 193:3,5</pre>	22,24 211:3,	Patty	performance
	18 212:9	191:10	39:24 57:8,15
parenthesis	226:21 272:3		performance-
232:13 233:14	277:1	pause 148:11	based
parents'	parties	140.11	137:9 138:18
133:1	217:16	pen	performed
part	partisan	269:10	134:1
10:16 13:14	213:3,7,9,21	pending	period
16:8 19:25	286:22 287:4,	7:23 69:8	17:23 276:5
25:10,22,25	16,20 288:3,	70:2 130:7	
32:5,24 36:3,	6,15	people	periodically
16 55:11,13		24:2,4 40:16	7:21
80:24 87:5	partner	52:12 91:24	permissible
92:9,22 96:21	241:7	92:10 94:15	190:13
99:6 102:11	parts	111:23 112:1,	permission
108:22 109:8	184:14	10 149:8	82:15 220:21
113:17 121:25	party	156:6,11	221:1,6,7
136:18,22	82:23 83:6,24	161:3 163:15,	permitted
138:5,17	213:8,12,21,	17 176:12	152:22 187:22
165:25 174:25	24 214:4	177:17 178:9	
183:9,15	215:4 216:1	179:3 181:1,	permitting
186:21 189:13	240:12 241:10	5,10,19,23	152:11 153:5

person	283:6	4:19	158:14 189:21
5:10 9:15	pertaining	plaintiffs	201:10 256:1
51:13 54:17	272:2	4:17,21 5:19	270:17
94:12 127:24	pertains	9:13,17	policy
135:15 155:22	184:16 214:19	298:13	27:17 30:12
156:18		plaintiffs'	47:8 65:9,13,
162:19,22,25	phenomenon	13:4,24	14,17,21
181:15 193:4,	178:3 181:18	15:17,18	66:1,7 70:7,
6 216:22	Phipps	plan	8,13 72:18
227:24 234:17	4:12	86:7 218:21	99:4 153:5,9,
243:8 249:5,	phone	226:4,8 290:9	12,16 161:2
7,9 273:6	114:4,23,25	296:15 300:15	167:11,18
274:6 282:3	115:10,11,12,		168:5 189:10
283:4,7,8	24 120:16	planned	300:12,14
286:25 291:12	237:16,17	290:12	political
310:5	291:22 310:6	plans	106:19 107:1
person's	phrase	23:8 104:22,	212:21,23
155:8,10,14	259:18 265:2	25	213:1,6,8,12,
157:6 175:9	physically	play	20 214:4,14,
283:9	94:23	39:5	21 215:3
personal	Piccolo	point	216:1,21,25
6:13 166:24	88:18,21	8:14,17 21:24	217:5,16
178:19,23	piece	22:2 65:25	264:5,9,11,19
180:13 189:3	150:3,11	66:5 79:6	265:4 266:16,
265:18,23	229:9 309:20	87:3 114:14	24 278:7,11
266:7,25		135:14 212:21	280:20
298:1	pin 203:5	216:21 217:5	282:10,14,17,
personally		221:12,17	23 286:4,21
176:8,10,12	pink	226:7,23	politics
178:1 180:16	50:12	230:18 231:24	241:14,22
202:6,20,25	place	237:9 238:2,6	poll
203:8 245:9	12:19 45:10	254:4 258:13	241:11
276:23	63:21 108:16	269:5	Polls
personnel	139:9 141:10	pointed	240:22
6:20 262:23	195:6 203:24	151:5	population
perspectives	263:8 281:20	points	301:6,14
192:10 280:20	282:19 306:17	80:20,24 81:3	304:25 305:6
pertain	places	policies	portion
51:10 53:7	310:1	108:11,14	19:19 126:13
137:25 214:9	plaintiff	125:15 154:13	148:23 166:17

170:6 215:17	60:1,5	108:6 174:19	
			preserved 254:19
245:6	126:11,16,23	303:5	254:19
portions	127:11,19	prepares	president
19:15 37:13	128:4,8 129:5	75:19	38:25 40:2
124:19 238:25	130:24 131:4,	prescribes	115:16 213:20
Posey	16 174:6,9	42:4	presidents
24:6	177:16	present	39:2,6,8,15,
position	power	13:19 14:9	25 73:17
22:19,21 23:9	184:18	40:12 45:17	114:6,18,23
24:10 26:21	Powerpoint	48:17 56:11	115:1,6,10
27:4,5 34:13	46:17	77:19 81:18	161:8
68:22,24	powers	85:20 88:10	presumes
74:13,17,23,	53:3 199:22	104:22,25	181:10
25 84:11,23	201:16	107:25 108:6	
85:1 88:21,24		118:10 135:3	pretty
94:20 105:6,	practices	161:7 169:3	35:16 272:2
10,20 146:8	29:20 169:13	187:22 190:18	prevented
150:19,24	195:15	194:20 223:6	127:22,23
151:2 167:5	pre-enactment	228:15 235:4	144:2,7
182:2 198:24	137:16	243:15 277:4,	prevents
293:2 295:4	precise	6 283:14	140:17 149:16
	264:24	289:10 292:5	152:8 158:4
positive 28:14 41:3	prefer	300:22 302:25	previous
92:18 96:13,	253:2,16		43:11 97:25
18,19,20 97:1	281:10,16,24	presentation 46:10,16	111:19 118:24
168:15 190:8	282:2,5	86:7,10,13,18	271:5 293:12
203:2 225:8	premark	123:13 161:13	previously
279:12,16	277:7	199:13	11:15 28:12,
			15,18,22 29:3
possibility	preparation	presentations	98:5 100:14
113:22 261:24	17:21,24	160:20 161:5	135:25 206:11
262:7,14	18:19,20,22	171:23	270:25
possibly	19:1,3,8,13	presented	
166:1 239:9,	20:3 311:4	46:18 123:18	primarily
13	prepare	184:2 265:1	19:8,14 70:10
post	16:2,5 18:11	267:17 277:1	71:22 143:21
23:16 95:4	54:23,25	presenting	243:9 274:6,7
postings	66:12 271:2	12:24 15:9	275:2 302:16
106:3	prepared	91:10 97:6	primary
	11:17 55:3	109:5	67:24 68:14
postsecondary	90:18,19		94:12 132:20
59:14,19,22			
	I	l	I

principle	procedures	29:19	126:15 127:12
107:13	108:12	professor	128:11 143:17
printed	proceeding	150:1 262:9	149:8,11
135:19	32:15,18	264:18	170:9
280:11,12	61:15,17	265:17,23	project
prior	62:25 63:2,7,	professors	256:13,16,19
7:3 27:14,18,	8,11,12,13	82:1,7 105:22	257:2
21,25 42:5	76:12 300:8	106:1,7,11,25	promise
68:24 77:13	proceedings	107:12 152:11	15:9 232:19
101:8 108:19	4:1 312:13	153:6 167:11,	promote
119:4 129:23	process	24 264:4,8	187:25 188:3,
131:5 198:6,	19:14 23:3	266:14 278:10	6 207:18,25
10 205:23	43:20 50:24	professors'	208:12 256:4
225:19 227:5	52:7,9 53:12,	266:6,23	265:10,13
246:15 248:6	17,18 56:7		
266:11	65:25 68:5,12	program	promoted
271:18,19,21	70:6 81:13,20	30:15,16,17	256:2
289:23 293:6,	92:23 93:10	52:11 246:10, 11 256:10	promotes
23	96:22 99:19,	11 250.10	171:3 173:12
Privacy	22 101:17,22,	programs	187:12,17
190:1	23 102:8,10,	169:24	188:11
private	11 103:9,11	progress	promoting
180:14	121:14 137:18	242:7	207:23 208:9,
	210:17 211:3	prohibit	10
privilege	216:14 217:3,	126:15 158:2	promulgate
137:18 177:12	7 230:15	169:15 186:20	221:20
privileged	242:5 271:13	190:12 192:14	promulgating
64:9 175:10,	272:7,15	prohibited	157:11 184:25
16,19	273:16 275:18	128:20 143:24	
probable	287:9 292:2	144:4,10,11	<pre>proper 55:1 241:18</pre>
61:13	297:25 298:3	147:4 149:19	
problem	processes	151:15 163:1	properly
308:4	52:13 53:5,7	167:24 187:8,	116:8
problematic	68:15	10 188:9,11	property
307:13 308:2	produced	198:19	53:6
	19:25	prohibiting	proponents
problems		157:22 167:11	105:9,16
232:3	product		proposal
progodure	207:24 208:1,	prohibition 170:16 171:13	185:16
procedure			
55:1,2	6,13	1/0.10 1/1.13	proposals

51:8 64:14,16 67:1	25 protective	34:1 72:5 115:5 118:6	published 104:7 148:16,
propose	59:15 125:25	149:7,23	25 149:9,23
53:19 64:21	176:22 177:4,	150:4,13	150:3,12
65:5 66:9	8	151:1 152:3	192:19 193:6,
67:10,23	provide	153:7,13,19	10,18 240:23
76:17 78:19	47:9 80:24	160:5 164:7	publishing
185:14 248:13	108:3 148:1	165:16,22	103:22
proposed	152:5,7	166:5,8 167:9	192:14,18,24
53:25 66:15	196:24 197:7	172:17 184:19	194:1,15
67:15 68:2	201:10 218:22	188:14 197:9	pull
71:10 76:16	249:22 250:1	198:22 199:18	296:15
79:3 80:8,24	255:13 275:13	206:2 207:2 220:13 221:10	purple
130:6,10	299:23 304:14	223:16 224:19	45:24 47:21
133:22 164:5,	305:17 310:1	223:16 224:19	48:8,21 94:25
20 220:11	provided	270:19,21	purpose
proposes	72:2 78:21		59:4 123:14
67:19	121:16 154:2,	provisions	140:24 141:3,
proposing	7 159:3	31:22 72:1	5 167:6
66:23	173:9,14,19,	114:7 115:13	
proposition	25 174:4,8,9,	124:16,17,25 130:25 132:19	<pre>purposes 92:9 150:20</pre>
305:11	12 186:22	140:15,17,25	151:1 166:10,
	189:25 197:1	141:6,21	14 202:8
pros	211:20 218:25	146:21 150:21	213:16 280:9,
226:14	247:21,22	151:12	12,16
protect	248:2,5,7,16	157:12	
220:5	250:6,20	159:24 161:15	pursuant
protected	251:1 257:24	166:2	236:22 245:10
82:12 140:20	258:8 273:9		pursue
141:13 148:14	275:22	public	21:15,18
149:4,5,14	298:13,15,17,	35:14 67:8	purview
151:20 152:5,	21,23 299:9	99:24 125:18	134:8,10
9,12,23 162:4	305:19	163:5,6 220:6	199:9
167:22 168:11	provider	272:4 299:16,	Pushaw
300:9	309:23	19,22,25 300:9,16	292:10,13,20
protecting	providing	310:2	put
140:19	81:14 137:5		56:13 141:10
protections	189:16 258:23	publicly	203:5 218:2
83:2 189:25	262:16 265:14	297:19 310:2	224:22 231:3
190:5 193:14,	provision	publish	257:13 274:15
,		100:1,5 192:3	

296:9 310:4	252.5 21	260:13,15	302:2 303:11
311:2	253:5,21 254:1 260:16,	267:13 274:2,	
	21 263:20	9 280:22	rates
putting	264:3,7,22	281:4 286:23	301:4
14:23 71:7	266:12,20,22	287:8,12	raw
252:11	277:16 278:5,	302:13 303:19	298:19,21,23
	15,21 279:20	305:18 310:23	299:1
Q	280:21 281:7,	311:1	Ray
qualifies	13,19,25		284:7
141:20	282:6,8,9	quick	reach
	287:16,24	7:10 63:17	137:15,20
qualify	289:3 291:4	quickly	reaches
41:22	293:15 297:13	79:12 225:4	155:7
qualitative	302:14,19,21	quote	
253:25	303:21,22	117:6 118:19,	read
quality	304:2,3,6,8,	23 119:22	16:6 20:4,5,6
29:9,13 30:7	15,16,19	195:13	33:15 78:10
quantity	305:15,25		107:3 110:21
234:24	questions	R	166:16,17
	7:10,11 71:3,		190:24
<pre>question 7:13,23 8:15</pre>	8,13 73:5	race	215:15,17
11:23 33:25	77:6,16 88:2,	170:9,25	240:12 292:17
54:2 57:3	5,6,7 89:10,	175:12 176:3,	301:13
59:14 60:6,	23 116:13,16	13 177:18,23	reading
11,18 74:20	134:21 139:25	181:24 182:3,	153:15 175:1
77:10 84:5,17	158:23 159:5,	8,17 183:5,17	reads
85:5 101:14	6,9,14,23	281:8	57:7 170:24
106:8 111:19	160:3,7,16	racial	175:9 202:5
114:25 140:4	161:14,16,17	186:2	254:10 257:22
145:21 146:6	208:19,25	racist	264:7 270:17
149:18 151:3	210:3,11,18,	186:7	278:22 279:24
160:18 166:16	22 211:10,18	raise	281:7,13
176:5 180:11	212:6,16	5:1 114:18	284:2
181:6 183:10	214:15 215:10	160:17	ready
200:20	216:13,15,16	233:20,23	203:20 263:23
204:13,24	217:11 218:8,	raised	297:21
205:16 215:16	25 231:11,13		real
217:15 231:15	233:23 239:3	114:11	7:9
232:7 233:4	243:11,12	range	
248:15,16	246:19 248:11	113:9 114:2	realize
252:1,8,9	252:2,4,7	rate	8:17 225:4
	253:4,7,9,12	301:7,23	274:4

	17•91	271 • 1 /	7:17 12:14,
	17:21 21:13,16	271:14 272:12,16	17,18,22
	22:9 231:8	293:5	63:20,24
	32:5 235:20	293.5	
•		recess	82:13,21
	36:9 237:1,	12:19 63:21	84:10 85:13
reasonable	239:11	139:9 203:24	111:5 117:9,
100.14	12:19 245:21	263:8 306:17	12,23 139:5,
reasons	50:17 253:9	recipients	8,12 166:9
106:17 236:17	57:18	112:14	188:16,19
276:21	59:13,14,17	recognize	189:1,4 190:8
11	70:3,9	10:8 11:8	192:1 198:6
0.04 10.0 2	71:11,17		203:21,23
7 0 10.1 10	77:3 292:1	13:1,20 14:13	204:2 263:7,
32:8 40:21	99:20	15:13 45:20 49:14 56:18	12 268:4
rec	alling		306:10,16,20
61:15,25 62:2	34:24	77:24 78:7,9	311:2,10
65:18,19,21 red	eive	85:23 88:13	312:12
00.0 70.22	0:21 86:20	90:16 91:15	recorded
00:17 07:20	3:13,14	109:9 118:13	166:14 193:6
00.1,4 00.13	11:10,13	135:6 136:11,	202:7
00.0,12,10,	14:20 137:8	12 194:24	recording
10,17,19	50:16 242:4	196:2 223:9	83:22,23
111.12,13	35:12 296:2,	224:2 228:18	85:12 103:21
113.23,23	307:15	229:7 243:20	104:1,6
114.4,9,10,14		283:22	113:7,12,18
	eived	recognized	
	1:12 91:22	202:7	114:2,7 115:5,12
	10:6 159:7	recollection	
, and the second	11:20 234:14	9:11 32:11,13	118:6 166:7,
·	12:6 299:16	61:5 62:11,15	24 167:6
	01:4,8,15	76:10 80:7	188:14 190:12
122:1 123:7	03:22 304:7	99:9 116:24	193:10 194:8,
124:2 130:8,	05:3	132:14,25	12 197:8
9,10,12,13,20 rec	eiving	197:2 268:24	198:9,17,22
133:5 135:8	11:20,23	272:25	199:17 202:5
136:17	12:20 114:4		recording's
138:16,23	50:3	recommendation	104:7
143:20 160:3,	ent	158:13	recordings
19 161:14.16	:5,7,19	recommended	82:7 83:15
162:7 164:8	05:21	43:13,24	84:9,22
175:1 177:25		record	189:24 192:4,
197:1,19,22 rec	ently	4:3,14 5:20	15,24 194:1,

15 199:3,6	refining	67:15 124:25	165:12
202:20 203:7	210:18,22	138:14 169:16	religion
records	211:18 212:6,	176:15	170:10
82:2,11 83:2,	16 267:12,16	related	remain
4,5 190:6	reflect	55:10 67:7	265:25
220:6 299:17,	108:7 301:3	77:17 82:22	
19,22,25	reflected	86:14 88:3	remember
300:9	106:6	104:16 108:4,	9:16 40:22
recount		12 154:9	54:15 61:23
228:5	reflects	179:3 180:5	24 62:6,19 71:25 79:9
recruit	50:13 107:8,	190:6 270:18	80:12 88:7
	17,19	286:7,9,18	100:20 109:
71:11 117:7,	refresh	relates	
11,22	9:10 80:7	125:21 133:15	114:12 115:
recruitment	99:9 123:17	208:8	132:8 217:7
29:18 30:11	132:5,14	relating	221:25 235:
32:21 71:22	134:24 174:18	137:3,7	236:6 237:1
refer	240:15 269:22		24 240:10
25:15 125:23	regard	relation	24 240:10
176:20 177:6	124:21 130:24	179:7	250:7 254:3
209:12 311:10	131:12,15	relations	268:14,18,2
reference	134:12,17	30:13 68:14,	269:13,19
89:5 120:10	151:7 168:25	19 69:12	273:8 274:1
227:19 284:7	177:9 210:5	72:15,16,25	282:7 291:3
referenced	211:10 226:11	73:24 87:7	20,21,24
106:10	248:8	93:21,23	
	Register	119:7 120:3	remind
references	54:1	122:2	31:13 90:9
87:11	registered	relationship	311:16
referring	73:25 74:2,5	63:12	reminded
79:20 131:5	87:8 122:19	relationships	237:2
132:11 136:21		197:25	removed
227:2 230:4	regular 162:12		34:17 217:8
refers		released	renew
126:13 251:23	regularly	240:17	21:17
258:13 259:7,	90:13 114:24	releasing	
8	115:1 158:25	159:22	renewed
refined	regulations	relevant	41:25
210:3,10	52:20 199:22	52:14 69:13	reopen
·	201:11	93:8	310:20
refinements		1	

42:22	57:24 132:11	requesting	requirements
repeat	230:22	93:15 165:20	41:20 137:6
60:11 84:13	represent	166:1	158:19 218:4,
repeated	9:9 81:3,11	requests	14
184:15	245:23 294:20	54:14 55:21,	requires
rephrase	representative	25 65:7 98:12	73:9 152:7
84:7 131:13	4:5 5:10	220:6 299:17,	218:19 219:9
195:18	72:20 97:20	19	222:13,16
	98:2 312:10	require	234:4 290:22
replaced	representatives	42:15,19	requiring
28:25 34:2	73:2 122:8,12	43:2,4,5	126:17
263:9		44:13,19,24	127:12,24
reply	represented	45:2 58:8	222:23
86:20	75:7 237:3	74:18 79:21	rerunning
report	246:14	198:10 209:24	310:17
23:12,13,22	representing	219:14,21,25	
36:24 37:3,4	137:19	223:2 251:19,	research
40:5 58:18	reprisal	21 290:23	22:5 26:14
162:11	260:18,24	required	148:15,25
218:19,21	261:5,6,17	39:23 42:2	149:9,24
219:3 299:4,	278:8,12	43:16 54:23,	150:3,12
10 300:17	Republican	24 65:7,10	168:10 230:6
301:17 303:4,	285:20,24	68:1 103:7	reside
15,18,21	288:18,20,22	127:25 148:1	296:19
304:10,12,14		158:7 159:17,	resolution
305:5,16,22	request 48:25 49:5	19 163:25	110:25 111:3,
306:1		164:2 217:24	23 112:3,16,
reported	54:8,11,15,	221:2,8	17 113:2
61:13 62:3	18,25 55:3,6,	226:12 300:18	117:3
162:13 218:3	9,11,14,15,		resolutions
308:15	18,20 56:5	requirement	111:10,13
	98:13,16 165:14 250:25	118:21 119:11	
reporter 4:15 7:16 8:2	298:5,9	138:7 162:10	resource 98:17
166:16	298:3,9	164:23 208:25	
	10	209:18 212:19	respect
reporting		213:11	59:21 60:4
4:12 47:5	requested	214:17,23	125:12,13
218:15 305:2	98:11,20	216:2,8	126:11 127:5
reports	99:10,11	218:11 237:7	132:23 176:23
23:25 26:15	130:14 166:17	286:5,15	177:16
37:6,9 44:20	215:17 300:4	288:25	184:12,18
			200:12 203:13

266:15	303:18 304:1,	117:3	revolved
	7,15 305:2,6,		253:19
respond 253:3,16	17 307:9,16	reuse 104:23	Richard
281:11,17,24	308:8,15		22:22,24 28:9
282:2,4,6	responsibilitie	revealing	
299:25	s	204:13	Richmond
	24:8 29:11,13	reveals	50:7,9,10
responded 309:15	262:25	9:5	rights
	responsibility	review	106:19 190:1
respondent	26:2 47:4	10:24 22:11	294:5,11
234:1 252:6	67:24 68:14	39:15,18,20	robust
253:13 309:20		42:5 49:1	192:10
respondents	responsible 24:13 135:9	50:1 99:13,20	Rodrigues
234:8 266:5,	276:16	100:2 103:10,	76:8 78:11
18 309:21		15 124:3	97:20,23,24
responding	rest 216:14	154:13 158:13 160:13 166:11	98:2 284:4,7,
251:19 309:8		184:3 217:3	16,20 285:5,
response	restriction	247:18 256:1	13,19 288:8
75:9 232:16,	233:12,16	290:12,19,21	299:11
23 233:9,17	result	291:2,6	Rodrigues'
234:1 250:24	80:11 192:9	296:10	287:3
252:11,24	291:11	reviewed	Rogers
290:3,8	results	10:19 11:15,	10:23 211:6
293:17,19,22	107:5 218:16,	24 12:8 16:6,	289:16
298:9,17 299:8,17,23	24 220:5	7,10 19:24	role
300:3 301:4,	299:5 304:13	20:3,6 90:24	29:12,21 30:7
7,23 302:2	retain	91:3 137:11	35:23 39:5
303:11 309:12	42:8 117:7,	138:6,13	54:21 55:7
	11,23	210:25 238:20	69:1,3,5 87:5
responses 12:3 19:23	retained	249:18 270:25	133:1 147:24
69:16 159:8	271:6	271:4,12,18	148:3 158:5
232:12 233:20	retention	309:11	roles
234:4,9,15,	29:19 30:11	reviewing	24:7 30:8,14
16,19,24	32:21	13:12 185:6	47:7 148:7
251:14 253:14	return	269:14 270:3,	rough
267:2 295:24,	188:14 252:18	5	123:8 312:1
25 296:2,5,12	returned	revised	roughly
297:9 299:21	21:24	290:10	6:4 16:24
301:11,19,20,	returning	revisit	18:1 61:1
24 302:8	50:5 113:2	164:14	229:5 305:9

routine	159:20 164:6,	satisfied	second-to-the-
89:19 92:22	22 165:2,7,	209:18	last
93:14 191:3	12,21 166:1	satisfies	292:9
	184:12,18	216:8	
routinely 66:11	189:8 197:24		secondary 23:16
	199:17 200:12	satisfy	
routing	220:12,20	208:25	Seconded
45:25	221:3	SB	307:4
row	rules	75:7 96:18	seconds
48:9,11	7:9 24:15	SBOE	306:12
104:14 105:9,	52:1,2,4,20	104:15	secretary
19 224:15	53:1 57:18	scale	35:2
rows	80:3 158:7,8	281:20	section
47:24	165:6 200:18	282:20,22	58:3 80:2
rule	201:1,12,18	scenario	98:1 100:8
32:16 41:19	220:21,23	131:4	101:5 102:15
42:3,7,9,21,	221:2,4,6		103:14 104:11
22 43:6,9,15	ruling	schedule	105:5 107:22,
51:18 52:10,	131:6	19:9 34:6 250:11	24 108:2
15 53:19,20,			137:4 143:4
25 54:5,18	run 27:21 E1:17	Schneider	160:23
80:10,16	37:21 51:17 52:14	5:9,21	162:16,20
154:6,8		scholarships	163:2 169:13,
157:11,15	running	72:1	20,23 170:22
158:10,20,21,	53:5 213:20,	school	171:1,15
24 159:1,2,11	24	21:24 26:17	173:3 182:5,
165:15 166:5	runs	29:16 30:25	11,18 183:6,
184:13,15,21,	24:25	31:3 41:13,	18 184:6,10,
25 185:2,4,5,	rush	14,17 45:5	12,25 185:3,
6,9,16,17,18,	96:3	61:7,20	7,23 191:17,
19 189:19		62:16,17	23 192:4
220:25 221:8,	S	63:15	201:16,22
9,19,21,24		schools	231:13 240:21
222:7 224:15,	salaries	59:21 125:18	280:16
19,23 225:13	62:16 63:15	scope	sections
rule-making	Sandi	59:24 150:19,	124:21
51:23 52:7,	4:11	22 236:16	sector
13,18 53:12,	Santa	239:7	72:18
17,18 54:9,12	302:3,4	seats	secure
79:8 80:8	310:13	262:24	49:2
157:13,17			
		1	1

seek	sending	serve	sex
18:10 112:7,	112:4,9 302:5	33:11,21 34:2	170:10 171:1
10,18 177:4,8	310:13	38:23 39:8	175:12
239:25	senior	122:12	178:10,16,24
seeking	16:20 23:14,	served	179:7,10,11,
299:23	15,16,17,19	22:18 31:24	19 180:2,18,
select	48:3 51:16	serves	23 181:2,6,
24:25 206:16,	68:16 73:23	35:10	11,19
24.23.200.10,	89:17 90:7,		sexist
238:23 266:13	10,13 246:7	service	186:12
282:2	sense	38:13	SF
	17:8,12 38:6	services	302:2,3
selected	40:19 80:13	29:20	
39:3 207:4,12 240:21 251:14	119:15 123:8,	session	share
	13 131:1	65:23 67:6,	191:1 193:22 241:25 247:5
selecting	206:15 209:11	18,21 100:25	
39:6 206:3,5,	234:13	101:1,9	264:19 284:4, 24
8 239:2	274:13,15	113:21 116:10	
selection	298:2	123:3,6,15,	shared
240:21 241:8	sentence	20,25 124:6	95:21 121:25
selling	57:6 80:1	131:22	122:2 212:2
53:6	103:20 108:10	set	247:1 258:20
semester	110:10 120:6	10:14 11:20	265:1,3
21:7,8	191:20,25	13:4,16,24	275:20
	198:15 202:24	14:7 15:6,17,	284:15,19
senate 33:9 38:21	245:15 257:21	19 23:5 33:11	286:11,25
75:4,12 77:12	277:23 294:2	34:6 35:11	299:10,12 300:13
78:14 86:4		37:19 38:23	
88:3 97:16	sentences 92:16	39:8,11 41:21	sharing
98:21 101:12		42:4 46:16	82:12 288:11
112:16	separate	48:14 50:3	300:15
	37:15,25 55:9	91:1 161:3,9	Shays
senator	73:16 76:5	283:21 299:20	135:16
76:8 78:11	September	sets	she'd
80:21 97:23,	219:3 223:13,	243:11	87:12
24 284:4,7,	21 224:18	setting	she'll
15,19 285:5,	226:2 228:3	12:6 233:11	112:3
12,19 287:3	series	251:24	
288:8 299:11	7:10 92:4		sheet
send	159:6	settings	45:24 46:16
40:1 195:13		251:13,17,22	50:1 93:22
		260:15	94:16 95:15

Shelby	17:25	249:5,7,9	solicit
70:14	show	sitting	69:7,20 70:1
shield	11:4	272:19	92:20 240:18
142:11 143:12	shows	situation	288:18,22
shielded	290:5 303:21	76:19 107:25	soliciting
141:12 149:23	sign	108:7,19	285:16
150:11 153:19	40:7 45:9	145:11 150:7	somebody's
278:22 279:5,	51:13 69:17	151:25 153:2	155:13 180:17
12	185:18 196:17	168:13 215:3	someone's
shielding	sign-off	264:17 308:10	180:1
140:14,16,24	44:24 45:3	situations	sophomore
141:5,15,16,	53:16 68:1	158:17 184:2	246:7 248:20
20 142:5,15,	73:9 74:24	skip	
18 143:1,18,	94:19,21 95:1	252:9 253:17	sort
24 144:2,4,7,	103:9	274:8 283:14	21:21 24:25
10,11,23		slides	37:23 65:5 72:8,25 95:2
145:6,14,18,	<pre>signature 13:8 14:3</pre>	46:17	72.8,25 95.2° 98:4 114:17
25 146:4,9,	15:2,21		136:24 161:9
14,20,24,25	292:18	slightly	162:15 175:7
147:5,24		93:13	239:7 256:23
149:7,8,14,	signed	slip	264:17 308:4
16,19 150:21	81:17 94:23	47:21 48:8,21	
151:1,15,22	102:23 236:24	50:12 95:2	sorts
152:8,13,24	signs	slips	44:7
153:7,13	99:15	94:25	sound
157:11,15	similar	SM	7:25 28:3
159:24 160:5	17:23 35:18	232:11	75:8 188:3
161:15,20,23	47:7 72:6	small	237:4
162:9,24	76:2,3 93:10,	120:7	sounds
163:10 165:21	12 98:1 100:3		86:13 110:15
166:2,5	137:12 159:13	SMM	123:24 150:6
167:8,12,18,	256:16,18	231:24	210:9 259:14
25	257:17 307:23	Snyder	265:21 308:22
Shirley	308:16	112:8,23	sources
191:5 211:7	simple	social	285:9
short	42:23	51:8,21	South
148:11 203:17	single	238:17 264:5,	20:13
254:1 272:2	93:16 201:17	9,10,19 265:4	speak
306:7	232:12,16,23	soft	16:12,15,21
shorter	233:9,17	207:24	18:15,18,21,

25 64:2	239:6,11	12	54:11 107:18
138:7,9	246:18 272:3		166:4 222:6
139:17 204:6	275:16 276:2	sponsor	
205:5 263:16	278:13 282:8	97:20,22	stamp
280:14 306:24	289:8 291:5	102:4 285:2	257:7 309:11
	300:8 307:19	286:12,16	standard
speaking 17:13 18:5,16		288:12,20	41:24 42:1
87:12 89:24	speech 106:18 107:1	sponsored	51:2
95:6 158:16	140:19	98:1 286:25	stands
271:22	140:19	288:13	47:2
	141:17,19,23	spot	start
Specialist	142:1,2,3	36:6	11:13 17:19
30:15,16,17	22 151:20	spring	27:9 30:18
specific	152:5,9,12,23	21:7 229:5,6	92:23 101:5
44:12 54:2,15	162:4 168:11	staff	116:22 191:24
66:4 77:5	191:21 192:8,	6:22 26:23,24	237:18 257:19
101:17 103:9	9 193:15,20	27:1,6 29:7	started
113:23 120:20	194:2 200:5	30:6 47:19	6:21 21:8
136:14 142:24	255:15	50:14,17	27:10 30:15
147:8 152:8		51:16 68:15	101:8,12
153:15 159:2	speeches	70:11 72:19	252:3,17
161:16 162:5	141:13	73:23 81:8,12	268:22,24
182:21 187:5	spell	87:1,4 98:14	269:3,8
221:16 237:20	115:21	99:18 144:14	starting
239:19 240:3,	Spencer	160:10 161:8	77:6 111:17
4 246:19	228:21,22	210:23 211:13	113:4 117:4
257:2 260:5,	238:14 308:22	238:8 242:17	170:6 269:5
12 261:14	309:11	269:21 280:18	170.0 209.5
273:2	spend	294:17,19	starts
specifically	- 271:22	staff's	78:1 86:1
19:19 45:13	spent	72:24 87:5	91:19 92:3
55:17 56:6	17:13 18:5		103:17 118:16
60:6 114:8	30:3 260:11	staffing	191:20 223:12
117:20 119:6		53:5	292:9 307:6
124:17 126:23	spoke	stage	310:9
130:20 134:3,	16:17 17:16	121:23	Stasi
13,15 144:6,	18:2,12	staggered	50:21
20 149:17	204:21,25	24:24 33:12	state
151:3,6	205:12 238:6	stakeholder	5:20 15:16
200:17 202:23	265:2 272:9	105:6	20:14,24
210:5 217:21	spoken	stakeholders	21:6,10 30:22
227:16 230:17	228:5 272:11,	stakenolders	34:13 35:6,15

36:9 37:6,24 42:20 43:4,7, 15 51:18 52:3,9 53:13, 16 54:1,6 55:13 57:7,25 58:15 59:1 61:14,19,24 62:20 63:13, 14 64:15,24 65:8,14,15 73:20 79:20 80:2 104:12 143:11,15 146:14,25 149:11,13 154:8 158:9, 20 159:1,19 164:21 185:20 198:5 206:21 207:5 212:8, 10,15 220:22 221:4 226:16, 17 227:7,10 228:10 244:1, 3,4 245:16,20 246:16 254:13,17 272:10 274:19 291:9 stated 177:11,12 statement 14:19,21,24 79:7 80:16 108:22,25 262:10 266:12 294:24 295:3 statements	\$\frac{1}{58:23}\$ 59:8 77:8 106:21 107:16 111:7 143:13 165:18 169:18 170:13 193:19 206:17 290:1 \$\frac{1}{200:11}\$ \$\frac{1}{24:11}\$ 22:8 233:21 234:19,21 \$\frac{1}{24:11}\$ 215:13 207:7,14 214:11 215:13 217:24,25 218:15 234:8 287:15 \$\frac{1}{24:15}\$ \$\frac{1}{24:15}\$ 232:15 \$\frac{1}{24:15}\$ 234:8 \$\frac{1}{24:15}\$ 234:8 \$\frac{1}{25:15}\$ 234:8 \$\frac{1}{25:15}\$ 234:8 \$\frac{1}{25:15}\$ 234:8 \$\frac{1}{25:15}\$	155:1,7,17 157:13,24 158:1,6 159:3,19 161:23 162:15 168:5,14 170:8 174:5 184:14 197:17,21 199:2,5,21,23 200:5,13 201:1,17,19 203:7,12 207:8 209:19, 24 210:5 214:4,6 216:15 218:18 238:23 239:19 280:9 289:6,8 290:22 295:10,13 300:9,18 statutes 24:16 66:10 137:4 159:15 190:1 199:7 statutory 64:20 158:19 stay 296:13 stem 193:1,3 stenographer 4:11 5:1,7 50:20 193:2 230:10 280:14 302:20 step	### stepped
108:22,25 262:10 266:12 294:24 295:3	124:21 143:17 144:25 145:7,	230:10 280:14 302:20	structure 38:6 122:16

04.0 01 05.4	141.11 140.14	100.04	
84:8,21 85:4,	141:11 149:14	189:24	substitute
12 117:8	150:11 151:19	Subjecting	169:7
144:22 145:2,	152:9,12,23	169:18	subtitles
4,9,11,12,15	172:11,16	subjective	311:20
153:21 160:25	188:16,19	155:14 157:5	succeeded
166:9,23	192:1,2	subjects	28:9
167:3 171:2,	194:7,11,14	22:5	succession
4,25 173:3	198:15 201:6		279:6
182:12 187:13	206:19 218:25	submit	
189:1 190:6,	230:25 246:8,	54:25 56:8	sued
7,12 191:17	13,21 248:19	234:8	163:16,22
198:5 199:8,	255:14 260:10	submits	sufficient
24 202:6,21,	261:3,7,12,19	99:20 102:4	51:13
25 203:8	262:2 264:18	submitted	suggesting
209:14 217:16	268:14 278:5,	16:8 20:7	193:14
218:9 242:16,	15,22 279:3,4	84:8,21	
23 257:11	280:18 290:10	90:20,21,23	suggestions
261:14,23	291:5,8,15,18	99:23 122:3	78:22,23,25
262:6,11,13	295:2,23	234:16	suggests
265:15 266:5,	301:15,17		120:22 261:6,
9 267:8,22,25	302:6,9,10	submitting	12
268:15,25	308:11	233:19	suit
269:4,11	students'	subpoenaed	17:4 31:18,21
272:20,22	82:2,11 150:2	32:24	248:10
273:4,11,13,	266:23	subsection	suited
19 275:6,9,20	study	57:19 58:3	245:16,20
276:2 278:3	20:18 21:9	79:14 142:9	246:1,16
295:14,16,21,	22:1 166:11	143:7 148:13	247:20
24 301:6,9,14	290:11	163:3 166:20	
302:1,5,11,		186:16 189:23	summarizing
19,21 308:15	studying	192:23 193:3	160:15
309:8	21:6	280:10,13	summary
student's	sub-point	subsequent	105:10,20
82:13 262:10	229:23 232:11	95:12	108:3 299:2
267:9 291:14	subject		summer
294:4,10	31:17 33:8	substance	9:10 161:5
students	35:7 38:20	140:6	229:6 237:19
40:10,14,15,	39:15,20	substantially	299:13
16,18,24	84:23 86:17	117:8	supervise
	93:1 125:20	Substantive	24:11
83:15 132:20,	171:1,25	107:22	
21 140:19	173:3 182:11		supervisor

			I
16:18,19 18:8	19,22 213:12,	12 256:1,5	233:8 251:18
support	14,16,19,21	257:11,16,22	273:23,24
26:19 37:20	214:9,19,22	258:6,19	274:4,16,17,
66:3 106:15	215:1,4,12,	260:6,10	21,23,25
111:14	14,19,21	266:13 267:3,	275:4 276:14,
supports	216:7,12	4,5,6,23	17 296:7,8,13
64:15 71:22	217:16,20,24	268:1,10,13,	297:3 309:18,
111:3	218:2,5,20	15,16,23,25	25
	219:5,11,15,	269:1,4,6,9,	surveys
suppose	21 220:1,6,12	11,12,15	77:7 206:12,
9:12 70:23	221:10 222:8,	270:3,5,18,	17,18 209:17,
143:25 179:2	10,17,20	19,21,22	18,22 230:21
207:23 208:22	223:2,16	271:12	238:24 239:20
213:23 215:7	224:19	272:20,21,22,	240:2,6
240:13 253:23	225:13,25	24 273:4,5,	241:16 242:20
supposed	226:3,5,12,	10,11,13,14,	245:9,16,19
213:16 215:12	16,22 228:9	19 274:2	247:2 248:14
266:18 267:1	229:4,24	275:3,6,9,10	254:12 271:6,
suppressing	230:15,19	276:17,24	18 273:2,3
141:16	231:7 232:16,	277:14 278:3,	275:19 276:2
	22 233:7,20,	14,18 279:21	286:1 301:8
suppression	21 234:1,7,9,	281:5 282:3	
192:9	15 235:20,21,	283:4,5,7,12	SUS
survey	25 236:2,4,8,	284:4,15	117:7 120:24
19:9,13,23	12,16,17,21	285:6 286:5,	147:11 276:19
22:1 104:16,	237:7,14,25	20 287:12,13,	SUS/BOG
23 105:1	238:13,17,23	21 288:4,14,	120:8
106:18 107:1	239:4,6,10,	16,25 289:9	suspect
111:6 118:20	12,14,16,22	290:18 291:1,	213:5
119:10 120:11	240:5,8,9,17,	12,16 294:6,9	swap
121:16,17,22	25 241:25	295:2,8,10,	262:23
122:3 138:20	242:4,8,10,	15,16,19,22	
164:6 165:15	11,17,21,23,	296:9 301:9,	swapped
206:2,4,16,	24 243:1,8,25	15,17,18	260:24
21,25 207:2,	244:16,18,22	302:5,12,14,	swear
3,7,12,17,18,	245:3 247:18,	18,19,21	4:15 5:2
20,24 208:5,	19,23 248:8,9	303:18 309:9,	Swonson
12,18,19,24	249:13 250:12	12,15,19,21	50:14 78:5
209:5,8,12,	251:13,14,19,	310:18,20	86:21 99:1
14,15,25	25 252:3,13,		220:15 227:13
210:1,3,4,8,	17,22,25	Surveymonkey	235:11 293:23
14 212:8,11,	253:13 255:3,	231:20,23,25	294:16
	200-10 200-01	232:3,6,15,20	
			1

Swonson's	228:10,11	200:21 214:1	119:7 226:21,
81:10 103:2	245:17,20,24	215:14 245:1	24 227:9,17,
	246:17 247:21	265:6 291:4	21 277:2
swore	254:24 256:15	talks	294:1
8:2	274:19 282:11	151:6 199:21	
sworn	291:9,10	280:7	teams 245:1
5:12			
synced	systems 308:12	Tallahassee	technical
311:15,16,19	300.12	4:9	24:13 47:9
system		Taryn	51:10 66:9,
22:16 24:14	T	292:21	16,23 158:22
25:10,12,13,	table	task	160:15 171:22
15,21 26:1,7,	50:13 223:24	50:1	174:3,12,20
11,19 31:22	224:2,4,5,8	tasked	175:1 189:13
35:15 36:1	225:7 303:10,	52:6	275:1 276:21
37:12,15,16,	15,23		technically
20,21,23	tables	tasks	233:18
38:1,7,9	304:9	206:3	telling
40:11 41:1,4,		taught	116:16 177:25
8 45:4 46:14	tailored	31:2,3	tend
47:3 52:17	108:14	Taylor	70:7
55:11 57:2,	taking	118:17 119:23	
11,17,22 58:5	8:11 187:6	122:6,10	tenure 27:7 41:5,6,9
59:14 73:15	253:13 269:10	223:12,20,23	66:6
79:21 92:10	282:3 283:4,7	teach	
96:25 110:19	291:15	151:10 243:5	term
114:1 117:15,	talk	teacher	23:6 25:15
19 137:5	37:14 113:20	27:11,14	33:17,19 34:3
140:18	148:9 206:2	28:13 29:4	35:11 39:12
143:11,14	238:1 246:23	30:25 133:3	154:5 173:7,
149:12 150:24	250:11 276:20	151:18 182:15	12,17,23
151:20 152:4,	289:8 295:10	183:3,8,11,15	175:22 186:2,
10,21 153:5	talked		7,12 218:15
156:10 158:18	89:16 114:22	teachers 29:16 132:21	278:1 280:13,
160:4 161:21	123:4 158:10	184:5,8	16 296:13
162:6,23	236:6 266:22		terminology
163:9 167:10,	267:12 272:14	teaching	274:20
23 168:20	291:22	21:17 29:16	terms
169:25 170:2,	talking	41:5 147:19	17:7 19:4
17 195:17,20	40:17 80:19,	150:2	20:15 21:4
196:12 209:9	24 81:3 187:8	team	23:21 24:24
226:17,18	21 01.3 107.0	73:1 80:19	25:5 33:11,

		l .	
12,13,21,24	100:3 113:21	time	65:11 273:16
38:23 39:8	116:16,20	4:3 6:21 7:6	276:12
54:21 64:20	121:10 134:22	17:22,23 18:5	Timothy
73:8,20 74:17	141:13 148:12	21:4,21 30:1	289:16,24
75:2 76:16	159:20 160:22	31:12 39:13	title
124:22 172:21	168:10 178:7	41:24 42:1,4	90:9 110:15
182:5 189:23	179:2 187:11	47:18 50:14	190:23
233:7 267:11	199:24 201:13	64:14,15	
302:8	206:18 216:18	65:16 69:23,	titled
testified	248:10,12	25 81:8 84:14	111:2
5:13 31:8,12	256:2 269:11	87:4 88:23,25	titles
32:14	272:8 273:1,	89:14 92:7	293:5
testify	25 274:1	94:6 104:4	today
8:9 11:17	286:19 310:6	105:15,18	5:23 6:25
174:19	thinking	106:2 107:9,	8:9,12 11:1,
	53:23 116:17	11,20 108:6,	18,21 16:13
testifying	161:25 264:19	15 114:14	124:4 158:11
8:6	274:2	115:19 138:24	174:19 213:16
testimony	thinks	139:1 158:5	271:20 272:19
5:3 7:1,4	261:24 262:7,	184:1 188:22	311:12
8:19 11:1	14	203:16 226:4	today's
32:9 73:3,8		228:23 230:18	16:2 18:11,
140:7 271:2	third-to-the-	237:16,24	19,22 19:1
text	last	238:21 242:12	20:3 312:9
142:8 244:9	13:7	243:7 244:22	
theories	thought	245:2 248:4	told
128:12	66:19 107:18	255:2 260:7,	249:17 301:16
	140:5 197:16	11,12 264:4,8	tolerant
theory	198:1 232:5	268:23 271:22	279:25
181:21	259:17 307:12	272:7,16	tolerated
thing	thoughts	275:4 276:5	280:6
105:13 133:10	113:16 275:22	295:12 309:14	tomorrow
148:24 198:2	thousand	310:24 311:1	119:23
200:21 241:5	234:15	timeline	
245:25 246:20		120:8,14	tonight
255:12 260:8	Thurman	121:11,12,24	80:20
things	191:10	219:10,12	Tonya
19:10 20:8	Thursday	254:11	135:16
25:5 43:3,10	271:20	times	top
44:12 51:8,17	Tim	10:13 31:10	49:12 79:19
53:4,6,8	4:24	32:1 34:8,11	99:1 134:4
71:10 76:20		32.1 34.8,11	135:20 164:17

160.6 105.04	106.15	200.15 201.0	
169:6 185:24	126:17	200:17 201:8,	type
191:13 227:12	127:13,24	12	51:3 216:15
231:13 245:5	128:4,5	truth	224:9
257:6 277:11	169:20,24	5:4,5	typed
309:10	171:2,25	truthfully	94:15 225:9
top-right	172:1 173:3	8:9	types
254:8	182:12,21	Tuesday	147:9 230:24
topic	183:12 186:22	101:1	typical
54:19 108:4	trainings		36:9 70:6
125:5,12	169:16	turn	81:19 87:9
131:3 160:22	transcript	11:12 13:7	114:24 134:21
175:9 176:15	20:5 311:19,	14:2 15:1,20	160:9
270:15,25	25 312:5,6	49:11 50:12	
271:3	transcripts	58:2 78:1,15	typically
topics	10:19,22,24	79:13 91:18	68:13 69:15
11:13,15,18		100:21 102:12	70:4 72:22
19:7,11,17	transfer	105:3 110:8	89:15,17 90:2
70:10 127:20,	59:1 62:8	143:3 169:12	96:20 98:13
22,25 128:9,	transition	170:5,21	158:22 161:7
22 133:2,4,14	272:20 279:10	185:22 191:16	typo
187:8,22,23	transitioning	224:14 225:19	243:17
	279:2	231:12 245:5	
total 287:14 301:6	transmits	254:8 257:5	υ
	56:4	266:10 268:2,	1 1
track	treat	3 270:12,13	Uh-huh
67:12	75:15 303:18	281:3 289:15,	68:6 94:8
tracked		23 293:6	175:8 181:8
136:9	treated	303:7,17	182:5 241:17
tracker	180:22	305:14 307:2,	285:11 305:23
94:1 96:5	true	5	ultimately
224:23 225:5	10:21 90:14	turned	239:17 251:2
tracking	109:1 208:22	77:15 100:22	252:5 288:14
68:14 92:25	209:3 215:7	233:11 268:25	unable
93:22 94:13	233:10 240:13	turning	8:9 58:6,20
95:15 224:4,5	trustees	48:23 80:17	61:21 62:4
	38:10,11,18	95:3 119:18	unaware
tracks	39:4,10,11,	193:12 279:19	105:15
67:7	22,23 40:5	306:4 310:8	
training	41:21 52:19	two-thirds	uncertainty
71:9,12,23	53:4,14 57:17	40:23	107:5
72:3,4,7,8	199:9,21,22		unclear
			104:6
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	F0:01 04	040.00 040.0	21.6.25.15
uncomfortable	52:21,24	248:22 249:3,	31:6 35:15
140:21 153:22	55:24 61:8,16	10 286:14	143:11,23
154:1,3,11	62:24 63:2	287:19 304:23	144:1,3
155:1,4,7,15,	71:20 81:16	understands	147:14 149:13
18,23 156:2,	83:1,5,12	155:21 156:1	212:8 226:17
5,7,11 157:8	100:24 101:21	understood	228:10 238:17
undergraduate	102:3,9	6:24 17:6	239:3 240:7,
20:13,15	103:25 104:3	34:15 38:2	16 245:22
underneath	106:5,10,14,	44:22 51:20	246:3,21
23:20 169:21	24 107:2,9,	54:4 63:16	266:15 274:19
	11,19 110:5,	66:21 77:11	291:10
understand	12,14 122:10	78:13 80:14	unknown
6:25 7:14	124:9,23	82:17 91:7	105:13,18
8:5,14 9:13,	125:16	94:2 99:14	Unlawful
16,20,25	126:10,20	101:6 103:12	169:13
11:1,23 24:17	127:9,17	110:24 113:1	
36:24 82:6	128:2 129:3,	127:8 177:14	unpublished
83:14 84:20	13 131:25	185:21 188:13	148:17
104:19 128:6,	132:17,22	200:3 201:21	unusual
13 140:14	133:14		285:1
144:9 146:18	140:16,23	203:4,15 205:20 214:13	unwelcome
152:3 153:2	141:2,9,11		153:6 155:18
156:10,13	142:13 144:13	225:12,17	unwelcomed
166:7 168:21,	153:25	226:16,22,24,	140:21 153:22
24 169:9,15,	154:16,20	25 244:5	
23 170:8,15	155:6 162:22	257:4 281:2	154:17,19
171:13,14,19	172:22 173:6,	303:24 305:4,	156:3,16,19,
179:10 180:4,	11,16,22	13 306:2	22 157:8 278:23
7 181:22	175:15,21	311:8	
183:10 184:11	178:15,19	unified	unwilling
187:7 188:19	179:16,25	243:1	58:6,20 61:21
190:4 193:9,	180:16,25	uniform	62:4
13 196:7	181:4 184:17	50:24	update
206:6 217:23	186:1,6,11	union	119:23 223:20
225:24 231:19	187:2,15	107:14	227:16
232:15 261:3,	192:13		updates
4 266:20	196:10,14	unique	242:3,6
284:6 288:24	197:6 206:20	243:11	
293:16	207:11,16	universities	user-friendly
304:16,18,21	210:24 212:18	143:15	261:16
understanding	228:8,12	university	
27:13,16	233:2,3	20:14 28:23	
'			•

	vice-chancellor	278:7,11,17	222:11,17
v	18:13 88:22	views	251:14,24
vaguely	160:24 161:2	69:7,21 70:2	291:12 295:1
229:9	video	81:4,10,11	vote
	82:20,21	95:17 106:6,	42:16,19
Valencia	103:22 104:1	11,19,25	43:2,6,9
115:17 118:2	139:5 189:2,4	107:1,17	53:11 54:6
valid	193:6 198:6	250:19	58:9 61:20,2
207:7,14	311:12,23	258:14,16	62:1 185:8,
214:11 215:13	312:4	265:19	11,13
217:24,25	video-recorded	282:10,14,17,	votes
218:15 234:8	4:4	23 283:9	42:23,24
287:15		294:20,21	
validity	view	Vikki	voting
104:17 233:21	85:11 96:9,	191:5 211:6	110:3 112:4
234:19,22	13,18 147:3		
values	172:4 182:6,	violate	W
	16 183:4,9,11	145:19,25	!+
254:18,22	193:22 198:9,	146:9,21	wait
255:6,21,24	12,21 212:21,	147:14 150:4	97:24
variety	23 216:21,25	153:7,13	waiting
260:14 278:16	217:5 218:1	174:5 213:11	121:24 263:2
280:19 285:9	222:19	214:3,16,23	Walker
296:10	265:13,18,24	288:25 289:6	59:15
vary	288:2,11	294:10 295:1	Walker's
51:3 67:21	294:8,13,25	violates	59:25 125:10
93:13 216:22	295:7 297:11	106:19 107:1	
verbally	viewpoint	168:5	wanted
7:18 160:18	137:7 138:20	violating	66:2 91:8
298:7	206:12 207:19	192:4	205:24 252:3
	210:7 214:5,6		7 253:21
version	218:12 254:18	violation	ways
10:9 49:20,25	256:4,23	82:2 144:24	71:10 181:9
99:23 114:15	280:17 284:3	145:7 150:13	232:13
121:17,18	294:9	162:19 163:1	233:12,13,16
122:2 192:14	viewpoints	193:4,7 294:4	267:7 283:12
217:18 257:10	113:9 114:3	virtue	we'
258:5 268:22		112:12 180:23	295:15
versions	257:23 258:7,	voluntarily	webinar
116:11	20,22,23	162:14	
vice	259:3 260:1,	voluntary	159:8 160:13
89:1	11,13,15		17
	264:21 265:1	34:13 220:1	

website	101:14 126:15	workings	yesterday
100:5	180:23	102:7	271:14
Webster's	219:18,20	works	272:10,11
179:5	247:20 260:4	55:12 94:9	Yvette
Wednesday	273:21 274:14	worthwhile	24:6
68:23	279:8,13	120:23	
week	worded	write	
10:25 228:6	248:11	54:17 158:7,8	
271:20 272:13	words		Zoom
295:25	110:1 133:6,	writing	4:1
weeks	9,13 140:22	118:19 225:3	
17:10,14	202:24 203:11	writings	
•	273:21 302:7	148:16	
weigh	work	written	
275:12	16:18,19 18:8	146:13,21	
weighed	26:3 29:21	250:6	
273:13 275:8,	70:7,10 74:10	wrong	
11	76:14,15	301:13	
welcomed	116:23,25	wrote	
257:24 258:8	210:1,3	91:23 228:3	
259:23 264:21	229:20 241:6	249:4,5,7,9	
welcoming	247:17 267:12		
279:25	268:24 285:1	Y	
whichever	worked		
213:25	27:14,17,20,	year	
wide	24 28:16,18,	31:2 34:7,8,	
278:16	22 29:14 31:5	10,11 40:2	
William	50:7 209:23,	54:23 65:10 69:23 71:3	
4:6,16	25 240:23	100:2 219:6,	
withheld	267:16,19	13	
62:8,12,14	270:1 273:3		
	working	years	
withhold	6:14 29:6	21:21 27:3 29:23 30:2,5	
59:1 63:14	30:3,18 64:24	31:3,15 33:14	
300:3	65:1 121:22	37:16 38:1	
Wolff	195:14 196:11	41:12 61:2	
118:17 122:6	197:3 212:8 226:6 242:13	65:20 69:4,6	
223:13,20	245:2 267:18	92:8	
word	268:22 300:17		
81:2 84:18	200.22 300.17	yes-or-no 204:24 205:16	
		201.21 203.10	