William Link

vs.

Manny Diaz

Deposition of:

Eugene Kovacs

August 19, 2022

Vol 01



Raising the Bar!

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TALLAHASSEE DIVISION

CASE NO. 4:21cv271-MW/MAF

WILLIAM A. LINK, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MANNY DIAZ, JR., in his official capacity as Florida Commissioner of Education, et al.,

Defendants.

VIDEO-RECORDED DEPOSITION OF 30(b)(6) REPRESENTATIVE OF BOARD OF GOVERNORS (EUGENE JOSEPH KOVACS, III)

Friday, August 19, 2022

4:20 p.m. - 5:49 p.m.

GRAY | ROBINSON

301 South Bronough Street, #600

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:

SANDRA L. NARGIZ RPR, CM, CRR, CRC, CCR-GA

Job No. 263784

ı		•		1
	1	APPEARANCES:	Page 2	
	2	ON DELIALE OF THE DIALNETTERS.		
	3	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS:		
	4	ELIAS LAW GROUP		
	5	10 G Street NE, #600 Washington, D.C. 20002		
	6	202.968.4490 BY: ELISABETH FROST, ESQUIRE		
	7	efrost@elias.law BY: WILLIAM "LIAM" HANCOCK, ESQUIRE		
	8	whancock@elias.law JYOTI JASRASARIA, ESQUIRE		
	9	jjasrasaria@elias.law		
	10	REPRESENTING DEFENDANTS:		
	11	GRAY ROBINSON		
	12	301 South Bronough Street, #600 Tallahassee, FL 32301 850.507.9090		
	13	BY: ASHLEY H. LUKIS, ESQUIRE		
	14	<pre>ashley.lukis@gray-robinson.com BY: GEORGE LEVESQUE, ESQUIRE (Via Zoom) george.levesque@gray-robinson.com</pre>		
	15	-and-		
	16	STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF FLORIDA		
	17	325 W. Gaines Street, Rm 1614 Tallahassee, FL 32399		
	18	850.245.0430 BY: VIKKI R. SHIRLEY, ESQUIRE		
	19	vikki.shirley@flbog.edu		
	20	ALSO PRESENT:		
	21	Jordan McHugh, Videographer		
	22			
	23			
	24			
	25			

August	19, 202	.4						
1		INDEX	Page 3					
2	WIT	WITNESS 30(b)(6)REPRESENTATIVE OF BOARD OF GOVERNORS (EUGENE JOSEPH KOVACS, III) Direct Examination by Ms. Jasrasaria 5 CERTIFICATE OF OATH 64 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 65 READ AND SIGN LETTER						
3								
4	•							
5	1							
6	CER'							
7	CER'							
8	REA							
9	ERR	ATA SHEET	67					
10								
11		INDEX OF EXHIBITS						
12	NO	DECORT DET ON	T.D.					
13	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID					
14	34	Responses, Defendants_138578	11 23 23					
15	36							
16	38		42					
17	39 40		54 57					
18	10		57					
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

Page 4

- 1 The following proceedings began at 4:20 p.m.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the
- 3 record and the time is now 4:20 p.m. This is
- 4 the video-recorded deposition -- 5:20 p.m.
- 5 This is the video-recorded deposition of Gene
- 6 Kovacs, a corporate representative for the
- 7 Florida Board of Governors, in the matter of
- 8 William Link v Manny Diaz.
- 9 This deposition is being held in
- 10 Tallahassee, Florida on August 19, 2022.
- 11 The Videographer is Jordan McHugh and the
- 12 stenographer is Sandi Nargiz, both in
- 13 association with Phipps Reporting.
- Will counsel please announce who they
- 15 represent, after which the court reporter will
- 16 swear in the witness.
- 17 MS. JASRASARIA: Jyoti Jasrasaria for
- 18 plaintiffs. I'm with Elias Law Group, LLP.
- 19 And just to clarify for the record,
- 20 Marshall Criser was the 30(b)(6) representative
- 21 for the Board of Governors, and we'll now be
- 22 proceeding to our second representative for the
- 23 Board of Governors, Eugene Kovacs.
- 24 MR. HANCOCK: William Hancock also from
- 25 Elias Law Group, also for plaintiffs.

1	MS. FROST: Elisabeth Frost, also for
2	Elias Law Group, also for plaintiffs.
3	MS. SHIRLEY: Vikki Shirley, Board of
4	Governors, general counsel.
5	MS. LUKIS: Ashley Lukis with GrayRobinson
6	on behalf of the Board of Governors.
7	THE WITNESS: Eugene Kovacs. I'm the CIO
8	for the Board of Governors, for the defendant.
9	THE STENOGRAPHER: Would you raise your
10	right hand, please? Do you swear or affirm
11	that the testimony you are about to give will
12	be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
13	the truth?
14	THE WITNESS: I do.
15	THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.
16	Thereupon,
17	30(b)(6) REPRESENTATIVE OF FLORIDA BOARD OF GOVERNORS
18	EUGENE JOSEPH KOVACS, III
19	having been first duly sworn or affirmed, as
20	hereinafter certified testified as follows:
21	
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. JASRASARIA:
24	Q Thank you, Mr. Kovacs. Before we get
25	started, I just wanted to clarify the time that we

Page 6 1 went back on record. 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I looked at it wrong. 3 It is actually 4:22 p.m. BY MS. JASRASARIA: 4 Thank you. 5 BY MS. JASRASARIA: 6 Good afternoon, Mr. Kovacs. Could you, Q 7 please --8 Α Good afternoon. 9 Could you please state your full name for 10 the record? 11 Α Eugene Joseph Kovacs, III. 12 0 Two of the IIIs today. Uh-huh. 13 Α As I mentioned, I represent plaintiffs in 14 this lawsuit, and I'm with Elias Law Group. 15 16 Have you ever been deposed before, Mr. Kovacs? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 How many times? Q 20 Α Once. 21 When was that? Q 26 years ago. 2.2 Α 23 Was that in your personal capacity? Q 24 Α Yes. 25 And do you recall the subject matter of Q

Page 7 1 the case? 2. Α Yes. I was a witness in a bank robbery. 3 0 Okay. What was the outcome of the case? I think they lowered his charges and let 4 Α 5 him -- with lesser charges. 6 Did you have to testify in court in that Q 7 case? 8 Α No. 9 And have you ever testified in court? 0 10 Α No. I will keep my review of the ground 11 0 Okay. rules for today brief since I know you just sat 12 through a deposition, but let's just spend a few 13 minutes talking about how it works. 14 15 So I'll be asking questions, you will be answering them. Do you understand that? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 Q And your answers will be under oath, 19 meaning that you're swearing to their truthfulness 20 and accuracy as though you were testifying in a 21 court of law. 2.2 Α Yes. 23 Can you agree to provide clear verbal answers for the court reporter's benefit? 24 25 Α Yes.

Page 8 And will you do your best not to interrupt 1 Q 2 me so that we can have a clean record today? 3 Α Yes. If you answer a question, is it fair to 4 5 assume that you understood the question? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q If you do not understand or hear a 8 question, do you agree to ask me to rephrase or 9 repeat the question? 10 Α Yes. 11 0 Do you agree to give complete answers to 12 my questions? 13 Α Yes. If you need a break at any time, please do 14 0 If I've asked a question, I'll, of course, 15 just ask that you wait until you've answered that 16 17 question before taking a break. 18 Does that work? 19 Α Yes. And if you think of something that might 20 21 help you remember or give you a more accurate 22 answer, please tell me and we'll see if we can, you 23 know, get the documents or whatever else it is that 24 you need. 25 Α Okay.

Page 9 1 Thank you. 0 2 So as you know, this deposition was 3 noticed pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) and directed at 4 the Board of Governors. Have you seen the notice for this 5 6 deposition? 7 Α Yes. 8 Q I'd like to have you look at Exhibit 1, 9 which should be at the bottom of that pile. 10 Α They're not in order. 11 It's the notice. Q 12 Α There it is. 13 Have you seen this document? 0 14 Α Yes. What is it? 15 0 It's a notice of deposition. 16 Α 17 Q And have you been designated by the Board 18 of Governors to testify on the board's behalf today? With the limitation that I'm here as 19 Yes. 20 a technical expert for a very specific category of 21 items. 22 Q And if you flip to page -- I believe it's 7, I think is what it is. 23 Are you prepared to testify to -- on 24 Topic 3 -- and I know that it's a more limited 25

```
Page 11
               (Exhibit 33 was marked for
 1
 2
          identification.)
     BY MS. JASRASARIA:
 3
               And can you just take a look at this
 4
     document and confirm for me whether this is a
 5
     declaration that you submitted in this case?
 6
 7
          Α
               (Examining Document.)
 8
               Yes.
                      There are other things attached
 9
     except -- to the exhibit other than just the
10
     declaration.
11
                     And I believe that -- let me just --
          0
               Yes.
12
          Α
               Okay.
13
               So if you look at paragraph 15 of your
          0
     declaration, which is on page 4, I believe it says
14
     that on March 23rd, the chancellor sent a letter and
15
     that those letters are attached to this declaration
16
     as Exhibit A.
17
18
          Α
               Okay.
19
               And then if you look at paragraph 19 on
20
     the next page, it says that the final surveys are
21
     attached as Exhibit E.
2.2
          Α
               Okay.
23
               Does this look complete?
          0
               As far -- there's a lot of documents in
24
          Α
25
     there --
```

	17, 2022		
1		0	Page 12
		Q	
2		A	but to my knowledge looking at them,
3	yes,	they	look complete.
4		Q	Okay. Thank you.
5			You also mentioned some revised
6	inte	rrogat	tory responses; is that right?
7		A	Correct.
8		Q	And those are dated from Wednesday of this
9	week	, cor	rect?
10		A	Correct.
11		Q	So
12		A	To my knowledge.
13		Q	That should be that should Exhibit 18.
14		A	19.
15		Q	Getting close.
16		A	16, 15, 14.
17			MS. JASRASARIA: Can we go off the record?
18			THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off
19		reco	rd at 4:30 p.m.
20			(A recess took place from 4:30 p.m. to
21		4:33	p.m.)
22			THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going back
23		on tl	he record at 4:33 p.m.
24	BY M		SRASARIA:
25			Okay. So we were just taking a look at
25		×	one, be no note just canting a rook at

Page 13 what's marked as Exhibit 18. Is this the -- if we 1 2 turn to the second to last page, is that your 3 signature there? 4 Yes, it is my signature. Okay. And it's dated on August 17, 2022, 5 Q 6 correct? 7 Α Yes, correct. 8 Q Thank you. 9 Okay. So we are just going to set 10 these -- this interrogatory document and your declaration -- you will be referring to those 11 12 repeatedly, so just keep those on hand. So that would be Exhibit 18 and Exhibit 33. 13 Are you aware that plaintiffs are 14 15 challenging Florida House Bill 233 in this case? 16 Α Yes. 17 Q And have you ever read HB 233? 18 Α Yes. 19 I have premarked the Exhibit 2 which I 20 think you have with you. 21 Α Yes. 22 Q Have you seen this before? 23 Α Yes, I have seen this before. What is it? 24 Q 25 It is the statute -- House Bill 3 -- 233 Α

- 1 that describes the survey.
- Q Great. How did you prepare for your
- 3 deposition today, Mr. Kovacs?
- 4 A I looked at some of the documents that we
- 5 had sent and collected for you guys for your legal
- 6 office. We had several one-hour meetings, several
- 7 prep meetings with the general counsel's office.
- 8 Q Was there anyone else in those meetings
- 9 with you and the general counsel?
- 10 A Marshall Criser and Vikki Shirley.
- 11 Q And did you meet with anyone other than
- 12 your attorneys to prepare for today's deposition?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Did you meet with any attorneys from
- 15 GrayRobinson to prepare for today's deposition?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And about how many hours total did you
- 18 spend preparing for this deposition?
- 19 A For this deposition, I believe we had one
- 20 prep meeting. I think it was scheduled -- I am not
- 21 sure how long it was scheduled for, because there
- 22 were two of us. So I think it was about an hour but
- 23 I am not positive.
- 24 Q And you didn't speak with anyone else in
- 25 the office to prepare?

1	A	Page 15 Correct, I didn't speak with anyone else.
2	Q	Did you have you reviewed any
3	deposition transcripts from this case?	
4	А	No, I have not.
5	Q	Did you review any documents to prepare
6	for today's deposition?	
7	А	Yes. I looked at the interrogatory
8	statement one time, and I looked at several of the	
9	reports that had been delivered in discovery.	
10	Q	And are those the Excel spreadsheets?
11	А	Correct.
12	Q	Have you reviewed any documents that were
13	produced by the Board of Education in this case?	
14	А	No.
15	Q	And have you reviewed any of plaintiffs'
16	production in this case?	
17	А	No.
18	Q	I do have to ask you this. Are you is
19	there anything that might impair your ability to	
20	testify a	accurately today?
21	А	No.
22	Q	Did you attend college, Mr. Kovacs?
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	Where did you attend college?
25	А	University of West Florida.
1		

leaving, he asked me to apply for the position. 1 So 2. I interviewed and got the position. 3 0 What were you doing before working with the Board of Governors? 4 I was the bureau chief for the college of management information system which was in the 6 7 department of education. 8 0 Have you held any other positions in the field of higher education besides the two that you 9 10 just mentioned? 11 Α I worked at the Pensacola Junior Yes. 12 College at that time which is now Pensacola State I worked there as an intern on IT, 13 College. information technology, working on human resources 14 15 and finance. And I worked there for four years before I was recruited by the division of community 16 17 colleges at that time. Who do you currently report to? 18 Q 19 Α I report to Tim Jones, the chief financial 20 officer. 21 Q And do you have any direct reports? 22 I have three direct reports. Δ Yes. Yes. 23 I have cyber security information security officer, application development director, and an 24 25 infrastructure director.

Page 17

Page 18 1 When was the first time that you had a 0 2 conversation with someone at the Board of Governors 3 about the surveys required by HB 233? Α The first time? I think after the passage 4 of the bill and it became apparent that we were 5 going to have to, you know, have some involvement 6 7 with the surveys. I was asked about, you know, 8 actually working with the CIOs at the universities. We have regular meetings and -- about gathering the 9 10 contact -- possibly gathering the contact 11 information for FSU. 12 I wasn't directly involved at first. original plan was to hand off that information to 13 FSU's Institute of Politics for them to perform the 14 15 So that was when I was initially brought in, was just to interface with the university 16 technical folks, get the contact information. 17 18 that was probably September of '21, I believe. 19 Who was that conversation with? 0 20 I'm in the chancellor's direct reports Α 21 meetings. We have a small group and a large group 2.2 five days a week, so it was in I think that meeting 23 originally when the discussion came up. Did you ever communicate with the FSU 24 25 Institute of Politics about contact information?

Page 19

- 1 A No. No.
- 2 Q Did you ever collect contact information
- 3 from the other universities?
- 4 A No. I did -- I did a preliminary
- 5 e-mail -- I did discussion at a CIO council and a
- 6 preliminary e-mail saying this may be a direction
- 7 that we're heading, just to prep the technical folks
- 8 on the other end at the universities. I believe
- 9 that e-mail was in October, but I'm not totally
- 10 sure.
- 11 Q Let's turn to your declaration which was
- 12 marked as Exhibit 33. Let's just turn to page 3 and
- 13 look at Paragraph 10. So you stated here that
- 14 the -- looking at the third sentence, it says here
- 15 that "The draft surveys originally commissioned from
- 16 the institute were university centric and were not
- 17 well framed for state colleges."
- 18 Did I read that correctly?
- 19 A Yes. I believe that was some of the
- 20 discussion, you know, again dealing with the very
- 21 specific language that would deal with instructors
- 22 versus professors, et cetera, things of that nature.
- 23 But I wasn't directly involved with the crafting or
- 24 the language itself.
- 25 Q And just to clarify, does the institute

24

25

August 19, 2022 Page 20 referenced here refer to the Florida State 1 2 University Institute of Politics? 3 Α Yes. And were you involved in determining that 4 0 5 the FSU surveys were university centric? 6 Α No. 7 Q Who told you that the surveys were 8 university centric? 9 You know, it was, again, probably in open 10 discussion and probably with a joint meeting with the colleges, and it may -- I am honestly not 11 12 certain at this point in time where that comment -how -- where that actually came through. 13 It's probably in an open meeting or discussion at the 14 15 meeting. 16 So the next sentence there then says: "The Board of Governors worked with the Board of 17 18 Education to craft mutually useful surveys for 19 students and institutional employees." 20 Is that correct? 21 Α I'm sorry. Where are you at? 22 Q Oh, sorry. I'm just looking at the same 23 Paragraph 10, the last sentence of the paragraph. So we had joint -- the last

paragraph, "The Board of Governors worked with the

Yes.

Page 21 Board of Education, " that really wasn't the Board of 1 2. Education; it was division of community colleges. 3 Q Okay. We had joint hearings with Carrie 4 Α 5 Kathy Hebda I think was in some. Henderson. Carrie Henderson was my main contact, and as was 6 7 previously presented, they would bring up a live 8 survey. And we actually went through the questions and discussed those questions in the edit process 9 10 and, you know, worked on some of the language. 11 Specifically, again, dealing with 12 instructors, I noticed that some of the questions very specifically excluded instructors, and the 13 colleges have a huge number of instructors and the 14 universities don't. So we made live edits while we 15 16 were going through that process. 17 Q So you were involved in those meetings? 18 Α Correct. 19 And do you have any background in survey 0 20 design? 21 Α Not in survey design, no. 22 Q What about anything else related to 23 surveys? I'm -- at one time in my career I was a 24 Α 25 certified statistical programmer. But, you know, in

Page 22 my current role, I haven't held that certificate in 1 2 quite a while. So I do have a little bit of 3 background in statistics. Who else from the Board of Governors 4 and -- was involved? I know you just listed the 5 people from the Board of Education that were on the 6 7 calls. 8 Α Well, Jon Rogers was on -- on the calls, 9 Marshall Criser, Vikki Shirley, Jason Jones. 10 don't think there was anybody else that I know off 11 the top of my head. 12 0 When was the first time that you had a conversation with someone else at the Board of 13 Governors about administering the surveys? 14 15 The very first time was in February in one of our standard set meetings, chancellor's meetings 16 17 when he mentioned speak about the underage students. 18 He was very concerned about the ability to 19 administer the survey, and he very specifically said, "Gene, can you provide a backup plan?" And 20 21 the next week, about the middle of February it went 2.2 from the backup plan to we were going to administer 23 the survey. So, yes. That was the first time. I would like to mark 24 MS. JASRASARIA: 25 Defendants_008652 as Exhibit 34.

25

deliver the survey and bring the results back.

Page 25 1 You mentioned in this e-mail, you say: 0 2 "FSU was going to manage it but some restraints came 3 up and we may have to distribute the survey ourselves." 4 Yes. 5 Α What were the restraints that came up? 6 0 7 Α The ones that we mentioned about the minor 8 students and -- and not being able to, you know, 9 distribute it to the minors. What -- the initial 10 question, you know, was -- well, the initial discussion was we had issues with students under 18, 11 and then the next discussion was about getting 12 parental permission. And there was, I think, a 13 14 little exploration in what we were going to do to 15 try to do that. 16 And then I think it became apparent that that was not tenable. So I was in the process of 17 18 trying to review our options, you know, a radical, 19 fast backup plan that turned into the full plan. And you state in this last sentence: 20 0 21 you are going to purchase, maybe we could can share the cost." 2.2 23 Α Yes. "Let me know." 24 0 25 Α Yes.

Page 26 1 Who ended up purchasing the software? Q 2 Α So I had discovered after this e-mail to 3 Andre Smith that Carrie Henderson and the community college already had a -- an enterprise license for 4 SurveyMonkey. We had a license for SurveyMonkey but 5 it was a lower-level license, a very -- with very 6 7 narrow capability because we didn't do -- we don't 8 do this type of function on a regular basis. So I 9 was hoping that they were going -- I didn't know 10 what they were going to use or what we were going to use, so I -- this is really exploratory. 11 12 So after this point, when I discovered the colleges already had it and we had a smaller 13 footprint, I went ahead and did a procurement and 14 15 increased our SurveyMonkey to an enterprise-level license, which gave us a little bit more capability. 16 17 It also synced us up with the colleges so we could share information. 18 19 Going back to your declaration, so back to Exhibit 33, I want to take a look at Paragraph 11, 20 21 so right -- right where we left off. It says that: "The Board of Governors desired to have the surveys 22 23 distributed to all university students and employees at the same time and concurrently with the surveys 24 25 that would be distributed through the various state

1 colleges."

- Who from the Board of Governors desired to
- 3 have the surveys distributed to all university
- 4 students at the same time?
- 5 A That was a discussion at our -- our larger
- 6 meetings with the chancellor that we decided to do
- 7 the entire population instead of a sampling; again,
- 8 basically, because they felt like that statutorily,
- 9 we were required to do that. Also, I think, you
- 10 know, statistically, if you can actually survey your
- 11 entire population, you save time and energy too. So
- 12 it makes sense.
- 13 Q And who made the decision to do this
- 14 concurrently with the state colleges as well?
- 15 A That would be the chancellor and -- and
- 16 possibly Kathy Hebda, too. I mean, they were --
- 17 they were in discussion about doing that for
- 18 consistency. And I believe, too, we had the same
- 19 window of -- excuse me -- we had time constraints
- 20 because of final week coming up and spring breaks
- 21 rotating through all of this -- the institutions.
- 22 We had a very narrow window to try to hit where none
- 23 of the institutions were on spring break and we
- 24 weren't in the middle of finals. And we also had to
- 25 do it before summertime because students and faculty

Page 28 staff leave in the summertime on -- on both systems, 1 2. so ... Who formulated the final distribution 3 0 schedule? 4 That was kind of collaborative between us Α and the colleges. Again, basically looking at, you 6 7 know -- and Jon Rogers was the project manager. 8 believe I actually got the dates and -- from him. Again, they had to guery all of the institutions to 9 10 determine when the window of opportunity was. How long was the window to take the 11 0 12 survey? 13 One week. Α 14 0 Okav. So it started on --15 Excuse me. Let me -- let me -- let me Α remember -- I'm trying to remember. 16 17 Q Yeah. 18 Sorry. We sent out the notice of 19 instructions to the institutions on a Friday. wasn't a full -- I don't believe it was a full week. 20 21 They sent a prenotice to the -- to the students and the staff -- did -- I think maybe we did have it 2.2 open for a full week. I would have to look at the 23 document to determine. 24 25 If I represented that it was four days, Q

1 does that sound right?

- 2 A It does, because we -- we asked them to do
- 3 a prenotice to let everyone know it was coming, kind
- 4 of as heads-up. And then we delivered the link to
- 5 them in a -- in -- you know, in an e-mail. That
- 6 would be per the instructions.
- 7 Q Did you ever consider a longer window?
- 8 A I didn't consider a longer window. Again,
- 9 I think our restraints with all of the -- you know,
- 10 looking to 40 institutions across the state with all
- 11 different schedules, and that really wasn't my area
- 12 of responsibility.
- 13 Q Who was responsible for determining the
- 14 window?
- 15 A I'm -- I'm -- I'm not sure if it was
- 16 Marshall or if it was just part of the conversations
- 17 with the colleges, you know, and the project
- 18 managers. I am not really sure, to be honest with
- 19 you.
- 20 Q I'd like to turn back to the declaration,
- 21 to paragraph 17, which is on page 5. So this says
- 22 that the letters included a unique URL link. Could
- 23 you just -- actually, could you just read 17 through
- 24 19 and just let me know if the paragraphs are still
- 25 accurate?

Page 30 "The letters also included a unique 1 Α Sure. 2. URL link for each institution to use to distribute the survey to students, faculty, and staff. 3 distribution list will be collected, housed, or 4 5 maintained by the Board of Governors, which will 6 further prevent connection to any respondent, in 7 addition to the safeguards discussed above." "A single data collection instance 8 9 will be used to collect all university responses into a single system in order to invoid -- avoid 10 individual identification. The final data set will 11 12 not contain a direct university indicator that would allow individuals to access raw data to identify the 13 originating institution. Controls to access the 14 15 needed information to perform the tasks are managed by the Board of Governors, Department of Information 16 Technology and Security. The final surveys are 17 18 intended to be disseminated by the universities to 19 their respective students, faculty, and staff on April the 4th. Copies of these final surveys are 20 21 attached to this declaration in Exhibit B." Are all -- is all that still accurate? 22 Q 23 There's been discussion about the Α Yeah. raw data, and our general counsel and I have had 24 25 several discussions about public records. And I do

Page 31

- 1 believe that there will be a university indicator on
- 2 the subsets but -- so that may not be completely
- 3 accurate. At the time that I wrote this, I
- 4 didn't -- I still don't want to put them on there,
- 5 but there is a crosswalk.
- 6 You've got the raw data. We delivered it
- 7 to you. If you look at the raw data, you'll see --
- 8 the raw data doesn't include a direct link to the
- 9 university. You have to crosswalk it with
- 10 another -- with a table, another table.
- 11 0 What does it mean to crosswalk with
- 12 another table?
- 13 A Merge. You merge -- so there's a unique
- 14 code that represents the university link in the raw
- 15 data, and then there's another table that says this
- 16 link was for this unique URL for UWF staff.
- 17 And you crosswalk those over to get UWF
- 18 into the, say, the employee data. And again, as we
- 19 had been discussing Sunshine law and public records
- 20 requests -- and I do believe that the clean records
- 21 that we delivered to the office of data analytics,
- 22 you know, for them to do their job, we did put the
- 23 university indicator in there. And I do believe
- 24 that that becomes a public record now.
- 25 So this -- it may not be completely

Page 32

- 1 accurate at this point today.
- Q Okay. Great.
- 3 Let's go back to the beginning of the
- 4 declaration. And could you just take -- you don't
- 5 have to read it out loud. You can just actually
- 6 read to yourself, and let me know if paragraphs 1
- 7 through 9 are still accurate.
- 8 A (Examining Document.)
- 9 Yes. Sections 1 through 9 are accurate.
- 10 Q Okay. Section 9 talks about using website
- 11 links that allow for noncredentialed access,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O And so the website links that were used
- 15 for this survey, they weren't unique for each
- 16 student; is that right?
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Did you all ever consider using individual
- 19 links?
- 20 A I have considered many different avenues,
- 21 but from the end of February to the time we had the
- 22 survey to do, there was no way to initiate a higher
- 23 level of, you know, technical controls over the top
- 24 of this.
- 25 I've got plans for the next survey that

Page 33 1 I'm looking into. But to generate a unique per -- a 2 unique link per student would require us to collect that actual information and have some form of 3 authentication. 4 It really -- if you're talking about 5 identity, you know, it's very difficult. If you 6 7 don't do credentialing, you have to -- it's very 8 difficult to maintain anonymity and at the same time 9 have levels of security and control over the top of 10 it. There are ways to do it, but not in a month or 11 two. 12 0 Okay. Great. 13 So I want to turn to the interrogatory 14 responses that you submitted on Wednesday. So that would be Exhibit 18. And I believe that the piece 15 that you worked on starts on page 6. 16 17 Α I believe -- are you talking about Section 2? 18 19 Correct. Q 20 Α Yes. 21 Could you just read -- you can read to Q 22 yourself just the -- or actually, you don't need to 23 So it says here that: "The Board of do that. Governors utilized SurveyMonkey to administer the 24 25 surveys."

Page 34 1 That's correct? 2. Α Correct. 3 0 And it also says: "The survey settings were selected so that the survey responses are 4 5 voluntary and anonymous." Is that right? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 Q What were the various setting options that you could have chosen from? 9 10 Α So I inherited the options from the 11 community colleges when they sent us the surveys. Ι 12 don't remember, off the top of my head, the screens and all of the options. There was the ability not 13 to collect public IP addresses, but if we did that, 14 15 it would have opened up some other risks. 16 turn that off. 17 The colleges also turned that off. 18 how we were able to identify the software-generated 19 Those are public IP addresses. responses. know you'll probably ask this later. Yes, you can 20 21 identify an individual with a warrant to AT&T. 22 If you take the public IP address and the 23 time and date stamp and you go with law enforcement, you could probably determine where it came from. 24 25 I'm not sure you could determine the individual.

24

25

CAPTCHA is.

we didn't have a CAPTCHA. Most people know what

Page 36 1 SurveyMonkey, unfortunately, which is 2 surprising because they are one of the largest cloud providers, they don't have a built-in CAPTCHA. 3 Tο do that separate, you'd have to set up servers, and 4 you'd have to do a lot of extra work. 5 There are things that we will be doing in 6 7 the future to make some improvements, but again, the 8 time frame that we had, we had to use basically the 9 default and come up with a process that would be as 10 secure as we could. 11 And then, again, turning on the public IP 12 address really doesn't provide you information that you could identify an individual with. 13 It would be very difficult to do that. 14 Would a system that used unique links, if 15 you have the time to go through that, have allowed 16 you not to collect IP addresses? 17 18 Α I think the issue with -- if you create a 19 system that creates a unique link per person, you still -- and this is a discussion that I've been 20 21 having with some engineers and some of my staff --2.2 you would still need some type of authentication 23 component -- for example, university identity system -- to know that this is a student, this is a 24 25 staff member.

Page 37 1 So there's -- I'm not sure the IP address 2 is really the critical issue. It's more if you 3 really want to put more controls around it, which we do, there has to be some form of authentication. 4 And when you add authentication, you do add a much 5 higher level of opportunity that -- you know, even 6 7 perception. The perception, if a faculty member has to 8 9 sign in with their credentials, they may 10 automatically perceive that this isn't anonymous, so 11 there's some issues there that we're going to 12 discuss and work on going forward. 13 But I don't -- there's a possibility we could turn on -- we could create something that 14 would not collect an IP address in the final result 15 set, but you would probably have to have the IP 16 17 address somewhere in the system and other information. That's kind of the balancing act. 18 19 Turning to something else you talked about in your declaration which was the University of 20 21 West Florida kind of second survey that was sent You mentioned that the reason was that the 2.2 out. employee data excluded certain individuals who 23 should have been counted; is that right? 24 25 To my knowledge, the chief data Α Yes.

officer had discovered a discrepancy, talked to the 1 2. data administrator, who was a presidentially appointed contact, point of contact, at the 3 university; and they discovered the distribution 4 5 group that they used to send the survey out to staff didn't include any adjuncts or OPS employees, which 6 7 was a large group of employees. 8 Q And some of the surveys -- some of the 9 adjunct faculty and student employees had been 10 included on the student survey, correct? 11 Anybody who had a dual function Α Correct. 12 at any university, if they were a student, they got a student survey. And if they happen to be an 13 employee, too, they still got a student survey, and 14 15 vice versa. If a student was working in a position, 16 they would have gotten both -- actually, both 17 surveys. 18 Q And was there any way that you all could 19 identify someone who received both surveys? 20 No. Α No. 21 And there was no way to isolate those Q 22 responses? 23 Α They're two different total surveys No. or two different sets, and they're anonymized. 24 you can't really -- yeah. You couldn't connect the 25

Page 40 1 Yes. You see, Mary, the CIO of So yeah. 2. Florida Gulf Coast, she is not aware of SUDS, the 3 data warehouses, the processes around that, or even 4 the data request system. Those go to the data 5 administrator. So she was asking about SUDS, and I had to 6 7 explain to her that's our data warehouse. That's a totally different collection. We're not -- we don't 8 collect student contact information or staff contact 9 10 information in our data warehouse, so can't -- we 11 don't have any way to use our data to send something 12 out to students. So that's why we went to the universities 13 to do that. 14 MS. JASRASARIA: I would like to mark 15 16 Defendants_009075 as Exhibit 37. 17 (Exhibit 37 was marked for identification.) 18 19 BY MS. JASRASARIA: 20 Do you recognize this document? 0 21 Α Yes. It's a technical document to --2.2 SurveyMonkey changed their names. They are now 23 Momentive. They changed their corporate name. Ι think SurveyMonkey is when they started in their 24 25 garage when they were young and in college. So I

Page 41 1 think they're going to change their branding 2 eventually. 3 Let me see what I actually -- okay. 4 the subdomain, we didn't want the survey to go out 5 to say "surveymonkey.com." We wanted to be a little more professional. 6 7 I purchased the subdomain option in the 8 license. I had the impression that we would actually have it be able to say fldog.edu, which is 9 10 our domain, mostly because what you don't want to happen is the security systems will filter out 11 e-mails and links and things like that if the domain 12 is something that their security -- and ours are 13 generally white list -- white-listed. 14 15 So I purchased the subdomain, and it didn't quite give me -- it wasn't -- the 16 17 functionality for what I purchased from them was not 18 what you would say is a standard domain ability that 19 you would get with a lot of cloud services. ended up -- I think it said research.com/flbog.edu 20 21 or something. 22 You know, and I was inquiring to her --23 you know, I purchased this. I thought it had more capability, and she was saying, "No, it didn't." 24 25 High tech stuff, I know.

Page 42 1 And I'm learning. That's the Yes. 0 2 trouble with this type of deposition. It's like, 3 what are the questions? So turning to the process for the 4 5 computer-generated responses, something that, again, you mentioned in your declaration, I just wanted to 6 7 mark Defendants 138578 as Exhibit 38. (Exhibit 38 was marked for 8 identification.) 9 10 BY MS. JASRASARIA: 11 Do you recognize this document? Q 12 Α Yes, sort of. 13 You're mentioned in it. 0 I'm not sure -- you know, we had --14 Α Yes. 15 I provided portions of comments that Jon Rogers 16 merged together for different things. I'm not quite 17 sure --18 MS. LUKIS: Hold on one second. Pending 19 question? What was the pending question? 20 Oh, I asked if he MS. JASRASARIA: 21 recognized this document. 22 MS. LUKIS: Okay. 23 I recognize portions of it. Α 24 BY MS. JASRASARIA: 25 What is this document about? 0

It identifies the IP addresses. 1 I had Α 2. talked to Carrie Henderson when I discovered the software-generated IPs, and I had a Teams meeting 3 with her to say, "Hey, here are the IP addresses, 4 I'm having issues. Maybe you guys need to look into 5 your collection and see if the same thing happened." 6 7 Yeah. This doesn't look -- this is a DOE 8 thing, isn't it? Oh, yeah, it is. Yeah. So yeah. 9 These IP addresses are what I provided to her as 10 suspect. 11 How did you determine which IP addresses 0 12 were suspect? So I used a software analytic tool, a 13 Α statistical analytical tool called SaaS, and we did 14 15 provide you guys with a code. You could actually go get a license and open it up if you want, and you 16 17 could run everything that I ran. 18 But basically what I did is I subsetted 19 the data and I started -- began analyzing the public 20 IP addresses, so -- and I can't do this without 21 getting too technical. 22 So when you sign on a computer, you come 23 in here to the Wi-Fi and you sign on, AT&T is going to give you a public IP address that is their IP --24 within their range of IP addresses, and the last 25

three numbers generally are dynamic unless -- you 1 2 know, sometimes you can pay for static ones. Α 3 company sometimes or business will pay for static IP address. 4 But all of your home and all your public 5 IP addresses, even at the university, is staff and 6 7 students are signing on internally. They get a different IP address, but the external IP address is 8 9 generated dynamically. 10 So what I did is I -- first thing I did is 11 I did frequency analysis over the IP addresses 12 themselves. And in -- in our statement you will see a little chart that highlights the suspect IP 13 addresses where you can see instead of separate 14 15 computers signing on to the network, it was a single 16 computer sitting there running thousands of 17 responses. 18 So when I ran the frequency analysis over 19 the data, they were pretty glaring and it -- you 20 And then I started looking at ranges and 21 values and trying to determine if -- I couldn't --2.2 some things I couldn't do automatically because some 23 of the university IP addresses were pretty high, so I had to do some things manually by actually -- what 24 25 I had to do is take the IP addresses, go put them in

Page 45 a search engine, determine if it was a viable 1 2 company like AT&T. I found these IP addresses all came from 3 one of these VPN cloud service companies that you 4 can go on and you can purchase an account. 5 someone purchased an account, and they used a single 6 7 server to connect and just to continue to run a 8 script that rotated through hundreds and hundreds of 9 responses. 10 And again, if you saw the partial chart that I put in there, that's -- if you run it over --11 12 and again, you have the code if you guys want to spend the money on the SaaS license, you could run 13 14 it and you could see they are there and they are 15 glaring. 16 0 How confident are you that the -- that the 17 responses that were eliminated were auto generated 18 or illegitimate? The ones I eliminated, 100 percent, I am 19 20 100 percent confident. And per my declaration or 21 the interrogatory, I think -- I can't remember where I put everything -- I did -- after I identified 2.2 23 those, I isolated them, and then I went further and 24 actually did a pattern analysis. 25 I mentioned a pattern analysis, and

Page 46 someone asked me, "What is that?" I really did it 1 like a distribution over the answers to verify that 2. 3 they were very sequential in nature for time stamp 4 as well as the answers were sequential. It was -- it was a written script, so the 5 first -- you know, the first time stamp was "agree," 6 7 the next one was, you know, "disagree," the next one 8 was "strongly agree." And you could see a distribution. 9 10 So for example, a question that had five answers, if you ran a distribution over a thousand 11 12 responses from one of these suspect IP addresses, 13 you 200 agree, 200 disagree, 200 strongly agree, 14 et cetera. 15 So -- and again, the time stamps were 16 very, you know, glaring too. The survey response 17 results, depending on where you were located and 18 speed of your network, averaged 3 minutes for a 19 normal person to go through all the pages one after another. And these -- the software-generated ones 20 21 literally went 3 minutes, one after another 2.2 continually. 23 Some -- there were actually several different scripts running, but the -- the one that 24 25 ran the longest I think ran from -- from Wednesday

- 1 all the way until we closed on Friday night, never
- 2 stopped. So it was -- I'm confident.
- 3 Q Are you confident that the data that was
- 4 not eliminated is legitimate?
- 5 A So to a certain level I can't say that
- 6 because of the anonymity. And there -- there were
- 7 some issues just with SurveyMonkey in general. If
- 8 you -- you know, we set the option to not allow
- 9 someone to take the survey multiple times, but that
- 10 uses cookies on your browser.
- 11 So if you went to your computer and filled
- out the survey and then you went to your telephone,
- 13 you could do two surveys. But the data -- there is
- 14 no way for me to connect that and say, "Well, these
- 15 two people did two surveys." There is no connection
- 16 between the two, you know, other than maybe the link
- 17 to know they both came from UWF. There would no way
- 18 to identify which ones were duplicated.
- 19 Q And similarly, there would be no way to
- 20 identify if someone sent a link to a friend or
- 21 family who -- member who wasn't actually a student
- 22 or an employee?
- 23 A Correct. Correct. And that gets back to
- 24 the authentication issue, that without
- 25 authentication, you can't really -- you know, it's

- 1 hard to have anonymity and security, but -- but we
- 2 will be working on some things.
- 3 Q Are there any ways that you could have
- 4 blocked repeated IP addresses on the front end?
- 5 A Not -- not with the time and resources
- 6 that we had for the project. And again, not just
- 7 using out-of-the-box SurveyMonkey cloud services.
- 8 Even at the enterprise level, they don't have those
- 9 types of functionalities built in.
- 10 Those are generally custom things you have
- 11 to program for them, you have build extra servers as
- 12 an intermediate. And -- and again, those are
- options that we are looking at for different things,
- 14 but we -- we -- yeah, there is no way to do it
- 15 during this first survey.
- 16 Q Did you -- how did you treat surveys that
- 17 were filled out with no responses to questions?
- 18 A I left them in. When they come through
- 19 the analysis, if they are empty, they don't affect
- 20 any of the results. So I didn't want to go through
- 21 and -- and you may have had a survey with two
- 22 questions filled and they didn't want to do the
- 23 rest, and you still want to gather those -- that
- 24 information. So I didn't do anything -- null
- 25 documents don't affect the results.

Page 49 1 So -- but they were still included in the 0 2 overall response rate? 3 Α Yeah, as far as I know. Now, I -- I will say Jason is very good -- he might have -- he might 4 5 have removed the nulls in the response rate, I'm not sure; because I handed him the clean data off. 6 7 I took the software-generated ones out, handed it 8 off to him, and then he, you know, produced those other documents, he may have taken it out. 9 10 honestly am not aware if he did or he didn't. 11 And did you talk to Jason at all in 0 12 preparation for today's deposition? 13 Α No. 14 And you know that you are testifying on 0 15 behalf of the board and not just yourself? 16 Α Yes, yes. 17 0 And so you're not -- you're not prepared 18 today to say whether or not Jason might have removed 19 something? 20 That level of detail is so minute, Right. 21 and I -- I don't know everything that he did in 2.2 his -- his preparation. So yeah, I mean, if that 23 would have been in one of the questions about the preparation of the report, I might would have 24 25 followed up before we got here, but it wasn't

- 1 specified, so...
- 2 Q Are you familiar with nonresponse error?
- 3 A Vaguely just through history, but I'm
- 4 not -- again, I haven't worked with statistics in a
- 5 long time.
- 6 O Did the board discuss at all whether the
- 7 low response rate was indicative of any kind of
- 8 error or bias in the data?
- 9 MS. LUKIS: Object to form.
- 10 You can answer. You can answer.
- 11 A To my knowledge, there was no discussion
- 12 about that.
- 13 BY MS. JASRASARIA:
- 14 Q Do you have any concerns about that?
- 15 A Considering it was the first survey and it
- 16 was -- you know, even though -- you know, even by
- 17 regular standards with the statute coming out, even
- if we would have started in July, it would have been
- 19 a very tight time frame to do a systemwide survey
- 20 like that well. So I don't -- I'm not that
- 21 concerned considering it was a first survey.
- Generally, too, in any experience dealing
- 23 with educational data collections and -- a survey
- 24 really is a data collection. Your first ones are
- 25 always less -- the quality generally for the first

- 1 process is generally less than -- than as you go
- 2 forward, so I'm not that concerned with it
- 3 personally.
- 4 Q Have you been involved in drafting the
- 5 report that's marked as Exhibit 32?
- 6 A No, not directly. Excuse me. I'm sorry.
- 7 I did the methodology component -- I'm sorry -- not
- 8 the report itself, but in the intro, the methodology
- 9 component, I did, you know -- again, we are trying
- 10 to be consistent with what the colleges was putting
- 11 forward, and they had drafted their methodology
- 12 which we worked on together.
- Our methodologies were exact except with
- 14 the differences between, you know, college,
- 15 university and few -- few things like that. Also
- 16 used a more unique link than they did trying to get
- 17 a little higher level of security. But on the
- 18 intro, the methodology portion, I took the college
- one and modified it to make sure it was university
- 20 centric. And then we had the UWF issues, so I
- 21 drafted the note about the UWF resubmission for
- 22 adjunct. So I did do that on the report, but
- 23 nothing to do with the tables or the actual data
- 24 itself, just the intro.
- 25 Q Was there any consideration of adding some

Page 52 1 kind of disclaimer to the report about response 2 rates? 3 MS. LUKIS: Object to form. 4 You can answer. I hadn't -- I'm not aware of any in any of 5 Α the discussions that I was in or the other -- I 6 7 hadn't heard anything of that nature. BY MS. JASRASARIA: 8 9 Was there ever any consideration to add 10 like an open-ended answer, a question with an 11 open-ended answer to the survey? 12 Α I haven't heard of any discussion like And I do believe -- I haven't heard of 13 that. anything. It's hard to qualify open-ended answers 14 15 when you do a survey, so I am not sure that would be 16 good. But I'm not a survey expert. We already determined that, didn't we? 17 18 0 Great. If you could take a look at 19 Exhibit 31, which should be in your pile. 20 green -- I think the green -- yeah. 21 Α Yes, I have Exhibit 31. 22 Q Okay. You may have heard me asking the 23 chancellor about the 26,000 number for UF staff? 24 Α Yes. 25 I'm also curious about the -- where it Q

Page 53 says "FPU," I'm assuming that's Florida Polytechnic? 1 2 Α Yes. 3 0 And I see that there are multiple delivery 4 methods there? 5 Α Yes. Do you know what LMS is? 6 Q 7 Α Yeah. That's learning management system. 8 Q Okay. What does that mean? Every university has an electronic LMS or 9 Α 10 learning management system that they use to deliver 11 educational content. Every student has an account. 12 They -- I don't know if they publish the link in 13 their LMS to try to get a higher response rate. I'm 14 not sure. But in the -- what we call the data 15 16 request system where Mr. Jones put the data request 17 out to the data administrators to say, "Hey, we need 18 to know the number you distributed to and the 19 method, "evidently they used -- they put the link or 20 did some type of distribution in their learning 21 management system for the students, too. 22 It looks like -- from here, it looks like 23 they only used the learning management system for students instead e-mail and then used e-mail for the 24 25 staff. We had asked everybody to try to use the

	·
1	$_{\rm Page~54}$ same method but, you know, I guess one out of 12 is
2	not as bad.
3	MS. JASRASARIA: I am going to mark
4	Defendants_008858 as Exhibit 39.
5	(Exhibit 39 was marked for
6	identification.)
7	BY MS. JASRASARIA:
8	Q Do you recognize this e-mail?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Who is Mark McCallister?
11	A Mark works for the Office of Institutional
12	Research, I believe. Or he oh, wait. No, he
13	might know. I'm sorry. I don't know Mark
14	personally. He works he works in IT, and he is
15	the contact. When I started to work with them
16	talking about contact information that we might be
17	collecting contact information, he was provided to
18	me as a point person for technical issues.
19	Elias Esri, he Eldayrie, he is the CIO
20	at UF. So yeah, it he simply had some questions
21	for the distribution for their e-mail
22	distribution. And again, very specifically should
23	they include the Shands employees.
24	Q Do you know what the Shands employees are?
25	A Yeah. So UF has Shands medical and they
1	

- 1 have an entire medical component. I am not as
- 2 familiar as some people are, but Shands is -- you
- 3 know, they're the medical research hospital, and a
- 4 lot of their -- there are a lot of actual UF
- 5 employees that work at Shands.
- And that's another reason I believe their
- 7 numbers are much higher, because they have a very
- 8 large footprint over there and, you know, their
- 9 question, "Do we include Shands employees?" And to
- 10 our knowledge and our understanding, the statute was
- 11 specific to all employees, so that was my response
- 12 to him.
- 13 Q Okay. But just looking at the last
- 14 sentence here it says: "Shands employees should not
- 15 receive a survey."
- 16 A Right. Shands employees should not
- 17 receive the survey. But UF employees who work at
- 18 Shands -- the differentiation -- there are -- Shands
- 19 is a joint situation. So Shands employees shouldn't
- 20 but, UF employees who are at Shands or at the
- 21 med- -- they should. I am sorry I -- I didn't make
- 22 that clear.
- 23 Q Thank you for clarifying that. Are there
- 24 other schools that reached out with similar
- 25 distribution questions from what you can recall?

Page 56 You know, we had -- I think even in our 1 Α 2 FAQ we answered some things, but I'm trying to There were probably a few discussions -- I 3 think. am certain there were. I couldn't tell you very 4 specifically who they were. Again, we were reaching 5 out to the CIO and the data administrator 6 7 communities at the same time. 8 Q Are you confident that all of the 9 12 institutions used analogous universes for their 10 staff surveys --11 MS. LUKIS: Object to form. BY MS. JASRASARIA: 12 13 0 -- or employee surveys? 14 Α Yeah. I -- can you rephrase that? 15 not --Is your understanding that the 16 0 Sure. 12 universities interpreted the distribution 17 18 universe for employees equal, like equivalently? 19 MS. LUKIS: Object to form. 20 You can answer if you know. 21 Α I -- I don't know, and obviously the 2.2 employee instructions weren't followed by FPU. 23 But -- so I can't really say for sure. That process was left to them with some very specific 24 25 instructions. The -- and you have a copy of the

Page 57 The instructions very specifically 1 instructions. 2. said "active payrolled employees," so we can only trust that they -- and we did discover UWF had an 3 issue technically with their distribution. 4 So I can't say a hundred percent -- with 5 100 percent certainty that all universities did it 6 7 well, did it right. BY MS. JASRASARIA: 8 Turning back to -- to Exhibit 31, which is 9 10 the dataset with the green clip. Yeah. Flipping to the second page, is this what you were referring to 11 12 when you mentioned that each school had a collector ID that could be cross tabbed? 13 Yes, yes. And this was provided 14 15 specifically for you guys. And I assume that the third page is the 16 Q 17 same thing before, the student survey? 18 Α Yes. Correct. 19 MS. JASRASARIA: Okay. I would like to 20 mark Defendants_160247 as Exhibit 40. 21 (Exhibit 40 was marked for identification.) 2.2 23 BY MS. JASRASARIA: And for the record, this is -- the second 24 page of this is Defendants_160250, and the third 25

- page is Defendants_160221.
- 2 Mr. Kovacs, do you know what the -- all of
- 3 these e-mails were sent to FCS -- FCS research. Are
- 4 you familiar with that e-mail address?
- 5 A So I believe that was the custom e-mail
- 6 that was set up for their responses to their survey.
- 7 We created one mailbox that we delivered to you
- 8 guys, too, the contents of.
- 9 Specifically in the instructions we said
- 10 if you had any questions, send an e-mail to this,
- 11 and that's the e-mail box that Jon Rogers was
- 12 monitoring. So I believe this is the college's
- 13 counterpart e-mail box.
- 14 Q And were the surveys administered in the
- 15 same way in the colleges and the universities?
- MS. LUKIS: Object to form.
- 17 You can answer if you know.
- 18 A To my knowledge, yes. However, they may
- 19 have had different distribution processes, you know,
- 20 among the 28 institutions too.
- 21 BY MS. JASRASARIA:
- 22 Q Just looking at the first page here, this
- 23 individual who I recognize as a college student, not
- 24 a university student or perhaps even an employee, I
- 25 don't know; says that their computer virus

Page 59 1 protection software was blocking the website as a 2 fishing attempt. 3 Have you seen any similar complaints from 4 university students? MS. LUKIS: 5 Object to form. 6 You can answer. 7 Α I didn't -- I didn't see anything in our 8 mailbox about virus production, and in all of our 9 tests, we -- we never had our virus software or 10 anyone that I know of ever had an issue with their 11 virus software. I am unfamiliar with Bitdefender 12 anyway. 13 MS. JASRASARIA: If we could just go off 14 the record for like 3 minutes, then I can just 15 confirm I covered everything I want to cover. 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going off 17 record at 5:37 p.m. 18 (A recess took place from 5:37 p.m. to 19 5:44 p.m.) 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now going back 21 on record at 5:44 p.m. BY MS. JASRASARIA: 2.2 23 Good afternoon -- good evening, 24 Mr. Kovacs. 25 Α Good evening.

1	Page 60 Q Did you speak to your counsel during the
2	break?
3	A No.
4	Q I just have a couple questions for you
5	before we conclude. Have you already started
6	planning for next year's survey?
7	A Yes. As far as the technical level and
8	some of the challenges that we discussed before,
9	yes. I have staff members researching different
10	architectures. I talked to reached out to a few
11	vendors to see what kind of products they have,
12	talked to several universities, trying to again,
13	to find a mechanism at the state level, you know.
14	It's much difficult more difficult when you are
15	dealing with 12 universities than a single entity.
16	And we've also had some technology
17	improvements ourselves that I have an idea. I won't
18	discuss that because that's cyber security.
19	Q What are the what are the things that
20	you learned from this survey administration that you
21	would like to change going forward?
22	A I mean, obviously to be able to prevent
23	any type of easy scripting, try to figure out some
24	way to do authentication and maintain that and still
25	maintain the anonymity; technically, I am not sure

Page 61 that's completely possible, but we're -- we're 1 2 looking at some options there. 3 So those -- those are -- as far as my area of expertise and the technical side and the 4 delivery, that was probably my -- my key indicators, 5 to make sure that we can make sure who is taking the 6 7 surveys is the person and to prevent any type of 8 software bots from being able to populate the 9 survev. 10 Is there anything else that you are 0 considering for the next one? 11 12 Α Not -- not in my area of expertise. Ι think, again, the delivery and the -- the collection 13 of the information, those are kind of my key 14 15 And honestly, we still have a tight indicators. I can't really pull the trigger on 16 time frame. 17 anything while this lawsuit is going on as far as 18 procurement. 19 And Florida procurement may take me three months, you know, to get a vendor and to do 20 21 some other things. So I've kind of got to wait for 2.2 the lawsuit to clear up before I can pull the 23 trigger on the procurement. So I have challenges. 24 MS. JASRASARIA: Great. Well, I think 25 those are all my questions for you today.

Page 62 1 Nothing from me. MS. LUKIS: 2. MS. JASRASARIA: Thank you. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Before we go off 4 record, I do need to refer to counsel. 5 Will you be ordering a video today? We will be. 6 MS. FROST: 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want that 8 synced? 9 MS. FROST: Yes. 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And defense? 11 MS. LUKIS: No video. Thank you. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. And 13 transcript orders? MS. FROST: Yeah, we're going to order a 14 15 rough again tonight. The same deal as last time. 16 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. And defense? 18 MS. LUKIS: Just standard delivery. 19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. If there's no further questions, I can go ahead and 20 21 conclude. Sounds good. This concludes today's 2.2 deposition --23 MS. LUKIS: Oh, wait. Hold on one second. Actually, we'll read. 24 Thank you. 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. This

```
Page 63
     concludes today deposition of Eugene Kovacs, a
 1
     corporate representative of the Florida Board
 2
     of Governors. We are now going off record at
 4
     5:48 p.m.
           (Proceedings concluded at 5:48 p.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	Page 64 CERTIFICATE OF OATH
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF LEON)
5	I, the undersigned authority, certify that
6	EUGENE JOSEPH KOVACS, III personally appeared before
7	me on August 19, 2022, and was duly sworn.
8	
9	
10	SIGNED AND SEALED on August 22nd, 2022.
11	
12	Sanded Weins
13	Example 100 1
14	SANDRA L. NARGIZ
15	RPR, RMR, CRR, CRC, CCR-GA snargiz@comcast.net
16	Commission #HH239213 EXPIRES: APRIL 18TH, 2026
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Page 65 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER			
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)			
3	COUNTY OF LEON)			
4	I, SANDRA L. NARGIZ, Registered			
5	Professional Reporter, certify that I was authorized			
6	to and did stenographically report the deposition of			
7	EUGENE JOSEPH KOVACS, III; that a review of the			
8	transcript was requested, and that the foregoing			
9	transcript, pages 1 through 63, is a true record of			
10	my stenographic notes.			
11	I further certify that I am not a			
12	relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of			
13	the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any			
14	of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with			
15	the action, nor am I financially interested in the			
16	action.			
17	DATED on August 22nd, 2022.			
18	$O \sim 1$			
19	Sand Venns			
20				
21	SANDRA L. NARGIZ RPR, RMR, CRR, CRC, CCR-GA			
22	Notary Public in Florida snargiz@comcast.net			
23				
24				
25				

```
Page 66
 1
     August 22, 2022
 2.
     EUGENE JOSEPH KOVACS, III
 3
     c/o
         ASHLEY H. LUKIS, ESQUIRE
          ashley.lukis@gray-robinson.com
 4
 5
     RE:
          Link vs. Diaz
          Case No. 4:21cv271-MW/MAF
 6
     Dear Mr. Kovacs:
 7
     Please take notice that on August 19, 2022, you gave
     your deposition in the above cause. At that time
 8
     you did not waive your signature.
 9
     The above-addressed attorney has ordered a copy of
10
     the transcript and will make arrangements with you
     to read their copy. Please execute the Errata
     Sheet, which can be found at the back of the
11
     transcript, and have it returned to us at
12
     production@phippsreporting.com for distribution to
     all parties.
13
     If you do not read and sign the transcript within
14
     thirty (30) days, the original, which has already
     been forwarded to the ordering attorney, may be
15
     filed with the Clerk of the Court.
16
     If you wish to waive your signature now, please sign
     your name to the blank at the bottom of this letter
     and return to the address listed below.
17
18
     Very truly yours,
19
     Sandra L. Nargiz, RPR, RMR, CRR, CRC, CCR-GA
20
     Phipps Reporting, Inc.
     1551 Forum Place, Suite 200-E
21
     West Palm Beach, Florida
                               33401
22
     I do hereby waive my signature.
23
     EUGENE JOSEPH KOVACS, III
24
     30(b)(6) Representative of Board of Governors
     Job No.
              263784
25
```

1	Page 67 ERRATA SHEET
2	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES HERE
3	In Re: Link vs. Diaz
4	Case No.: 4:21cv271-MW/MAF 30(b)(6) Representative of Board of Governors
5	EUGENE JOSEPH KOVACS, III August 19, 2022
6	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have
20	read the foregoing transcript of the above proceeding and I hereby swear that my testimony
21	therein was true at the time it was given and is now true and correct, including any corrections and/or
22	amendments listed above.
23	Signature of Witness:, 2022. Dated thisday of, 2022.
24	email to: production@phippsreporting.com Job No. 263784
25	

	11:13 12:16		4:1,3
Exhibits	16	3	4:22
E-hihit 022 DOG	12:16	3	6:3
Exhibit 033 BOG 3:13 10:25	17	3 9:25 10:10	4: 30
11:1 13:13	13:5 29:21,23	13:25 19:12	12:19,20
19:12 26:20	18	46:18,21	4:33
	12:13 13:1,13	59:14	12:21,23
Exhibit 034 BOG 3:14 22:25	25:11 30:8	30(b)(6)	
23:1	33:15	4:20 5:17 9:3	4th 30:20
	19		30.20
Exhibit 035 BOG	4:10 11:19	52:10 21 57:0	
3:14 23:23,24	12:14 29:24	52:19,21 57:9	5
Exhibit 036 BOG		32	5
3:15 39:4,5	1993 16:2	51:5	29:21
Exhibit 037 BOG	TO • Z	33	5:20
3:15 40:16,17	2	10:25 11:1	4:4
Exhibit 038 BOG		13:13 19:12	5 : 37
3:16 42:7,8	2	26:20	59:17,18
Exhibit 039 BOG	13:19 33:18	34	5:44
3:17 54:4,5	200	22:25 23:1	59:19,21
Exhibit 040 BOG	46:13	35	33.13,21
3:17 57:20,21	2013	23:23,24	6
	16:3	36	
1	2022	39:4,5	6
	4:10 13:5	37	33:16
1	21	40:16,17	
9:8 32:6,9	18:18	38	7
10		42:7,8	
19:13 20:23	233	39	7
100	13:15,17,25 18:3	54:4,5	9:23
45:19,20 57:6		·	
11	23rd	4	9
16:13 26:20	11:15		9
12	26	4	32:7,9,10
54:1 56:9,17	6:22	11:14	
60:15	26,000	40	A
14	52:23	29:10 57:20,	
12:16	28	21	ability
15	58:20	4:20	15:19 22:18
			34:13 41:18

access	46:12 48:4	allowed	apply
30:13,14	adjunct	36:16	17:1
32:11	38:9 51:22	analogous	appointed
account	adjuncts	56:9	38:3
45:5,6 53:11	38:6	analysis	April
accuracy	admin-	44:11,18	30:20
7:20	23:11	45:24,25	architectures
accurate	administer	48:19	60:10
8:21 29:25	22:19,22	analytic	area
30:22 31:3	23:11 24:17	43:13	29:11 61:3,12
32:1,7,9	33:24	analytical	Ashley
35:16	administered	43:14	S:5
accurately	58:14	analytics	
15:20	administering	31:21	aspect 10:10
act	22:14	analyzing	
37:18		43:19	assigned
active	administers 39:15		23:10
57:2		Andre 24:6,7,15	association
actual	administration	26:3	4:13
24:13 33:3	10:11 16:10 60:20		assume
51:23 55:4		announce 4:14	8:5 57:16
add	administrator		assuming
37:5 52:9	38:2 40:5 56:6	anonymity 33:8 47:6	53:1
adding		48:1 60:25	AT&T
51:25	administrators		34:21 35:9
	53:17	anonymized	43:23 45:2
addition 10:14 30:7	affect	38:24	attached
	48:19,25	anonymous	11:8,16,21
address	affirm	34:5 37:10	30:21
34:22 36:12	5:10	answering	attempt
37:1,15,17 43:24 44:4,8	affirmed	7:16	59:2
58:4	5:19	answers	attend
	afternoon	7:18,24 8:11	15:22,24
addresses 34:14,19	6:6,8 59:23	10:3 46:2,4,	attorneys
35:1,2,5,7,21	agree	11 52:14	14:12,14
36:17 43:1,4,	7:23 8:8,11	apparent	August
9,11,20,25	46:6,8,13	18:5 25:16	4:10 13:5
44:6,11,14,	ahead	application	authentication
23,25 45:3	26:14 62:20	17:24	33:4 36:22

37:4,5 47:24,	basically	bots	calls
25 60:24	27:8 28:6	61:8	22:7,8
auto	36:8 43:18	bottom	capability
45:17	basis	9:9	26:7,16 41:24
automatically	26:8	box	capacities
37:10 44:22	began	58:11,13	16:25
avenues	4:1 43:19	branding	capacity
32:20	beginning	41:1	6:23
averaged	32:3	break	CAPTCHA
46:18	behalf	8:14,17 27:23	35:24,25 36:3
avoid	5:6 9:18 10:4	60:2	career
30:10	49:15	breaks	21:24
	benefit	27:20	Carrie
aware 13:14 40:2	7:24	bring	21:4,6 26:3
49:10 52:5	bias	21:7 24:25	43:2
19.10 32.3	50:8		
В	bill	brought 18:15	case
	13:15,25 18:5		7:1,3,7 10:16 11:6 13:15
bachelor's		browser	15:3,13,16
16:2	bit	47:10	
back	22:2 26:16	build	category
6:1 12:22	Bitdefender	35:23 48:11	9:20
24:25 26:19	59:11	built	centric
29:20 32:3	blocked	48:9	19:16 20:5,8
39:22 47:23	48:4	built-in	51:20
57:9 59:20	blocking	36:3	certainty
background	59:1	bureau	57:6
21:19 22:3	board	17:5	certificate
backup	4:7,21,23	Burnette	22:1
22:20,22	5:3,6,8,17	23:8	certified
25:19	9:4,17 10:4,7	business	5:20 21:25
bad	15:13 16:11,	16:8 44:3	cetera
54:2	14 17:4 18:2		19:22 46:14
balancing	20:17,25 21:1		chair
37:18	22:4,6,13		39:12
bank	26:22 27:2	call	challenges
7:2	30:5,16 33:23	53:15	60:8 61:23
	49:15 50:6	called	challenging
Banks	board's	43:14	13:15
39:12	9:18		13.13

		1-00	
chancellor	57:10	15:22,24	completely
11:15 27:6,15	close	17:5,12,13	31:2,25 61:1
52:23	12:15	26:4 40:25	component
chancellor's	closed	51:14,18	36:23 51:7,9
18:20 22:16	47:1	58:23	55:1
change	cloud	college's	computer
41:1 60:21	36:2 41:19	58:12	43:22 44:16
changed	45:4 48:7	colleges	47:11 58:25
40:22,23	Coast	17:17 19:17	computer-
charges	40:2	20:11 21:2,14	generated
7:4,5		26:13,17	42:5
·	code	27:1,14 28:6	computers
chart	31:14 43:15	29:17 34:11,	44:15
44:13 45:10	45:12	17 51:10	
chief	COI	58:15	concerned
16:16 17:5,19	23:9	comment	22:18 50:21
37:25 39:16	collaborative	20:12	51:2
chosen	28:5	comments	concerns
34:9	collect	42:15	50:14
CIO	19:2 30:9	commissioned	conclude
5:7 16:24	33:2 34:14	19:15	60:5 62:21
19:5 23:12	36:17 37:15		concludes
24:7 39:13	40:9	communicate	62:21
40:1 54:19	collected	18:24	concurrently
56:6	14:5 30:4	communication	26:24 27:14
CIOS	35:2	39:22	confident
18:8 39:14	collecting	communities	45:16,20
clarify	23:13 35:21	56:7	47:2,3 56:8
4:19 5:25	54:17	community	
19:25		17:16 21:2	confirm
	collection	26:3 34:11	11:5 59:15
clarifying	10:11 30:8 39:24 40:8	companies	confusion
55:23	39:24 40:8 43:6 50:24	35:13 45:4	39:23
clean	61:13		connect
8:2 31:20		company 44:3 45:2	38:25 45:7
49:6	collections		47:14
clear	50:23	complaints	connection
7:23 55:22	collector	59:3	30:6 47:15
61:22	57:12	complete	consideration
clip	college	8:11 11:23	51:25 52:9
		12:3	

considered	copy	covered	
32:20	56:25	59:15	D
consistency	corporate	craft	3.4.
27:18	4:6 40:23	20:18	data
consistent	correct	crafting	10:11 30:8,
51:10	12:7,9,10	19:23	11,13,24
	13:6,7 15:1,		31:6,7,8,15,
constraints	11 20:20	create	18,21 37:23,
27:19	21:18 24:4	24:17 36:18	25 38:2
contact	32:12,17	37:14	39:15,16,18,
18:10,17,25	33:19 34:1,2	created	24 40:3,4,7,
19:2 21:6	38:10,11	58:7	10,11 43:19
23:13 38:3	47:23 57:18	creates	44:19 47:3,13
40:9 54:15,		36:19	49:6 50:8,23,
16,17	correctly	creation	24 51:23
content	19:18	24:13	53:15,16,17
53:11	cost		56:6
contents	25:22	credentialing	dataset
58:8	council	33:7	57:10
	19:5 39:13	credentials	date
context	councils	37:9	34:23
24:9		Criser	dated
continually	23:13	4:20 14:10	12:8 13:5
46:22	counsel	22:9	
continue	4:14 5:4 14:9	critical	dates
45:7	30:24 60:1		28:8
	62:4	37:2	days
control	counsel's	cross	18:22 28:25
33:9	14:7	57:13	deal
controls	counted	crosswalk	19:21 39:15
30:14 32:23	37:24	31:5,9,11,17	62:15
37:3		curious	dealing
conversation	counterpart	52:25	19:20 21:11
18:2,19 22:13	58:13		50:22 60:15
23:16	couple	current	
conversations	60:4	22:1	decided
29:16	court	custom	27:6
	4:15 7:6,9,	48:10 58:5	decision
cookies	21,24	cyber	27:13
47:10	cover	16:19 17:23	declaration
Copies	59:15	60:18	10:20,22
30:20			11:6,10,14,16
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

13:11 19:11	defense	49:20	disclaimer
26:19 29:20	62:10,17	determine	52:1
30:21 32:4	degree	28:10,24	discover
37:20 42:6	16:2,3,4,9	34:24,25	57:3
45:20	deliver	43:11 44:21	discovered
declarations	24:18,25	45:1	23:19 26:2,12
10:15	53:10	determined	38:1,4 43:2
default	delivered	35:6 52:17	discovery
36:9	15:9 29:4	determining	15:9
defendant	31:6,21 58:7	20:4 29:13	discrepancy
5:8	delivery	development	38:1
Defendants_	53:3 61:5,13	17:24	discuss
008652	62:18	Diaz	37:12 50:6
22:25	department	4:8	60:18
Defendants_	17:7 24:7	differences	
008813	30:16	51:14	discussed 21:9 30:7
23:23	depending		60:8
Defendants_	46:17	differentiation	
008858		55:18	discussing 31:19
54:4	deposed 6:16	difficult	
Defendants_		33:6,8 36:14	discussion
009075	deposition	60:14	18:23 19:5,20
40:16	4:4,5,9 7:13	dig	20:10,14
	9:2,6,16	35:10	25:11,12 27:5,17 30:23
Defendants_ 138578	10:15 14:3, 12,15,18,19	direct	36:20 50:11
42:7	15:3,6 42:2	5:22 17:21,22	52:12
	49:12 62:22	18:20 30:12	
Defendants_	describe	31:8	discussions
142016 39:3	24:9	directed	30:25 52:6 56:3
		9:3	
Defendants_	describes	direction	disseminated
160221 58:1	14:1	19:6	30:18
	design	directly	distribute
Defendants_	21:20,21	18:12 19:23	24:20 25:3,9
160247	designated	51:6	30:2
57:20	9:17	director	distributed
Defendants_	desired	17:24,25	26:23,25 27:3
160250	26:22 27:2	disagree	53:18
57:25	detail	46:7,13	distribution
		10-7,15	28:3 30:4

Eugene Kovacs August 19, 2022

38:4 46:2,9, 5:1 56:18 11 53:20 Е employee equivalently 54:21,22 31:18 37:23 56:18 e-mail 55:25 56:17 38:14 47:22 error 19:5,6,9 57:4 58:19 56:13,22 50:2,8 23:4,17 24:2, division 58:24 10 25:1 26:2 Esri 17:16 21:2 employees 29:5 39:21 54:19 document 20:19 26:23 53:24 54:8,21 Eugene 9:13 10:17 38:6,7,9 58:4,5,10,11, 4:23 5:7,18 11:5,7 13:10 54:23,24 13 6:11 24:13,22 55:5,9,11,14, e-mails evening 28:24 32:8 16,17,19,20 41:12 58:3 59:23,25 56:18 57:2 39:3,8 40:20, easy eventually 21 42:11,21, empty 60:23 41:2 25 48:19 edit documents evidently end 21:9 8:23 11:24 53:19 19:8 32:21 edits 14:4 15:5,12 48:4 exact 21:15 48:25 49:9 51:13 ended education DOE **EXAMINATION** 26:1 41:20 15:13 16:8 43:7 5:22 energy 17:7,9 20:18 domain 27:11 examining 21:1,2 22:6 41:10,12,18 11:7 32:8 enforcement 24:8 draft 34:23 35:11 Excel educational 19:15 15:10 engine 16:10 50:23 drafted 45:1 excluded 53:11 51:11,21 21:13 37:23 engineers Eldayrie drafting 36:21 excuse 54:19 51:4 27:19 28:15 enterprise electronic 51:6 dual 26:4 48:8 53:9 38:11 exhibit enterprise-Eleven 9:8 10:25 duly level 16:22 11:1,9,17,21 5:19 26:15 Elias 12:13 13:1, duplicated entire 4:18,25 5:2 13,19 19:12 47:18 27:7,11 55:1 6:15 54:19 22:25 23:1, dynamic entity eliminated 23,24 26:20 44:1 60:15 45:17,19 47:4 30:21 33:15 dynamically equal 39:4,5 40:16, Elisabeth 44:9

7

17 42:7,8	February	13:15 15:25	5:1 62:6,9,14
51:5 52:19,21	22:15,21	16:5 20:1	FSU
54:4,5 57:9,	32:21	37:21 40:2	18:11,24 20:5
20,21	felt	53:1 61:19	23:9 25:2
experience	27:8 35:14	folks	35:8
50:22	field	18:17 19:7	FSU's
expert	17:9	footprint	18:14
9:20 52:16	figure	26:14 55:8	full
expertise	60:23	form	6:9 25:19
61:4,12		33:3 37:4	28:20,23
	filled	50:9 52:3	
explain	47:11 48:17,	56:11,19	function
40:7	22	58:16 59:5	26:8 38:11
exploration	filter		functionalities
25:14	41:11	format's	48:9
exploratory	final	23:5	functionality
26:11	11:20 27:20	formulated	41:17
external	28:3 30:11,	28:3	future
35:2 44:8	17,20 37:15	forward	36:7
	finals	37:12 51:2,11	3017
extra	27:24	60:21	
36:5 48:11		found	G
	finance	24:16 45:3	garage
F	17:15		40:25
faculty	financial	FPU	gather
27:25 30:3,19	17:19	53:1 56:22	48:23
37:8 38:9	find	frame	
	35:10 60:13	36:8 50:19	gathering
fair	finding	61:16	18:9,10
8:4	24:24	framed	gave
familiar		19:17	26:16
50:2 55:2	fishing 59:2	frequency	Gene
58:4		44:11,18	4:5 22:20
family	fldog.edu	·	general
47:21	41:9	Friday	5:4 14:7,9
FAQ	flip	28:19 47:1	30:24 47:7
56:2	9:22	friend	
	Flipping	47:20	generally
fast	57:10	front	41:14 44:1
25:19	Florida	48:4	48:10 50:22,
FCS	4:7,10 5:17	Frost	25 51:1
58:3	4.1,10 3.11		1

generate	38:5,7 39:14	21:4	hospital
33:1	guess	Hebda	55:3
generated	54:1	21:5 27:16	hour
44:9 45:17	Gulf	held	14:22
give	40:2	4:9 16:21	hours
5:11 8:11,21	guys	17:8 22:1	14:17
10:4 41:16	14:5 43:5,15	helpful	House
43:24	45:12 57:15	35:13	13:15,25
glaring	58:8	Henderson	housed
44:19 45:15		21:5,6 26:3	30:4
46:16	Н	43:2	huge
good		hereinafter	21:14
6:6,8 49:4	Hancock	5:20	
52:16 59:23,	4:24		human
25 62:21	hand	Hey 43:4 53:17	17:14
Governors	5:10 13:12		hundred
4:7,21,23	18:13	high	57:5
5:4,6,8,17	handed	41:25 44:23	hundreds
9:4,18 10:7	49:6,7	higher	45:8
16:12,15 17:4	happen	17:9 32:22	
18:2 20:17,25	38:13 41:11	37:6 51:17	I
22:4,14 26:22	happened	53:13 55:7	ID
27:2 30:5,16	43:6	highlights	57:13
33:24	hard	44:13	
graduate	48:1 52:14	history	idea
16:1		50:3	60:17
Grayrobinson	нв 13:17 18:3	hit	identification
5:5 14:15		27:22	11:2 23:2,25
Great	head	Hold	30:11 39:6
14:2 32:2	22:11 34:12	42:18 62:23	40:18 42:9
33:12 52:18	heading	home	54:6 57:22
61:24	19:7	44:5	identified
green	heads-up	honest	45:22
52:20 57:10	29:4	29:18	identifies
ground	hear		43:1
7:11	8:7	honestly	identify
	heard	20:11 49:10	30:13 34:18,
group	52:7,12,13,22	61:15	21 35:3 36:1
4:18,25 5:2	hearings	hoping	38:19 47:18,
6:15 18:21		26:9	20

identity	58:23	instructional	involved
33:6 36:23	individuals	16:9	18:12 19:23
III	30:13 37:23	instructions	20:4 21:17
5:18 6:11	information	28:19 29:6	22:5 24:12
IIIS	16:16 17:6,	56:22,25 57:1	51:4
6:12	14,23 18:11,	58:9	involvement
illegitimate	13,17,25 19:2	instructors	18:6
45:18	23:13 26:18	19:21 21:12,	IP
	30:15,16 33:3	13,14	34:14,19,22
impair	35:15 36:12	intended	35:1,2,5,7,21
15:19	37:18 40:9,10	30:18	36:11,17
implement	48:24 54:16,	interface	37:1,15,16
35:23	17 61:14	16:18 18:16	43:1,4,9,11,
important	infrastructure		20,24,25
35:14	16:17 17:25	interim	44:3,6,8,11,
impossible	inherited	23:9	13,23,25 45:3
35:10	34:10	intermediate	46:12 48:4
impression	initial	48:12	IPS
41:8	25:9,10	intern	43:3
improvements	•	17:13	isolate
36:7 60:17	initially	internal	38:21
include	18:15 35:6	39:20	isolated
31:8 38:6	initiate	internally	45:23
54:23 55:9	32:22	39:22 44:7	issue
	inquiring	interpreted	36:18 37:2
included	41:22	56:17	47:24 57:4
29:22 30:1 38:10 49:1	instance		59:10
38.10 49.1	30:8	interrogatory 10:17 12:6	
increased	institute	13:10 15:7	issues 25:11 37:11
26:15	18:14,25	33:13 45:21	43:5 47:7
indicative	19:16,25 20:2		51:20 54:18
50:7	23:14,20,21	interrupt	
indicator	institution	8:1	items
30:12 31:1,23	30:2,14	interviewed	9:21
indicators	institutional	17:2	
61:5,15	20:19 54:11	intro	J
individual		51:8,18,24	Jason
30:11 32:18	institutions	invoid	22:9 49:4,11,
34:21,25	27:21,23	30:10	18
35:3,10 36:13	28:9,19 29:10 56:9 58:20		
	30-7 30-20		
	•	•	•

Eugene Kovacs August 19, 2022

Jasrasaria	keeping	learned	link
4:17 5:23	35:4	60:20	4:8 29:4,22
6:4,5 10:24	key	learning	30:2 31:8,14,
11:3 12:17,24	61:5,14	42:1 53:7,10,	16 33:2 36:19
22:24 23:3,22	kind	20,23	47:16,20
24:1 39:2,7	28:5 29:3	leave	51:16 53:12,
40:15,19	37:18,21 50:7	28:1	19
42:10,20,24	52:1 60:11		links
50:13 52:8	61:14,21	leaving	32:11,14,19
54:3,7 56:12		17:1	36:15 41:12
57:8,19,23	knowledge	left	list
58:21 59:13,	12:2,12 37:25	26:21 48:18	30:4 41:14
22 61:24 62:2	50:11 55:10	56:24	
job	58:18	legal	listed
16:23 31:22	Kovacs	14:5	22:5
joint	4:6,23 5:7,	legitimate	literally
20:10,24 21:4	18,24 6:6,11,	47:4	46:21
55:19	17 14:3 15:22	lesser	live
Jon	23:4 24:2	7:5	21:7,15
22:8 28:7	58:2 59:24		LLP
42:15 58:11		letter	4:18
	L	11:15	LMS
Jones	1	letters	53:6,9,13
17:19 22:9	language	11:16 29:22	
53:16	19:21,24	30:1	located
Jordan	21:10	level	46:17
4:11	large	32:23 37:6	long
Joseph	18:21 38:7	47:5 48:8	14:21 16:11,
5:18 6:11	55:8	49:20 51:17	21 28:11 50:5
July	larger	60:7,13	longer
50:18	27:5	levels	29:7,8
	largest	33:9	longest
Junior	36:2	license	46:25
17:11	law		
Jyoti	4:18,25 5:2	26:4,5,6,16	looked
4:17	6:15 7:21	41:8 43:16 45:13	6:2 14:4 15:7,8
	31:19 34:23		·
K	35:19 34.23	limitation	lot
		9:19	11:24 36:5
Kathy	lawsuit	limited	41:19 55:4
21:5 27:16	6:15 61:17,22	9:25	loud

32:5	manager	10:12	methodology
low	28:7	mechanism	51:7,8,11,18
50:7	managers	60:13	methods
lower-level	29:18	med-	53:4
26:6	Manny	55:21	middle
lowered	4:8	medical	22:21 27:24
7:4	manually	54:25 55:1,3	minor
Lukis	44:24	meet	25:7
5:5 42:18,22	March	14:11,14	minors
50:9 52:3	11:15	meeting	25:9
56:11,19	mark	14:20 18:22	minute
58:16 59:5	10:24 22:24	20:10,14,15	23:6 49:20
62:1,11,18,23	23:22 39:2,3	43:3	minutes
	40:15 42:7	meetings	7:14 46:18,2
M	54:3,10,11,13	14:6,7,8	59:14
made	57:20	18:9,21 21:17	mobile
made 21:15 27:13	marked	22:16 27:6	35:9
	11:1 13:1	39:14	
mailbox	19:12 23:1,24	member	modified 51:19
58:7 59:8	39:5 40:17	36:25 37:8	
main	42:8 51:5	47:21	Momentive
21:6	54:5 57:21	members	40:23
maintain	Marshall	60:9	money
33:8 60:24,25	4:20 14:10		45:13
maintained	22:9 29:16	mentioned 6:14 12:5	monitoring
30:5	Mary	17:10 22:17	58:12
make	39:12 40:1	25:1,7 35:20	month
36:7 51:19	master's	37:22 39:17	33:10
55:21 61:6	16:2,4,9	42:6,13 45:25	months
makes	matter	57:12	61:20
27:12	4:7 6:25	merge	multiple
manage	Mccallister	31:13	35:21 47:9
16:17 25:2	54:10	merged	53:3
		42:16	mutually
managed 30:15	Mchugh		20:18
	4:11	method	
management	meaning	53:19 54:1	
17:6 53:7,10,	7:19	methodologies	
21,23	measures	24:19 51:13	names

40:22		9:10 30:10	passage
Nargiz	<u> </u>	62:14	18:4
4:12	oath	ordering	pattern
narrow	7:18	62:5	45:24,25
26:7 27:22	Object	orders	pay
nature	50:9 52:3	62:13	44:2,3
16:20 19:22	56:11,19	original	payrolled
35:17 46:3	58:16 59:5	18:13	57:2
52:7	October	originally	pending
needed	19:9	18:23 19:15	42:18,19
30:15	office	originating	Pensacola
network	14:6,7,25	30:14	17:11,12
44:15 46:18	16:18 31:21	out-of-the-box	people
night	54:11	48:7	22:6 35:24
47:1	officer		47:15 55:2
noncredentialed	16:16 17:20,	outcome 7:3	perceive
32:11	23 38:1 39:16	7 • 3	37:10
nonresponse	one-hour 14:6	P	percent
50:2	14.0	p.m.	45:19,20
normal	open	4:1,3,4 6:3	57:5,6
46:19	20:9,14 28:23	12:19,20,21,	perception
note	43:16	23 59:17,18,	37:7,8
51:21	open-ended	19,21	perform
notice	52:10,11,14	pages	18:14 30:15
9:5,11,16	opened	46:19	permission
28:18	34:15	paragraph	25:13
noticed	opportunity	11:13,19	person
9:3 21:12	28:10 37:6	19:13 20:23,	36:19 46:19
null	OPS	25 26:20	54:18 61:7
48:24	38:6	29:21	personal
nulls	option	paragraphs	6:23
49:5	35:4 41:7	29:24 32:6	personally
	47:8		51:3 54:14
number	-	parental 25:13	
21:14 52:23	options		Phipps
53:18	25:18 34:8, 10,13 48:13	part	4:13
numbers	61:2	29:16	physical
44:1 55:7		partial	24:13,21
	order	45:10	

piece	positions	prior	proved
33:15	17:8	16:24	35:15
pile	positive	privacy	provide
9:9 52:19	14:23	35:13	7:23 22:20
place	possibility	proceeding	36:12 43:15
12:20 59:18	37:13	4:22	provided
plaintiffs	possibly	proceedings	42:15 43:9
4:18,25 5:2	18:10 27:16	4:1	54:17 57:14
6:14 13:14	preliminary	process	providers
plaintiffs'	19:4,6	21:9,16 25:17	36:3
15:15	premarked	36:9 42:4	public
plan	13:19	51:1 56:23	30:25 31:19,
18:13 22:20,		processes	24 34:14,19,
22 25:19	<pre>prenotice 28:21 29:3</pre>	40:3 58:19	22 35:1,2,7
planning		procurement	36:11 43:19,
60:6	prep	26:14 61:18,	24 44:5
	14:7,20 19:7	19,23	publish
plans 32:25	preparation	produced	53:12
	49:12,22,24	15:13 49:8	pull
point	prepare		61:16,22
20:12 23:12	14:2,12,15,25	production	purchase
24:11,21	15:5	15:16 59:8	25:21 45:5
26:12 32:1	prepared	products	
38:3 54:18	9:24 10:9	60:11	<pre>purchased 41:7,15,17,2</pre>
Politics	49:17	professional	45:6
18:14,25 20:2	preparing	41:6	
Polytechnic	10:14 14:18	professors	purchasing
53:1	presented	19:22	26:1
populate	21:7	program	pursuant
61:8	presidentially	48:11	9:3
population	38:2	programmer	put
27:7,11		21:25	31:4,22 37:3
portion	<pre>pretty 44:19,23</pre>	project	44:25 45:11,
51:18	,	28:7 29:17	22 53:16,19
portions	prevent	48:6	putting
42:15,23	30:6 60:22		51:10
·	61:7	protect	
position 17:1,2 38:15	previously	35:19	Q
11.1,2 30.13	21:7	protection	146
		59:1	qualify

52:14	raw	recruited	reports
	30:13,24	17:16	15:9 17:21,22
quality	31:6,7,8,14		18:20
50:25		refer	
query	reached	20:1 62:4	represent
28:9	55:24 60:10	referenced	4:15 6:14
question	reaching	20:1	representative
8:4,5,8,9,15,	56:5	referring	4:6,20,22
17 24:15	read	13:11 57:11	5:17
25:10 42:19	13:17 19:18	regard	represented
46:10 52:10	29:23 32:5,6	10:10	28:25
55:9	33:21 62:24	regular	represents
questions	realized	18:9 26:8	31:14
7:15 8:12	35:9	39:13 50:17	request
21:8,9,12	reason		40:4 53:16
24:14 42:3	37:22 55:6	related	
48:17,22		21:22	requests
49:23 54:20	recall 6:25 55:25	remember	31:20
55:25 58:10		8:21 28:16	require
60:4 61:25	receive	34:12 45:21	33:2
62:20	55:15,17	removed	required
	received	49:5,18	18:3 27:9
R	38:19	repeat	research
	recess	8:9	54:12 55:3
radical	12:20 59:18	repeated	58:3
25:18	recognize	48:4	research.com/
raise	23:4 24:2	repeatedly	flbog.edu
5:9	39:8 40:20	13:12	41:20
ran	42:11,23 54:8		researching
43:17 44:18	58:23	rephrase	60:9
46:11,25	recognized	8:8 56:14	
range	42:21	report	resources
43:25		17:18,19	17:14 35:23
ranges	record	49:24 51:5,8,	48:5
44:20	4:3,19 6:1,10	22 52:1	respective
	8:2 12:17,19, 23 31:24	reporter	30:19
rate		4:15	respondent
49:2,5 50:7	57:24 59:14,	reporter's	30:6
53:13	17,21 62:4	7:24	response
rates	records	Reporting	35:8 46:16
	20.05 21.10	vebor criid	
52:2	30:25 31:19, 20	4:13	49:2,5 50:7

52:1 53:13	22:8 28:7	scheduled	sentence
55:11	42:15 58:11	14:20,21	19:14 20:16
responses	role	schedules	23 25:20
12:6 30:9	16:14,21 22:1	29:11	55:14
33:14 34:4,19	rotated	school	separate
35:21 38:22	45:8	57:12	36:4 39:18
42:5 44:17	rotating	schools	44:14
45:9,17 46:12	27:21	55:24	September
48:17 58:6			18:18
responsibility	rough	screens	sequential
29:12	62:15	34:12	46:3,4
responsible	Rule	script	·
29:13	9:3	45:8 46:5	server
	rules	scripting	45:7
rest	7:12	60:23	servers
48:23	run	scripts	36:4 48:11
restraints	43:17 45:7,	46:24	service
25:2,6 29:9	11,13		45:4
resubmission		search 45:1	services
51:21	running 44:16 46:24		41:19 48:7
result	44.10 40.24	Section	
37:15		32:10 33:18	set 13:9 22:16
results	s	Sections	30:11 36:4
24:25 46:17	Saas	32:9	37:16 47:8
48:20,25	43:14 45:13	secure	58:6
·	safeguards	36:10	
review	30:7	security	sets
7:11 15:5		10:11 16:19	38:24
25:18	sampling	17:23 30:17	setting
reviewed	27:7	33:9 41:11,13	34:8
15:2,12,15	Sandi	48:1 51:17	settings
revised	4:12	60:18	34:3
12:5	sat	selected	Shands
Rick	7:12	34:4	54:23,24,25
23:8,16	save		55:2,5,9,14
risks	27:11	send	16,18,19,20
34:15 35:20		38:5 40:11	share
	savings	58:10	25:21 26:18
robbery	24:19	sense	
7:2	schedule	27:12	Shirley
Rogers	28:4		5:3 14:10

22:9	software-	stamp	27:8
show	generated	34:23 46:3,6	stenographer
35:8	34:18 43:3	stamps	4:12 5:9,15
side	46:20 49:7	46:15	stopped
61:4	solution	standard	47:2
sign	24:23,24	22:16 41:18	strongly
37:9 43:22,23	sort	62:18	46:8,13
	42:12	standards	student
signature 13:3,4	sound	50:17	32:16 33:2
	29:1	started	36:24 38:9,
signing	Sounds	5:25 28:14	10,12,13,14,
44:7,15	62:21	40:24 43:19	15 40:9 47:21
similar		44:20 50:18	53:11 57:17
55:24 59:3	speak	54:15 60:5	58:23,24
similarly	14:24 15:1		
47:19	22:17 60:1	starts	students
simply	specific	33:16	20:19 22:17
54:20	9:20 19:21	state	25:8,11 26:23
	55:11 56:24	6:9 17:12	27:4,25 28:23
single	specifically	19:17 20:1	30:3,19 40:13
30:8,10 44:15 45:6 60:15	21:11,13	25:20 26:25	44:7 53:21,2
	22:19 39:17	27:14 29:10	59:4
sitting	54:22 56:5	60:13	study
44:16	57:1,15 58:9	stated	16:7
situation	speed	19:13	stuff
55:19	46:18	statement	41:25
slated	spend	15:8 44:12	subdomain
24:24	7:13 14:18	static	41:4,7,15
small	45:13	44:2,3	subject
18:21	spreadsheets	statistical	6:25
smaller	15:10	21:25 43:14	submit
26:13			10:21
	spring	statistically	
Smith	27:20,23	27:10	submitted
24:6,7 26:3	staff	statistics	10:15,19 11:0
software	28:1,22 30:3,	22:3 50:4	33:14
26:1 43:13	19 31:16	statute	subset
59:1,9,11	36:21,25 38:5	13:25 50:17	10:1
61:8	40:9 44:6	55:10	subsets
	52:23 53:25	statutorily	31:2
	56:10 60:9	=	

subsetted	41:5	table	16:9 35:22
43:18	surveys	31:10,12,15	technology
SUDS	11:20 18:3,7	tables	17:14 30:17
39:16,17	19:15 20:5,7,	51:23	60:16
40:2,6	18 21:23	taking	telephone
summertime	22:14 26:22,	8:17 12:25	47:12
27:25 28:1	24 27:3	61:6	tenable
Sunshine	30:17,20	talk	25:17
31:19	33:25 34:11	49:11	
	38:8,17,19,23		testified
surprising	47:13,15	talked	5:20 7:9
36:2	48:16 56:10,	37:19 38:1	testify
surrounding	13 58:14 61:7	43:2 60:10,12	7:6 9:18,24
35:18	suspect	talking	10:9 15:20
survey	43:10,12	7:14 23:12	testifying
10:11 14:1	44:13 46:12	33:5,17 54:16	7:20 49:14
18:15 21:8,	swear	talks	testimony
19,21 22:19,	4:16 5:10	32:10	5:11 10:6
23 23:11	swearing	Tallahassee	tests
24:12,17,20,	7:19	4:10	59:9
22,25 25:3	sworn	task	thing
27:10 28:12	5:19	23:11	43:6,8 44:10
30:3 32:15,		tasks	57:17
22,25 34:3,4	synced	30:15	
35:17,18	26:17 62:8		things
37:21 38:5,	system	Teams	11:8 16:19
10,13,14	17:6 30:10	43:3	19:22 35:17 36:6 41:12
39:19,25 41:4 46:16 47:9,12	36:15,19,24	tech	42:16 44:22,
48:15,21	37:17 39:18	41:25	24 48:2,10,13
50:15,19,21,	40:4 53:7,10,	technical	51:15 56:2
23 52:11,15,	16,21,23	9:20 10:10	60:19 61:21
16 55:15,17	systems	16:17 18:17	
57:17 58:6	28:1 41:11	19:7 24:19,	thought
60:6,20 61:9	systemwide	23,24 32:23	24:18 41:23
	50:19	40:21 43:21	thousand
Surveymonkey		54:18 60:7	46:11
26:5,15 33:24	T	61:4	thousands
36:1 40:22,24 47:7 48:7		technically	44:16
	tabbed	57:4 60:25	tight
surveymonkey.	57:13	technologies	50:19 61:15
com.			

Tim	total		15:25 16:5
17:19	14:17 38:23	U	18:16 19:16
time	totally		20:2,5,8
4:3 5:25 8:14	19:9 40:8	UF	26:23 27:3
15:8 17:12,17		35:9 52:23	30:9,12 31:1,
18:1,4 20:12	transcript 62:13	54:20,25	9,14,23 36:23
21:24 22:12,		55:4,17,20	37:20 38:4,12
15,23 23:14	transcripts	Uh-huh	39:13,15
26:24 27:4,	15:3	6:13	44:6,23
11,19 31:3	treat	underage	51:15,19 53:9
32:21 33:8	48:16	22:17	58:24 59:4
34:23 35:23	trigger	Undergraduate	URL
36:8,16 46:3,	61:16,23	16:8	29:22 30:2
6,15 48:5	trouble	understand	31:16
50:5,19 56:7	42:2	7:16 8:7 10:3	utilized
61:16 62:16	trust		33:24
times	57:3	understanding	UWF
6:19 47:9		55:10 56:16	
	truth	understood	31:16,17
today 6:12 7:12 8:2	5:12,13	8:5	47:17 51:20, 21 57:3
9:18 10:4	truthfulness	unfamiliar	21 57.3
14:3 15:20	7:19	59:11	
32:1 49:18	turn	unique	v
61:25 62:5	13:2 19:11,12	29:22 30:1	Vaguely
	29:20 33:13	31:13,16	50:3
today's	34:16 37:14	32:15 33:1,2	values
10:14 14:12,	turned	36:15,19	44:21
15 15:6 49:12	25:19 34:17	51:16	
62:21	turning	universe	vendor
told	36:11 37:19	56:18	61:20
20:7	42:4 57:9		vendors
tonight		universes	60:11
62:15	type	56:9	verbal
tool	26:8 36:22	universities	7:23
43:13,14	42:2 53:20	16:19 18:8	verify
top	60:23 61:7	19:3,8 21:15	46:2
22:11 32:23	types	30:18 40:13	
33:9 34:12	48:9	56:17 57:6	versa 38:15
		58:15 60:12,	
topic		15	versus
9:25 10:1,10		university	19:22

viable	Wednesday	written
45:1	12:8 33:14	46:5
vice	46:25	wrong
38:15	week	6:2
video	12:9 18:22	wrote
62:5,11	22:21 27:20	31:3
video-recorded	28:13,20,23	
4:4,5	West	Y
Vikki	15:25 16:5	
5:3 14:10	37:21	year
22:9	white	16:1
virus	41:14	year's
58:25 59:8,9,	white-listed	60:6
11	41:14	years
	Wi-fi	6:22 16:13,22
<pre>voluntary 34:5</pre>	43:23	17:15
	William	young
VPN	4:8,24	40:25
45:4		
	window	
W	27:19,22 28:10,11	
wait	29:7,8,14	
8:16 54:12		
61:21 62:23	wiped	
wanted	35:5	
5:25 39:2	work	
41:5 42:6	8:18 36:5	
warehouse	37:12 54:15	
39:18,24	55:5,17	
40:7,10	worked	
•	16:11,24	
warehouses 40:3	17:11,13,15	
	20:17,25	
warrant	21:10 33:16	
34:21	50:4 51:12	
ways	working	
33:10 35:19	17:3,14 18:8	
48:3	38:15 48:2	
website	works	
32:10,14 59:1	7:14 54:11,14	